

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF VERMONT

MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, MD, )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) 2:17-CV-194  
DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK MEDICAL )  
CENTER, DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK )  
CLINIC, MARY HITCHCOCK MEMORIAL )  
HOSPITAL, and )  
DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK HEALTH, )  
Defendants. )

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEVIN DOYLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TRIAL TESTIMONY OF DR. MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

ERIC JONES  
GEOFFREY J. VITT  
SARAH H. NUNAN

For the Defendants:

DONALD W. SCHROEDER  
MORGAN McDONALD  
TRISTRAM J. COFFIN

## **PROCEEDINGS INDEX**

	<b>Proceeding:</b>	<b>Page</b>
3	March 25, 2025	3
	March 26, 2025	62
4	March 27, 2025	257

## **EXAMINATION INDEX**

	<b>Witness:</b>	<b>Page</b>
6		
7	<b>MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER:</b>	
8	Direct Examination By Mr. Vitt	3
9	Cross Examination By Mr. Schroeder	114
	Redirect Examination By Mr. Vitt	319
	Recross Examination By Mr. Schroeder	329

1                                 Tuesday, March 25, 2025

2                                 Afternoon Session

3                                 \* \* \*

4                                 (Jury present.)

5                                 **MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER**, witness herein,

6                                 being first duly sworn on oath, was

7                                 examined and testified as follows:

8                                 THE WITNESS: I do.

9                                 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat.

10                                If you could state and spell your name, please.

11                                THE WITNESS: My name is Misty, M-i-s-t-y,  
12                                 Blanchette, B-l-a-n-c-h-e-t-t-e, Porter, P-o-r-t-e-r.

13                                 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

14                                 **BY MR. VITT:**

15                                 Q Good afternoon.

16                                 A Good afternoon.

17                                 Q Would you state your name, please?

18                                 A Misty Blanchette Porter.

19                                 Q What's your profession?

20                                 A I'm an attending physician at the University of  
21                                 Vermont.

22                                 Q Medical Center?

23                                 A Medical Center.

24                                 Q Where is your home?

25                                 A Norwich, Vermont.

1 Q How long has that been your home?

2 A 30 years.

3 Q What's your immediate family?

4 A My husband is Tom Porter, and I have three children --

5 a daughter, and two sons.

6 Q Are they living at home?

7 A One is in the apartment over my garage, and one lives

8 about a mile from us, and my daughter lives in Maine.

9 Q How long have you worked at University of Vermont

10 Medical Center?

11 A I started per diem initially for about a year, year and

12 a half, and then -- approximately seven years.

13 Q And what's your current position?

14 A Attending physician at the University of Vermont

15 Medical Center. I am the vice chair for education and

16 for faculty in the department of OB-GYN, and I am a

17 complex gynecologic surgeon.

18 Q Tell me, what does it involve, being the vice chair?

19 A For vice chair, I oversee the education of medical

20 students with the clerkship directors for the third-

21 and fourth-year medical students that are coming

22 through to experience OB-GYN, and I work with their

23 directors.

24 I oversee the residency at OB-GYN and work with

25 the residency directors; there are two of them. And I

1 also oversee the fellowship directors, and then I'm  
2 also -- I have a role in mentorship of junior faculty  
3 and representing the faculty at the University of  
4 Vermont Medical Center, in the department, when there  
5 are challenges or there are issues with the faculty  
6 that they would like to bring forward to administration  
7 for resolution.

8 Q And you're often the person that's kind of the spear  
9 point or the head point for that?

10 A Yes. I am the defined person.

11 Q Okay. Do you enjoy working with the residents and the  
12 students?

13 A Yeah. I very much love it. It's the way that we give  
14 the gift of our knowledge back to those that are going  
15 to care for our daughters, for our mothers, for  
16 ourselves. And so, yes, I very much like -- these many  
17 years of experience, I'm able to pass on knowledge and  
18 to support them through their growth.

19 Q Let me go back to when you were growing up and thought  
20 about what you wanted to be. When did you decide you  
21 wanted to be a doctor?

22 A I decided in high school I wanted to be a doctor.

23 Q And what do you think led you to that?

24 A My mother's a doctor. She had three kids really young,  
25 and then went back on -- back into education and got

1                   her -- first her undergraduate degree and then an MD,  
2                   and then she did her internal medicine residency at  
3                   Dartmouth. And so I got to see first-hand what she was  
4                   doing for work and how she really loved it. She went  
5                   on to do a fellowship at Harvard in geriatric medicine,  
6                   and then -- we had lots of conversations about how  
7                   fulfilling it is to care for patients.

8                   So I decided in high school. And she and I have  
9                   this joke that I see them in, and she sees them out.  
10                  It's meaning that, and it's giving the gift of children  
11                  to people in their lives.

12                  And so I read about Louise Brown, who was the  
13                  first IVF baby born in the world, and I thought in high  
14                  school, This is -- I have a natural interest in  
15                  science. I said, This is really wonderful. We can  
16                  take science and technology and then help individuals  
17                  have a baby. And so I knew pretty early on that I  
18                  would go into reproductive medicine and infertility.

19                  Q     Is fertility preservation still part of what you do in  
20                  your job?

21                  A     Yes, and in a different way now.

22                  Q     Okay. How about now?

23                  A     So I work very closely as a surgeon to help individuals  
24                  maintain their fertility, especially with GYN oncology.  
25                  I scrub with a GYN oncologist for young individuals who

1 have cancer. It may be ovarian cancer or uterine  
2 cancer, and we do fertility-sparing surgeries  
3 side-by-side. My office mate is a GYN oncologist.

4 And I also counsel individuals about  
5 fertility-sparing procedures, and also help  
6 individuals -- I do a lot of ultrasound work, and so  
7 some of the things we do is to do screening. We follow  
8 women who have had, say, ovarian cancer in their 20s or  
9 their 30s, and they have one ovary out, so we're  
10 screening them to look for recurrence. So I counsel  
11 those individuals.

12 Q Let me go back to some of the starting of your  
13 education. Where did you go to medical school?

14 A I went to Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical School, the  
15 Geisel School of Medicine.

16 Q All right. And how about a residency and fellowship  
17 following that?

18 A I did both my OB-GYN residency and my fellowship in  
19 reproductive medicine and infertility at the University  
20 of Vermont.

21 Q Okay. And what was the residency and fellowship in?

22 A The residency was in OB-GYN.

23 Q Right.

24 A And the fellowship was in reproductive endocrinology  
25 and infertility.

1 Q Okay. So the term "reproductive endocrinology" comes  
2 up quite a bit in this case. Can you give a brief  
3 description of what that entails?

4 A Yes. So reproductive endocrinology and infertility is  
5 basically anything to do with hormones that affects the  
6 reproductive tract. So in my fellowship, we took care  
7 of individuals who were girls who had pubertal  
8 disorders or birth defects of the reproductive tract  
9 all the way through the reproductive lifespan, and then  
10 did complex menopause consults.

11 And reproductive endocrinology is also surgery.  
12 It's also, besides the hormonal aspects of it, and then  
13 while a really important part of what we do and very  
14 cherished part of what I do is IVF, it's really only a  
15 sliver of what REI actually does.

16 Q Would it be -- I've heard you use this before, would  
17 you say that IVF is no more than 10 to 15 percent of  
18 reproductive endocrinology?

19 A Yes, it's an important part of it.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Hold on.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Overly -- there's no  
23 testimony on it.

24 THE COURT: It's background to the  
25 discipline.

1 MR. VITT: Thank you.

2 THE WITNESS: So, yes, IVF is an important  
3 part, and a cherished part, of what we do, but it's  
4 really only a sliver of what a global REI service is.

5 Q (By Mr. Vitt) When you were doing the residency and the  
6 fellowship, did you have a chance to develop your  
7 surgical skills?

8 A Yes. I -- all through residency, I knew that I had a  
9 marked interest in surgery, and so my mentor all  
10 through surgery -- all through residency was John  
11 Brumsted, and he became -- he was my fellowship  
12 director. I stayed to work with John in the OR because  
13 he's an amazingly talented -- or was an amazingly  
14 talented surgeon, and he brought new technologies to  
15 the University of Vermont and a really excellent set of  
16 really complex laparoscopic, open and hysteroscopic,  
17 skills, and so I had -- when I was considering  
18 fellowship, I had him promise me that he would stay all  
19 through fellowship so that I could get that training.  
20 And there was two ten-hour OR days in my fellowship  
21 from anything from -- and we were the benign  
22 gynecologists, we were the benign GYN surgeons.

23 Q When you say "benign" -- I'm sorry to interrupt you --  
24 what does that mean?

25 A So when we consider where patients might get shuttled,

1           there's GYN oncology, and they do the cancer surgery,  
2           ovarian cancer, uterine cancers; whereas for benign  
3           surgery, that might be individuals with abnormal  
4           uterine bleeding, fibroids, endometrioses, infertility  
5           surgery, ovarian cysts, adnexal masses, those are the  
6           things, anything that in any age group -- and I operate  
7           on kids as well as post-menopausal women -- that have a  
8           non-cancerous GYN problem.

9   Q       Okay. You mentioned laparoscopic surgery?

10   A       Yes.

11   Q       Can you provide a quick set of -- a snapshot of what  
12       that is?

13   A       Yeah. So laparoscopic surgery is a minimally invasive  
14       approach, so we can do quite complex surgery with  
15       little, tiny incisions, so a little tiny incision in  
16       the belly button, and two or three other little  
17       incisions in the abdomen; and, really, oftentimes, we  
18       can do fairly extensive surgery with that.

19   Q       In order to become adept at laparoscopic surgery, what  
20       amount of effort is involved?

21   A       There's a fair amount of effort and practice, both as  
22       Dr. MacCallum was talking about in kind of the staged,  
23       progressive, advancing your surgical skills with  
24       something simpler, and then you move forward. We also  
25       have an ability in a non-human model to operate and

1 practice.

2 And so there's -- in residency, the residents have  
3 to take an extra test at the end to look at whether or  
4 not, for example, in a plastic model can they tie a  
5 knot with laparoscopic instruments kind of outside off  
6 of video screen? So we do lots of surgery with a  
7 patient that's mentored and progressive, but we also do  
8 a lot of training outside to be able to hone our skills  
9 over time.

10 Q And do you do robotic surgery as well?

11 A Yes, I'm a robotic surgeon for benign GYN surgery.

12 Q And when you use the term "robotic surgery," what does  
13 that entail?

14 A So what I like to tell patients is that it's just a  
15 fancy laparoscopy, and then I'll bring up pictures to  
16 show them what the difference is, but there's some  
17 really complicated surgeries that we can do with the  
18 use of the robot by taking advantages of the robot.  
19 And so the robot, on a regular laparoscope, it's just  
20 one camera; and a robotics procedure, it's two cameras  
21 side by side. And so we get this 3D view of what we're  
22 doing, better depth perception, and we get much better  
23 detail of the anatomy, and so there's less blood loss  
24 associated with it. And we can also dissect. And then  
25 I get my wrist back when I'm operating with a robot.

1                   So, for example, if I'm taking a fibroid out of  
2 the uterus, which is a benign muscle tumor, we can  
3 remove it, and then we have instruments that are just  
4 like the open instruments where we can use our wrist.  
5 It's called what's called wristed, so I can do a lot of  
6 suturing with that, and a lot more fine dissection  
7 given those characteristics. So that's what robotic  
8 surgery is.

9 Q Do you still perform those surgeries?

10 A Yes, absolutely.

11 Q Okay. And is it -- is it necessary for you to stay up  
12 to date on, you know, the changes in the technology and  
13 any other changes that affect how that surgery is done?

14 A Always. The technology rapidly changes, instruments  
15 change; and so, yes, we are regularly keeping up to  
16 date.

17 Q How did you end up at Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

18 A So when I was a third-year resident here, my husband is  
19 part of a multi-generation building firm. He's a  
20 contractor/builder, and he was in business with his  
21 father, which is why we're in Norwich, Vermont. And  
22 Barry Smith was the chairman of the OB-GYN at Dartmouth  
23 at the time, and I had trained there, and Barry called  
24 me out of the blue one day and just said we have a job,  
25 and we'll keep it for you for a year. We just want to

1 know what your plans are.

2 And so I talked to my husband, and I approached  
3 John and I said I would like to stay for fellowship  
4 here, you know, given that, you know, my husband is a  
5 seventh-generation Vermonter and very deeply rooted  
6 here. He's in business with his father. I can go off  
7 and do fellowship elsewhere, but I would really like to  
8 stay, and I wouldn't have stayed if it wasn't a really  
9 good, quality education, and it was.

10 Q Okay.

11 A And so I then called Barry back and said I'm thinking  
12 about doing this fellowship, but I would like to know  
13 that I have a job when I'm done. And so Barry said let  
14 me talk to everyone, and he called me back. He said  
15 he'd spoken with Dr. Manganiello who had established  
16 the REI program at Dartmouth, and I had worked with  
17 Paul when I was a medical student, and then he had gone  
18 to the head of the clinic to make certain I would have  
19 a job after the fellowship. And, at the time, that was  
20 Dr. Steve Plume, and Steve said, Yep, we'll take her,  
21 absolutely.

22 Q When did you start the job?

23 A I started in 1996.

24 Q There's been a series of comments in the trial about  
25 your disability, and I want to turn to that for a few

1                   minutes.

2   A    Mm-hm.

3   Q    Tell me about the first symptoms that you experienced  
4                   and when that happened.

5   A    So in November, early November, mid November of 2015, I  
6                   was the most fit ever in my life. I was running half  
7                   marathons and swimming on a regular basis, and in the  
8                   pool I noticed that I had blurred vision, a headache  
9                   and saw my primary who told me she thought I had maybe  
10                  a complex migraine or meningitis.

11                  Then by Thanksgiving, I couldn't even get out of  
12                  bed. I couldn't sit up without excruciating pain in my  
13                  head and neck and the sensation that things were  
14                  dragging. In fact, I had said to the kids at that  
15                  point, Well, either you guys can cook Thanksgiving and  
16                  I'll lay on the couch and point to what to do, or we'll  
17                  have to wait a few days.

18                  And I had the beginnings of ringing in my ears and  
19                  I had some pressure in my ears and some real blurred  
20                  vision, but my primary said, hmm, this should go --  
21                  this should get better on its own, and so -- with rest.  
22                  And with this particular illness, laying down flat and  
23                  hydrating, really pushing fluids, the symptoms get  
24                  better. It's very, what we call, dependent from laying  
25                  down to standing up.

1 Q So ultimately what was the diagnosis or the cause of  
2 this problem?

3 A Ultimately, the diagnosis was a cerebral spinal fluid  
4 leak. The -- there's a layer of fluid around the brain  
5 and the spinal column. There's typically a connective  
6 tissue layer around that that holds that fluid all into  
7 place, and I had a tear in that.

8 Q Sometimes it's called a dural spinal leak?

9 A Yes. It's called a dural leak, mm-hm.

10 Q Did these symptoms impact your ability to work?

11 A Absolutely, yeah.

12 Q You made a trip to Dallas, I think in November?

13 A Right after Thanksgiving, for 20-plus years, I think,  
14 almost 25 years, I was an oral board examiner for the  
15 American College of OB-GYN, and there are weeks where  
16 the board examiners fly in to the testing center in  
17 Dallas. And so my travel to Dallas at that time, I  
18 still know better, and my primary had reassured me  
19 that, you know, you probably just have viral meningitis  
20 and you can go off.

21 Q Did anybody from Dartmouth accompany you?

22 A On that trip, Dr. Leslie DeMars was also there, yes.  
23 And she had nominated me to be an oral board examiner.

24 Q Did the two of you share a room?

25 A We had shared a room.

1 Q At some point, did you go on short-term disability?

2 A Yes, so when I was in Dallas, I started getting double  
3 vision, and just towards the end of that week, and I  
4 had worsening headaches. I lost all depth perception.  
5 I noticed when I was walking down the sidewalk, I  
6 couldn't tell where the end of the sidewalk was and  
7 where it ended. And Leslie actually got on a plane and  
8 flew back on her own for an emergency meeting at  
9 Hitchcock, and I was in Dallas by myself.

10 And I called my primary and she said, Well, you  
11 can go to the ED in Dallas or come home, and so I said,  
12 Well, I'm not going to the ED in Dallas by myself with  
13 nobody else here. I was afraid I had a brain tumor is  
14 what I was worried I had.

15 So when I got back, I called my primary, and she  
16 saw me. That was about mid December, and she ordered  
17 an emergent MRI. So I had the MRI that evening.

18 Q And then from the short-term disability, that  
19 eventually became a long-term disability?

20 A Yeah. So six months I was on short-term disability  
21 receiving care at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, and then I was  
22 not better, and it converted to long-term disability.

23 Q So you say you started the care when you were at  
24 Dartmouth-Hitchcock, right?

25 A Correct.

1 Q And then did it transition to some other place?

2 A Yeah. So the care I received at Dartmouth was not  
3 successful, and I had a new neurologist after my  
4 neurologist retired. And the new neurologist was a  
5 headache specialist from the Cleveland Clinic, and he  
6 was working part-time at Dartmouth, and I walked in to  
7 see him just as an established-care appointment because  
8 my retired neurologist told me that I was completely  
9 healed and I could return to work but that I might have  
10 some persistent symptoms for a while.

11 Q Did that turn out to be right?

12 A No. It was absolutely not right.

13 Q And did you get referred to the Mayo Clinic?

14 A Yeah. Dr. Tepper saw me, and within three minutes of  
15 walking into his office, he said to me, I've read your  
16 chart; I know what's wrong with you. This is  
17 100 percent curable. I can tell you're leaking, that  
18 you're still leaking. If you were my wife, you would  
19 have been on a plane six months ago. We are getting  
20 you on a plane, and I literally walked a hundred yards  
21 down the hallway, and I had a phone call from the Mayo  
22 Clinic, and I went to the Mayo in Rochester. And to be  
23 clear, I had been asking to go to the Mayo Clinic for  
24 six months.

25 Q So when you got to the Mayo Clinic, you ended up with a

1 major surgery, correct?

2 A I did.

3 Q And how long was the rehab on that, that first surgery?

4 A I was on strict bed rest in the Mayo Clinic for 36  
5 hours. I couldn't even sit up. Then my husband and I  
6 stayed for another four or five days in a hotel room  
7 until I could get well enough.

8 I had a spinal surgery where they had removed a  
9 little piece of bone from the back of my spine and had  
10 patched the dura, which was -- it was a tear down in my  
11 lower back -- and then I was on modified bed rest for  
12 six weeks at home. I could get up to go to the  
13 bathroom and to eat, but they wanted me to be hydrating  
14 and relatively -- relatively still until the bone  
15 healed well and correctly.

16 Q So when you got back home and was starting to get  
17 better, when did you begin to return to work from the  
18 long-term disability?

19 A I had a graded plan for my providers at  
20 Dartmouth-Hitchcock, so I began to return to work  
21 several weeks later as I was allowed to return. And,  
22 you know, when -- I was trying to go back. I really  
23 wanted to go back to work, and one of the biggest  
24 reasons was that I had patients who had delayed their  
25 attempts at pregnancy to wait for me to come back, and

1           it was the balance of I know I need to get well, and I  
2           know I can do this, but these people are potentially  
3           moving out of the area, and they delayed their life for  
4           me to come back. And so it was -- I know it's odd to  
5           say come back seven hours a week, but it was for  
6           specific reasons to come back in that time frame.

7 Q       All right. So by the time you came back and were  
8           working this reduced schedule, did you still have some  
9           symptoms?

10 A       Yeah. I still had -- the first surgery I had cured  
11           about 90 percent of my symptoms. And because I had  
12           been leaking for so long, the neurosurgeon that I  
13           talked to on the phone, his team said to me, It may  
14           take a while for things to re-equilibrate. You know,  
15           meaning, I had some swelling in the tissue in the  
16           covering in all of that. My brain was absolutely fine.  
17           There was never any question about that. It was the  
18           other related symptoms.

19 Q       Were you worried that you might not be able to return  
20           to do the surgery that you had been doing for so long?

21 A       No. Because the team at the Mayo Clinic were experts  
22           in the field, and everyone there told me, This is  
23           100 percent fixable. You will be back to yourself; we  
24           just have to get it right. Sometimes it's more than  
25           one surgery. Sometimes we have to do another blood

1 patch, but you will be 100 percent yourself. In fact,  
2 I was one of nine patients they had seen that week with  
3 this. It was like the common cold to them.

4 Q You mentioned a blood patch. What is that? Can you  
5 describe it?

6 A Yeah. So a blood patch, is where they prep your arm  
7 and they take a sample of blood from your arm, and then  
8 they, under imaging, inject that at the site of the  
9 leak using your own blood and the parts of the blood  
10 that help you coagulate to help you clot over it to  
11 help form a patch or a clot or, you know, a little  
12 healing area in that area.

13 Q When you came back and were considering going into the  
14 operating room, was there a need or a desire to have a  
15 proctor to be with you?

16 A Absolutely. So I wanted it, right? I had been out for  
17 a really long time, and they had told me, "You will be  
18 absolutely fine." But it's a normal thing for someone  
19 to have been out that long to kind of re-proctor them  
20 back in.

21 Q So when I use the term "proctor" in this context what  
22 does it mean?

23 A So I would book the case. I would see the patient in  
24 the clinic, book them, and I was disclosing there would  
25 be another surgeon with me there, and then you ask

1 another senior surgeon to come watch you, and they're  
2 not necessarily scrubbed. They're just watching how do  
3 you organize things? How do you communicate with the  
4 staff? How are you able to do the procedure?

5 MR. VITT: I'm going to show Dr. Porter  
6 Exhibit 30, our Plaintiff's Exhibit 37. I don't know  
7 if I should do this through paper or electronically.

8 THE COURT: Go ahead and show the witness the  
9 paper.

10 Q (By Mr. Vitt) I'm going to show you what's been marked  
11 as Exhibit 37. Can you tell me what it is, please?

12 A So I sent an e-mail to Dr. Maria Padin who is -- is the  
13 chief medical officer at the time and also working one  
14 day a week in OB-GYN. And part of when you have your  
15 proctor, part of it is finding a person who's available  
16 to evaluate you who has some ability to watch you in  
17 the OR.

18 Q Right. Did she watch you?

19 A Yes. So I had to keep -- Dr. DeMars had given me the  
20 goal of do three minor procedures and do three major  
21 procedures proctored, which is pretty standard when  
22 someone is coming in. And so I wrote her a note  
23 saying, "Maria, thank you for proctoring and for your  
24 kind encouragement."

25 Q And -- go ahead. I'm sorry.

1 A "I dictated the operative report. Please let me know  
2 if the report needs signature."

3 THE COURT: Mr. Vitt, this isn't admitted  
4 yet?

5 MR. VITT: No, I'm going to move its  
6 admission.

7 THE COURT: But the witness is reading from  
8 it.

9 MR. VITT: Right. I would like to move the  
10 admission of Exhibit 37.

11 THE COURT: Any objection?

12 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

14 THE WITNESS: What it says is, "Misty, you  
15 are a talented surgeon. Thanks for inviting me to your  
16 case."

17 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Okay. Thank you.

18 I want to turn now to how you dealt, and what you  
19 did, with Dr. Albert Hsu. When did Dr. Hsu join the  
20 practice?

21 A Roughly 2014, in the summer, fall.

22 Q And what was his position when he arrived?

23 A He was an attending physician.

24 Q Had you interviewed him before he came to work?

25 A No.

1 Q Did you assess his skills as he entered the practice?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And what did you discover?

4 A I had discovered that in speaking with him before he  
5 came that he had not completed the appropriate number  
6 of procedures in order to graduate from fellowship.

7 And, from my perspective, that wasn't necessarily his  
8 fault. The Jones Institute where he trained is a very  
9 well-known IVF program, and it was the first in the  
10 United States to have an IVF baby, but the year that  
11 Dr. Hsu graduated, that program was put on probation  
12 for poor fellow experience, and when I spoke with him,  
13 it was very clear that he had not met even minimum  
14 numbers in order to be able to sit as an attending.

15 Q So when he showed up at work, and you had a chance to  
16 talk to him and evaluate his level of experience and  
17 expertise, what did you decide to do?

18 A It's -- sometimes individuals just need a little bit  
19 more exposure and a little bit more encouragement, and  
20 that's what we do as faculty, right? We take someone  
21 to where they are and then bring them up from there.

22 And so I decided that, to be fair to Albert, I  
23 would sit with him and do additional procedures. And I  
24 offered myself to do -- to take call with him 24/7 for  
25 the next six months and to sit and teach him IVF

1 procedures and embryo transfer procedures. And when he  
2 came in, he had done half of 20 egg harvests, which is  
3 less than half of what he should have done, and he had  
4 done zero embryo transfers. He had only seen five  
5 trial or mock transfers. And so I tried to see if we  
6 could give him the experience needed to be able to act  
7 as an attending physician.

8 Q So over six months, did you take call with him nights,  
9 weekends, holidays? If he was on call, were you on  
10 call?

11 A 24/7 for six months.

12 Q Had you been asked by anyone in the administration to  
13 do this?

14 A No.

15 Q Did you let the chair at least know that you were going  
16 to do this; you were going to take call so that in an  
17 effort to train him?

18 A Yes. So I had spoken to him October before he came  
19 that next summer, and because he was going to be seeing  
20 patients with me in clinic, he was going to be doing  
21 procedures with me in the IVF suite and procedures in  
22 the OR, we could not book him an independent schedule,  
23 and so what I arranged with Dr. DeMars and with Heather  
24 Gunnell is that he would be with me largely and then we  
25 would progressively increase his schedule and increase

1 his responsibilities.

2 Q He was an attending physician when he came?

3 A He was an attending physician.

4 Q Is it unusual for a newly hired attending physician to  
5 have somebody on the staff basically at their side for  
6 six months of taking call?

7 A It's highly unusual. It's extraordinarily rare.

8 Q What did you find out about his skill level? Was he  
9 trainable?

10 A From an IVF procedure standpoint, he could actually do  
11 a reasonable egg harvest. I didn't have any concern  
12 about that. I did a number of ultrasound-guided trial  
13 or mock transfers on our IVF patient population with  
14 him, and then we did embryo transfers with me standing  
15 behind him. And when I was behind him, he had a  
16 reasonable pregnancy rate.

17 I teach IVF procedures nationally at ultrasound  
18 conferences. I teach the technique of embryo transfer,  
19 and so I have a teaching deck of slides. I got those  
20 out, and we went through those, and the issue became  
21 when he needed to be independent.

22 I felt that he was fine for oocyte retrieval. We,  
23 as part of our quality assurance for the IVF clinic,  
24 we -- every quarter followed pregnancy rates for  
25 individuals both with frozen embryo transfers --

1           embryos that had been frozen previously, and what we  
2           call fresh, or embryos that had never been frozen. So  
3           every provider had their statistics done quarterly, and  
4           Albert's very quickly dropped off and were less than a  
5           third of the other attendings.

6       Q    So when he was on his own, right, without you looking  
7           over his shoulder, did he perform up to acceptable  
8           standards?

9       A    For an egg retrieval, yes, but for the clinic, the OR,  
10           and for embryo transfers, he wasn't able to make that  
11           transition.

12      Q    How about his method of practice? Was he organized?

13      A    He was extremely unorganized.

14      Q    How about his charts? Were they acceptable?

15      A    No. He was regularly on the list from our  
16           administration for individuals who were at risk for  
17           being suspended, which caused a huge amount of problems  
18           in the office because he had office -- I know, a full  
19           office schedule, and he also had OR cases and IVF  
20           responsibilities. And so very regularly he was down to  
21           the wire of coming off the suspension list.

22      Q    In terms of, kind of, an approach to medicine and the  
23           safety of patients, is it important that charts be kept  
24           current?

25      A    Absolutely, for a couple of reasons. One is, we depend

1           on those notes when you come in for a follow-up visit  
2           to know what your health information is, especially for  
3           a procedure. So it's a patient safety issue if those  
4           notes aren't available. And oftentimes I was finding  
5           myself in ultrasound for a procedure without a note.

6           Also, that's the way we communicate with referring care  
7           providers or we get someone ready to the OR so we have  
8           a good review of your personal health history.

9           But we did what we could to help him. So he got  
10          an extra hour at the end of every day that none of the  
11          other attendings had for documentation. We had someone  
12          from IT come up and help him to learn to be more  
13          efficient with our computerized medical record. In  
14          fact, he had what's called at-the-elbow help for that,  
15          which is someone with him in clinic. And then also  
16          we -- I gave him my templates from the computerized  
17          medical record for him to then alter or change for his  
18          own habits so that we can try and facilitate the  
19          development of these skills.

20 Q          Were there patient complaints about Dr. Hsu during the  
21          first six months of his practice?

22 A          Absolutely, yes.

23 Q          And what were the nature of those complaints, in  
24          general?

25 A          The most predominant patient complaint was that his

1 embryo transfers were painful. And when we do an  
2 embryo transfer, the chance for pregnancy is dependent  
3 upon howatraumatic you place that embryo into the  
4 sweet spot of the uterus. So meaning, everything needs  
5 to be quiet. We put a speculum in atraumatically. We  
6 don't manipulate the cervix. We're careful under  
7 ultrasound guidance, because there's really good data  
8 that the more the uterus contracts, the lower the  
9 pregnancy rate is, and so patients were complaining  
10 about both not achieving pregnancy and also the amount  
11 of pain they were having at the time of the embryo  
12 transfer.

13 Q If the embryo transfer is properly done, should it be  
14 painful?

15 A No. In fact, just the opposite.

16 Q So you've got these patient complaints, you've got  
17 somebody who doesn't get his notes in on time. What  
18 did you do?

19 A So I met with him and Dr. DeMars, because I was the  
20 acting division director at the time, and we came up  
21 with strategies to help him become more successful, and  
22 I had been asked to fill out evaluations for him, and  
23 Dr. DeMars also was sending in some kind of remediation  
24 step-wise plans for him.

25 Q Did the additional training help?

1 A No.

2 Q Did you conclude that he was untrainable?

3 A Yes, for some things. Yes.

4 Q And then you got your illness and went out on  
5 disability, right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And he was left on his own?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did that go well?

10 A It did not go well.

11 Q How do you know that?

12 A I had multiple reports from multiple individuals at the  
13 hospital. There was nothing I could do. I was sick,  
14 you know. And I know that Dr. DeMars tried to cut back  
15 his schedule and make sure he was just focused on  
16 certain things and decreased his responsibilities at  
17 the academic medical center which included decreasing  
18 his teaching responsibilities, et cetera.

19 Q So eventually you came back to work, right?

20 A Mm-hm.

21 Q And were you asked to write an assessment about Dr. Hsu  
22 to go to David Seifer who was then the division  
23 director?

24 A I was, by Dr. Seifer first and -- so that you know, I  
25 asked Leslie if I should really write this now. She

1 and I, over all of these months, had been having  
2 conversations about all of the issues for Albert, so it  
3 was not new information. It was a summary of  
4 conversations she and I had had with Albert and that  
5 she and I had had between each other, and so she wrote  
6 me back an e-mail and said please write an assessment,  
7 and I did.

8 Q All right. How long did you take to think about and  
9 write the assessment? How long did this process take?

10 A About two or three days. I had kept a list of some  
11 concerns, because I was doing his evaluations, and I  
12 had a list of patient medical record numbers to be able  
13 to illustrate what the specifics were, and so I wrote  
14 the evaluation.

15 Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Plaintiff's  
16 Exhibit 123. It's a June 3, 2016, letter from you to  
17 Dr. Seifer?

18 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may we be heard  
19 on an objection relating to authenticity and  
20 completeness?

21 THE COURT: Okay. You may approach.

22 (Bench conference held.)

23 Q (By Mr. Vitt) I'm going to return -- I shouldn't say  
24 return. I'll ask you questions about the letter at a  
25 later point.

1 A Okay.

2 Q But I do want to focus on Dr. Hsu's practice after the  
3 date of that letter, which is, what, June 3, 2016?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Did Dr. Hsu continue the scope of the practice that he  
6 had had before June 3, 2016?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And did complaints continue?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Did the residents complain about Dr. Hsu's action in  
11 the OR?

12 A Frequently.

13 Q Did that concern you?

14 A Absolutely. We have a responsibility for safe patient  
15 care, and we have a responsibility to our trainees to  
16 not put them in that position.

17 Q Did you talk to Leslie DeMars?

18 A Multiple times.

19 Q And describe what the substance was of the  
20 conversations that you had with Leslie DeMars about  
21 Albert Hsu.

22 A I told her it gave me no joy to have these  
23 conversations with her; that this person had gone  
24 through many years of training and wasn't able, in my  
25 view, to function at the level an attending, and that

1           our responsibility, to our core, we take an oath for  
2           the care and protection of patients; that we should  
3           talk to Albert about what brings him satisfaction in  
4           work.

5           He's a smart person. He has an MIT degree, and I  
6           had been told by his mentor in residency that he had a  
7           real knack for research, and that I had suggested to  
8           Leslie that helping him in a compassionate way with  
9           kindness transition into a position where he could use  
10          his strengths and his skills would be the appropriate  
11          thing to do, and so we had many conversations around  
12          perhaps being in a primary research position, perhaps  
13          looking for a job in industry, in biotech, to help, you  
14          know, develop new medications, something to help him  
15          transition. And I also talked to her about it was  
16          unfair to patients and unfair to the residents to allow  
17          him to continue in clinical practice.

18 Q          Did she do anything to investigate the claims that you  
19          made?

20 A          Initially, she looked into many of the concerns, and I  
21          asked her to follow up directly with the individuals  
22          who had come to me with concerns so that she could do  
23          her own checking and background.

24 Q          Did you find out whether or not she had done that?

25 A          Initially, she said that she was, and when I asked her,

1           she said she was in process, but I never had a  
2           definitive plan from her.

3 Q       Well, did she do anything to reflect that she had  
4           investigated in the slightest?

5 A       Not really.

6           MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

7           THE COURT: Yes...?

8           MR. SCHROEDER: Leading. He can certainly  
9           ask what she told her, Dr. Porter, or what she observed  
10          her do, but just a blatant statement that, Well, did  
11          she do that? And I think there's a lack of foundation  
12          as well.

13           THE COURT: All right. Sustained.

14 Q       (By Mr. Vitt) I want to turn now to the issue of what  
15          the steps are when either a couple or a woman comes in  
16          and is interested potentially in proceeding with IVF,  
17          okay?

18 A       Or infertility care?

19 Q       Yes, or infertility care.

20           So assuming that a person actually gets to the  
21          point of proceeding with IVF, how many visits are  
22          usually included in this process of actually getting to  
23          the point of doing the IVF?

24 A       In a new individual --

25 Q       New individual.

1 A -- or a couple comes in, there's an initial consult  
2 with a provider, and that could be our nurse  
3 practitioner or one of the physicians, and then we  
4 describe the recommended testing.

5 Q I'm going to interrupt you. So let's start with the  
6 number. One, two, or three?

7 A There's one consultation, there's a second follow-up.

8 Q Right.

9 A And then they decide what treatment they want.

10 Q Okay. So it's -- in this process, we can reasonably  
11 expect that there will be three visits, assuming that  
12 they don't stop after the first one, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Okay. So let's talk the first visit is they come in.  
15 Let's assume it's a couple. What do you do?

16 A We review their histories. We had a several-page  
17 questionnaire that patients would fill out before they  
18 came in, so we would review that. We would review  
19 their medical history, both medical and surgical. We  
20 would also review their family histories for genetics  
21 to see if there was anything that we wanted to test  
22 for, and there were recommendations for offering  
23 genetic screening. We'd also recommend a consult with  
24 their insurance to know what their coverage was before  
25 they proceed, and then we would review lifestyle

1           modifications that might affect fertility, and we would  
2           talk to them about blood testing, semen analysis, and  
3           an assessment of the shape of the uterus and whether  
4           the fallopian tubes were open or not.

5   Q       Okay. So you've got the first visit, and some people  
6           decide it's not for me for whatever reason, right, they  
7           can't afford it or not interested, or they just don't  
8           go down it, right?

9   A       Correct.

10   Q       Okay. What percentage, approximately, of the patients  
11          who come in decide it's not for me, I don't want to do  
12          it?

13   A       About 10 percent. 10 to 15 percent would say that it's  
14          too much, or we're going to continue to try on our own,  
15          or it's too expensive.

16   Q       Okay. So let's go to Step No. 2, right? They decide,  
17          you know, they're not going to go away, they actually  
18          decide they might come back. What's the second option  
19          that you cover?

20   A       So when we get the testing back, we'll have an idea if  
21          they're dropping an egg, or ovulating, every month.  
22          We'll have an idea about the shape of the uterus or  
23          whether the tubes are open or not, and the semen  
24          parameters, because some male parameters, there's just  
25          a mild motility issue, a mild count issue, and they may

1 respond to just washed intrauterine insemination.

2 And then there is a group of individuals who will  
3 come in who will require IVF for fertility  
4 preservation, and so we were doing, oftentimes,  
5 same-day or next-day consults from the Norris Cotton  
6 Cancer Center for people with cancer who wanted to  
7 freeze eggs or sperm or embryos, but there's some  
8 individuals who have blocked tubes or bad  
9 endometriosis, who or are older or have a genetics  
10 issue and are going to go straight to IVF.

11 Q Okay. So you have this second group of individuals who  
12 come in and some of them go with IUI, right?

13 A Mm-hm, intrauterine insemination, IUI.

14 Q Okay. So what's the approximate percentage of the  
15 people who show up who fall into this second category?

16 A About 50 to 60 percentile in our population because  
17 some were just single women who wanted access to the  
18 donor sperm program, so there wasn't any barriers. So  
19 we wouldn't necessarily -- we would tailor the  
20 evaluation just for what was necessary for them. And  
21 then some were just not ovulating, so they might need a  
22 little oral tablet a few days a month to help them  
23 ovulate. So it was a fair number of individuals who  
24 would be in that middle category.

25 Q I got it.

1                   And then you've got the third category of people  
2                   who are actually going to go with the IVF approach,  
3                   right?

4 A               Correct.

5 Q               Okay. So what's the percentage, and I realize these  
6                   numbers are not exact, but the rough percentage of the  
7                   people who fall within the final category, third  
8                   category?

9 A               It's 10 to 20 percentile.

10 Q              Okay. So let's assume that they're attempting or  
11                 intend to proceed with IVF. Is part of the process of  
12                 proceeding with IVF going through something calls a  
13                 mock transfer?

14 A              Yes. So there's a -- it's called mock, or trial,  
15                 embryo transfer, yes.

16 Q              All right. And in brief terms, what's included in a  
17                 mock transfer?

18 A              It's an ultrasound-guided procedure where we take an  
19                 empty embryo catheter and pass it through the cervix to  
20                 make sure there's no barriers in the cervix and to get  
21                 measurements of the uterus so that when we actually  
22                 have an embryo loaded, we're efficient.

23 Q              Okay. And is there a charge to the patient for this  
24                 mock transfer?

25 A              Yes. There's a charge both from the imaging side.

1 Q Right.

2 A And then there's a professional side for the physician.

3 Q All right. And round numbers, what's the charge,  
4 about?

5 A If you take the whole thing to count, it's about  
6 \$1,200.

7 Q Okay. So to do one of these mock transfers it's about  
8 \$1,200, right?

9 A Mm-hm.

10 Q Okay. And the people who need it, who might actually  
11 get some use from it, are people who are going to  
12 proceed with IVF, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And that's about 10 to 20 percent, correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q Okay. Now, when you are out on disability, was there a  
17 change in the point in the process where the mock  
18 transfer was performed?

19 A Yes. Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer had changed the  
20 infertility evaluation so that all patients coming in  
21 for their ultrasound tubal study were getting mock  
22 transfers.

23 Q All right. And while we're talking about the cost of  
24 these various procedures and processes, when you were  
25 at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, round numbers, what's the rough

1 amount for the cost of proceeding with IVF?

2 A It was between 12 -- well, 10 to \$15,000 an attempt.

3 Q Okay. And what percentage of the persons going through  
4 the process were paying out of pocket?

5 A About 50 to 60 percentile.

6 Q So as I listened to your testimony. The 10 to  
7 15 percent who decide they don't want to go forward and  
8 the 50 to 60 percent who go with IUI, do they get any  
9 benefit whatsoever proceeding with a mock transfer?

10 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor. I've  
11 allowed a lot of leading questions, but now we're  
12 getting way beyond the typical leading question, and  
13 it's -- it really looks like cross-examination  
14 examination, if you will.

15 THE COURT: Yeah. This is direct  
16 examination, Mr. Vitt, open-ended questions.

17 MR. VITT: All right.

18 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Did you object to the process that  
19 Dr. Seifer -- Dr. Seifer and Hsu installed, or put in  
20 place, to do the mock transfer at the start instead of  
21 in the third stage when you used to do it?

22 A Yes, absolutely objected.

23 Q And why did you object?

24 A The predominant reason is that most of our patients  
25 were paying out of pocket. And what that means is

1       they're exhausting their savings to have a baby. They  
2       are borrowing from their family to have a baby.  
3       They're second mortgaging their house to have a baby.  
4       And so we had to be mindful that we wanted to give them  
5       the best chance for pregnancy and to conserve all of  
6       their resources, whether it be emotional or financial.  
7       And when you do unnecessary and frequent testing, you  
8       risk exhausting their financial resource well before  
9       they ever get to treatment or limiting their  
10      treatments.

11     Q     Let me ask you: What was the experience of the  
12      patients while you were there, assuming that it's done  
13      competently, what's the average number of attempts that  
14      have to be made before a patient gets pregnant?

15     A     With IVF or other --

16     Q     With IVF, I'm sorry.

17     A     Yeah. So it's between two to three attempts, on  
18      average, usually three, and then I'm going to qualify  
19      that to say there's fresh where we stimulate eggs and  
20      inseminate them to make embryos, and then some couples  
21      get frozen embryos from that cycle, and they can come  
22      back if they're not pregnant or for a future pregnancy  
23      with those frozen embryos, and that was about \$3,500.  
24      So we wanted to make certain we were optimizing their  
25      IVF and giving them the best shot.

1 Q To whom did you object that wasn't proper, in your  
2 view, to be billing for mock transfer for each time  
3 they come in?

4 A I spoke directly with Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer. I spoke  
5 with Leslie DeMars. I spoke with -- and sent e-mails.  
6 I spoke with Heather Gunnell, and I also brought it up  
7 at the Value Institute, when we were looking at  
8 processes, to say this is not right.

9 Q How many times did you bring it up with Dr. Seifer and  
10 Hsu?

11 A Multiple.

12 Q What was their response?

13 A "Well, the patients might need this, so we're going to  
14 do it."

15 Q Did that make any sense to you?

16 A No.

17 Q Did they tell you what they meant by it?

18 A No.

19 Q When you raised the issue with Leslie DeMars, what did  
20 she do?

21 A She said she would talk with them about it.

22 Q Did the practice continue?

23 A Yes.

24 Q All right. Did you talk to her more than once about  
25 it?

A      Absolutely.

Q Did you have a view about the propriety or the appropriateness of billing this way for people who were coming in to try and get pregnant?

A It was absolutely not right.

MR. VITT: Your Honor, can we have an afternoon break?

THE COURT: The plan was to go all the way through to the end of day.

10 MR. VITT: All right. We're going to go to  
11 4:30? That's fine.

12 THE COURT: To 4:30.

13 MR. VITT: All right.

Q (By Mr. Vitt) One other point I meant to raise before:  
What's ASRM?

16 A The American Society for Reproductive Medicine. It's a  
17 national governing body that oversees reproductive  
18 medicine and infertility recommended practice.

19 Q Did they have a recommended process as to when to do  
20 the mock transfer?

21 A They had a recommended process for the appropriate  
22 evaluation of a new couple, and they have suggestions  
23 for the appropriate evaluation pre-IVF.

24 Q I want to turn now to another issue that concerns  
25 Dr. Seifer and Dr. Hsu and that is the performing of

1 procedures and the issue of patient consent.

2 A Yes.

3 Q When a patient comes in to see you, is the issue of  
4 patient consent something that you address?

5 A If they're electing a procedure, yes, absolutely.

6 Q And tell me the process that you've followed in both  
7 advising the patient and obtaining their consent.

8 A When we're preparing to do a procedure, whether it's a  
9 minor outpatient procedure or a more extensive  
10 inpatient procedure, typically, I will discuss with the  
11 patient their evaluation thus far and what the options  
12 will be for them in terms of observation, medical  
13 treatment options, and surgical options, so they have  
14 an understanding of what more common options and what  
15 the guidelines say around recommendations.

16 And then if they choose to proceed, then we talk  
17 about the options and the types of procedures we might  
18 do, and then -- I oftentimes we have screens in  
19 clinic -- I'll bring up a picture to show them what the  
20 possible options are for treatment and then walk  
21 through that procedure in terms of how we would expect  
22 to do it, what the more common complications might be,  
23 what a standard recovery might look like for them, and  
24 then I ask some questions to make certain that they're  
25 comfortable with the information and they've processed

1           it all so that they understand, and then allow them to  
2           ask questions.

3 Q       Do you discuss with them whether the procedure might be  
4           painful or uncomfortable?

5 A       Absolutely.

6 Q       Is the issue of patient consent fundamental to the  
7           practice of medicine?

8 A       It's foundational to what we do. Patients have a right  
9           to decide for their own body what decisions they'll  
10          make. It's called body autonomy.

11 Q       Is the consent reflected in anything that the patient  
12          signs?

13 A       Yes. We have a patient sign either a written document,  
14          or we have electronic documents.

15 Q       You've ordered a lot of ultrasounds over the years,  
16          haven't you?

17 A       Absolutely.

18 Q       And you're familiar with the staff at  
19          Dartmouth-Hitchcock who perform the ultrasounds?

20 A       Yes.

21 Q       Were they competent?

22 A       Extremely competent.

23 Q       Experienced?

24 A       Very experienced.

25 Q       Do you have confidence in their abilities?

1 A Absolutely.

2 Q And you see them handle ultrasounds, have you not?

3 A Yes, for many years. I was there 21 years.

4 Q When the patient arrives to have an ultrasound, what's  
5 the process? What happens?

6 A They check in. The sonographer -- and there's often,  
7 not always, but oftentimes there's an assistant that  
8 helps them clean the rooms and room the patient. They  
9 will double-check the procedure to be performed, and  
10 then the patient is brought in to the room, and there's  
11 a description of what they need to do, whether it's get  
12 undressed or whatnot, and then the sonographer will  
13 perform the ordered ultrasound.

14 Q Were you in the ultrasound read room right after Albert  
15 Hsu had performed a procedure?

16 A Yes, came in to cover clinic that afternoon in the  
17 ultrasound suite.

18 Q When you arrived in the ultrasound suite who was there?

19 A Jenice Gonyea, who is a very experienced ultrasound  
20 technician, and Jenny Carpenter had been there earlier  
21 in the morning. I'm not sure if she was still there.

22 Q Have you worked with Jenice Gonyea for a while?

23 A Many years.

24 Q All right. Can you describe what you observed when you  
25 arrived?

1 A When I arrived to the ultrasound clinic Jenice who is  
2 normally very composed, very professional, was jittery.  
3 Her voice was high-pitched. She was markedly  
4 distressed when I walked in.

5 Q Did you ask her why?

6 A Yes.

7 Q What did she tell you?

8 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor,  
9 hearsay.

10 MR. VITT: I think what's critical here is  
11 Dr. Porter's state of mind because she's going to be  
12 making complaints.

13 THE COURT: We should have a discussion about  
14 this outside the presence of the jury, if it's going to  
15 be an extended conversation, so I'll ask you to  
16 approach.

17 MR. VITT: Okay.

18 (Bench conference held.)

19 THE COURT: So earlier, members of the jury,  
20 you may have heard me say plans to power through to  
21 4:30, and I thought maybe I saw some looks on your  
22 faces. So I'm going to give you all a very brief  
23 five-minute break to stretch your legs, use the  
24 restroom. We'll come back very quickly. We just have  
25 about 45 minutes left of your trial day. So, thank

1 you.

2 (Jury exits.)

3 (Recess taken.)

4 (Jury present.)

5 Q (By Mr. Vitt) I am not asking you now to tell me what  
6 Jenice Gonyea said. She will appear as a witness in  
7 the next day or two, and she will describe the  
8 substance of the conversation. But after you left the  
9 ultrasound room, did you take any steps to report  
10 anything to anybody in administration?

11 A I immediately went to Heather Gunnell and explained the  
12 situation, and Heather is a non-medical person, so I  
13 spent some time going through with her what was  
14 reported to me and that, in my view, it was an absolute  
15 violation of our responsibility as healthcare  
16 providers.

17 I also spoke with Leslie DeMars a little bit later  
18 in the day. I also spoke with Jenice, and I suggested  
19 that she go directly to her immediate supervisor, who  
20 was Dennis Seguin who oversaw all the ultrasound  
21 technicians, and report her observations and concern.  
22 And then I suggested that this was a severe enough  
23 fundamental violation of patient rights that they  
24 should go to the head of ultrasound and the head of  
25 radiology.

1 My conversation with Leslie DeMars was that it  
2 should be reported to risk management as well because I  
3 felt that it was severe enough they should know and  
4 that the provider who was involved, there should be an  
5 investigation, there should be education, and that the  
6 patient should be contacted, the situation explained,  
7 and an apology extended and have their questions  
8 answered.

9 Q So when you used the term "provider," in this context,  
10 it means doctor, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q I'll move to a new topic. I'm going to show you what's  
13 been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 9.

14 MR. VITT: I'm not going to be introducing  
15 it. I just want to show it to the witness.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: Judge, I apologize.

17 MR. VITT: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Okay.

21 (Bench conference held.)

22 Q (By Mr. Vitt) After David Seifer was hired as the  
23 division director, did you and Leslie DeMars talk  
24 periodically about his suitability for the job, his  
25 competence, how he was measuring up?

1 A Yes. Before he started with us. It was completely  
2 coincidental, Leslie and I were at the boards together  
3 again, a different year. And at the boards there was a  
4 lunch break, and the examiners all are at tables of 10  
5 or 12 people, and I was sitting next to a group of REIs  
6 and a physician who had been a medical student here UVM  
7 who was now in Portland, Oregon, and I was just  
8 chatting with them about who was joining us, and the  
9 physician who was from Portland perked up, and said we  
10 need to have a conversation --

11 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Sustained.

13 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Let me interrupt. I'm not sure we want  
14 to get to that right now.

15 A Okay.

16 Q So I understand that you had a conversation with  
17 somebody at the board meeting?

18 A Yeah.

19 Q What I want to focus on now is after he was hired, he's  
20 in the job, he's acting as division director --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- did you and Leslie DeMars periodically talk about:  
23 Is this person capable of doing the job as the division  
24 director?

25 A Absolutely.

1 Q All right. And what did you say to Dr. DeMars about  
2 your view of his competence?

3 A I was really worried that he was not competent; that he  
4 was incompetent.

5 Q All right.

6 A And that he appeared disoriented at times.

7 Q And did you cover or discuss what the lab was reporting  
8 about the quality of the retrievals that he was -- or  
9 the aspirates that came back in the egg counts?

10 A There was multiple reports from several of the  
11 embryology staff, including Dr. Esfandiari, directly to  
12 me, and I was IVF medical director at the time so he  
13 would come to me with problems as would the  
14 embryologists, and they reported two things: One, the  
15 egg count, we can usually predict to plus or minus a  
16 few what the number of eggs we expect, based on testing  
17 beforehand, ultrasound, blood work. The egg counts  
18 were repetitively low, and that's important because it  
19 significantly decreases someone's chance for pregnancy  
20 if we're not getting all the eggs that we can get. And  
21 they were complaining about how bloody the fluid was in  
22 the traps with clots. And why that's important to them  
23 is if the egg is stuck in a big clot, they risk  
24 damaging it as they're trying to isolate the eggs out  
25 of the follicular aspirates. And occasionally there

1           will be bloody traps, but it should not be at all a  
2           regular finding. Normally, a trap -- which is a  
3           plastic tube that we collect the aspirates in -- is the  
4           color of champagne, and they were markedly concerned  
5           about the number and the appearance and the difficult  
6           work they were having trying to isolate the eggs.

7   Q   What does the presence of blood tell you about the  
8           likelihood that you're going to have a successful  
9           transplant or planting of the embryo?

10   A   Well, in a trap, it significantly decreases the number  
11           of embryos that we'll get, and that significantly  
12           decreases the chance for pregnancy.

13   Q   And is the presence of blood, in particular, harmful  
14           for the chances of successful --

15   A   Well, within the trap itself, yes, it increases a  
16           likelihood the eggs will not be dissected out properly  
17           and they will be injured in that process in the lab.  
18           And there is also a different type of blood in the  
19           coldus (?) that can be a real problem.

20   Q   Did you discuss with Dr. DeMars whether it was ethical  
21           for the division to be offering IVF services if David  
22           Seifer was doing retrieval?

23   A   Yeah. I told her that he needed to be pulled and  
24           pulled quickly.

25   Q   When you say "pulled," what do you mean?

1 A That he needed to have his credentials pulled for doing  
2 IVF. She had asked me to go in and observe his  
3 procedures. And it was, you know, fine because there  
4 was this possibility that maybe he wasn't as familiar  
5 with the technique, so we demonstrated the technique.

6 And I went in to watch him for three procedures on  
7 the same day, and the first one, it was very clear he  
8 didn't know how to use our particular equipment, so I  
9 sat down and demonstrated. It was very odd because we  
10 had not used -- in an IVF needle, it can have one  
11 opening all the way down called a single lumen or two.  
12 We had not regularly used a double lumen needle in more  
13 than ten years. And a single lumen needle is standard  
14 IVF practice right now with very rare use of a double  
15 lumen.

16 And he appeared to really be struggling with  
17 reading the ultrasound images, which should, again, be  
18 extremely standard practice. And then I demonstrated  
19 in the second one, and then I did half of the third  
20 one, and had him do the other half to go through the  
21 procedure for the third one.

22 Q Was Leslie DeMars receptive to your idea of restricting  
23 or limiting his ability to perform these?

24 A No.

25 Q Did you ask her why?

1 A Yes.

2 Q What did she say?

3 A She said, "You have a different skill set, and your bar  
4 is too high."

5 Q Okay. Since I've mentioned Leslie DeMars, let me spend  
6 a few minutes talking about your history of dealings  
7 with her professionally and outside the hospital.

8 A Mm-hm.

9 Q When did you meet her?

10 A She started around the same time, in 1996, at  
11 Dartmouth.

12 Q And by 2017, you had almost 20 years of working with  
13 her?

14 A Yes.

15 Q How would you describe your working relationship?

16 A We largely didn't see much of each other unless we were  
17 at a faculty meeting or scrubbed on an occasional case  
18 together, but it was collegial. It was a collegial  
19 working relationship with respect for each other's  
20 skill.

21 Q When you say you scrubbed together...?

22 A Oh, we did OR cases together, occasionally, yeah.

23 Q Okay. And those would be cases where the patient had  
24 some cancer?

25 A Cancer or a really complicated benign surgery where I

1           thought the risk of injury to other structures was  
2           quite high. And that's not uncommon for us to call in  
3           a gynecologic oncologist if, for example, the tube that  
4           comes from the kidney under the uterus is really stuck,  
5           we will call them in so we don't injure it. It's  
6           planned. We know in advance we need them.

7 Q        Okay. Did your work overlap with her in the division  
8           over the years?

9 A        Yes.

10 Q        Okay. As the chair of the OB-GYN department, what did  
11           you understand were her main duties?

12 A        She was responsible for all of the faculty, overseeing  
13           the educational mission and overseeing the finance and  
14           budgets of the department.

15 Q        Okay.

16 A        And representing the department in the greater  
17           organization.

18 Q        Do you know of a situation where Dr. DeMars implemented  
19           performance improvement plans for surgeons where you  
20           were involved?

21 A        Yes.

22 Q        Can you describe that please?

23 A        Yes. So Leslie was also head of GYN oncology, and she  
24           had a junior female partner who, over the course of  
25           many years, had really struggled in being able to --

1 maintain, retaining operating room nurses, meaning, she  
2 was so verbally abusive to them that they could not get  
3 faculty -- I mean, I'm sorry. They could not get scrub  
4 techs and OR nurses to go into her room. And it became  
5 a patient safety issue because there would be a big  
6 cancer case scheduled, and the nurses would come in to  
7 see their assignments and refuse to go in with her.

8 Q And did you have some role in trying to work with this  
9 surgeon?

10 A Yes. Leslie DeMars felt that she had failed in her  
11 efforts and that she had involved Human Resources to  
12 provide appropriate counseling for this individual, and  
13 so she asked me to sit as -- I was -- at Dartmouth I  
14 was vice chair for perioperative services. I, for more  
15 than 20 years, sat on the OR, operating room,  
16 committee, and in that role, she asked me to sit with  
17 this provider and do some counseling to try and provide  
18 some active feedback and to look over the next several  
19 months at her performance in the OR, the ability to  
20 support and retain staff.

21 Q So did you meet the four times?

22 A We met four times for about an hour and a half with a  
23 representative from Human Resources to -- three of us,  
24 in this physician's office to provide feedback and  
25 counseling.

1 Q Did it work out?

2 A Initially, she would get better, and then she would  
3 repetitively have problems. And, ultimately, it did  
4 not work out for this physician over the course of the  
5 next several years. She was terminated.

6 Q So I want to turn to your understanding of Leslie  
7 DeMars' responsibilities as the chair of OB-GYN. Who  
8 do you believe had the responsibility to investigate  
9 the multiple reports of the incompetence of Dr. Hsu and  
10 Dr. Seifer?

11 A Leslie DeMars.

12 Q If it was reported that they were not following the  
13 ASRM guidelines, whose obligation would it be to make  
14 sure that they changed their progress?

15 A Leslie DeMars.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.  
17 Assumes facts not in evidence, lack of foundation, and  
18 leading.

19 THE COURT: I'll allow it.

20 Q (By Mr. Vitt) There have been discussions about --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Vitt, was the question  
22 answered?

23 THE WITNESS: Leslie DeMars is responsible.

24 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Thank you, Doctor.

25 Whose obligation was it to prevent patient harm

1                   that was caused by the failures of these doctors?

2 A                 Leslie DeMars.

3 Q                 How often would you say you went to Dr. DeMars with  
4                   complaints about Dr. Seifer and Dr. Hsu?

5 A                 Weekly.

6 Q                 She get tired of seeing you?

7 A                 Yes.

8 Q                 Did she tell you that?

9 A                 Yes.

10 Q                 You keep going back?

11 A                 Yes.

12 Q                 Why?

13 A                 To our core, we are to protect and care for patients.

14 Q                 At some point, did she tell you that she had spoken to  
15                   folks in management and that Dr. Seifer was going to  
16                   leave or go?

17 A                 Yes.

18                   MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

19                   THE WITNESS: She told me that she had  
20                   spoken --

21                   MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

22                   MR. VITT: Hold on.

23                   THE COURT: Hold on. Overruled. Go ahead.

24 Q                 (By Mr. Vitt) All right. Go ahead.

25 A                 Yes. It was really concerning to me that she did not

1 seem to be taking the appropriate steps to provide a  
2 safe environment for patients or for our learners, and  
3 at one point, we had an argument. I set up a meeting,  
4 and I met with her in her office, and I told her, This  
5 is not right. We had an obligation. We need to  
6 protect our patients. We need to protect our learners.  
7 There's a way to compassionately counsel people and get  
8 them into the right position and fix --

9 Q When was this conversation?

10 A Around February before the -- before the program  
11 closed, that year, so February 2017. And that's when  
12 she started saying to me, "I've had a conversation with  
13 Maria Padin. I've spoken with Ed Merrens. Dave is  
14 going to go." And I -- and I said to her, "Good." And  
15 I said, "About Albert?" And she would say to me, "Keep  
16 your head down; stay out of it. You need to work on  
17 getting well and do your job."

18 Q How often did this topic of you need to do -- you need  
19 to work on getting well, how often did that come up?

20 A Every time I met with her.

21 Q And do you have -- what did you understand that to  
22 mean?

23 A I thought it was a two-pointed message which was,  
24 You've reported it to the appropriate person; this is  
not your concern now. But also, You've been sick,

1           you've been out on disability, and you need to focus on  
2           getting well.

3   Q       Did you tell her that you were doing fine, you were  
4           recovering, and you're perfectly capable of doing, you  
5           know, complicated surgeries?

6   A       What I told her at that time was that while I was about  
7           90 percent healed from my initial surgery, I was going  
8           back to the Mayo Clinic periodically for blood patches.  
9           I would be gone for a few days and come back to work  
10          part-time. She knew that this was 100 percent curable.  
11          And, at that point, she was expressing a desire for me  
12          to -- to get well.

13   Q       All right. To the 100 percent curable, was that  
14          information you got from the folks at the Mayo?

15   A       Yes.

16   Q       And you passed that along to Dr. DeMars?

17   A       Absolutely, and Dr. Tepper, my new neurologist at  
18          Dartmouth said to me, "This is 100 percent curable."

19   Q       So you got that advice from two sources --

20   A       Yes.

21   Q       -- first at Dartmouth-Hitchcock and the folks at the  
22          Mayo Clinic, right?

23   A       Yeah. At all levels at Mayo Clinic, I had four  
24          different providers at the Mayo Clinic.

25   Q       Okay. And, at some point, you had to go back for what

1 I call a touch-up surgery?

2 A Right. After the third blood patch, I still had about  
3 10 percent of my symptoms, and they said this is  
4 100 percent curable. And, at that point, they said,  
5 you know, it's probably time for you to go back for a  
6 second surgery; it's not uncommon. You know, sometimes  
7 people need two or three surgeries. Not a surgery I  
8 wanted to do again, which is why I was patient with the  
9 blood patches.

10 You know, I think people might know Steven Kerr,  
11 who is a professional basketball coach, had three  
12 surgeries to repair his leak. 100 percent. He coached  
13 the Olympic team.

14 Q So when you were out at the Mayo Clinic the last time,  
15 do you recall -- I think it was the last time, do you  
16 recall being on the table and thinking, I'm not going  
17 to let this have an effect on my career?

18 A Yeah.

19 Q Tell me about that.

20 A I had been sick for a really long time. I was trying  
21 really hard to come back, and I was sitting there for  
22 my third blood patch toward the end of the day, and  
23 you're in a little holding room, in one of those awful  
24 hospital Johnnies. My whole arm was painted up with  
25 Betadine, and I had two big IVs in, and I had always

wanted my career. I highly value my career. It  
brought me great joy. And I knew the possibilities  
were to stay out on long-term disability or to get  
well, and I, for all kinds of reasons, made the choice.  
I said, I do not want this illness to keep me from  
doing the job that I love, and off I went for my third  
blood patch.

8 Q Okay.

(Court at recess for the day.)

1 | March 26, 2025

2 Morning Session

3 | \* \* \*

4 (Jury present.)

5 MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, witness herein,

6 being first duly sworn on oath, was

examined and testified as follows:

8 THE WITNESS: I do.

9 THE CLERK: Thank you.

## **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

11 BY MR. VITT:

12 Q All set?

13 A All set.

14 Q Good morning.

15 A Good morning.

16 Q I want to circle back to something that came up  
17 yesterday. Who is Joan Barthold?

18 A Joan Barthold is a general OB-GYN at  
19 Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center.

20 Q Did you receive a phone call from Joan Barthold in the  
21 summer of 2017 after you had been terminated?

22 A Yes - I did

23 Q What did she want from you?

24 A Joan called to ask me to explain to her how to do an  
25 ultrasound-guided tubal patency study

1 Q Is this sometimes called a hycosy?

2 A Yeah. The short is hycosy which hystero, referring to  
3 uterus, contrast, using fluid in bubbles to look at the  
4 tubes, whether they're open or not, so we call it a  
5 hycosy.

6 Q And she wanted you to explain this over the phone?

7 A Yes. She called me and asked me -- she told me that  
8 she had been asked to do the procedure in ultrasound  
9 for a patient that had been scheduled, and she had  
10 never seen one or done one before.

11 Q What was your first thought when you got this request  
12 to walk through this procedure over the phone?

13 A Joan and I had been friends and colleagues for a long  
14 time, and so I felt badly for her, but I also was  
15 like -- felt badly for the patient, but it seemed to  
16 me, this is nutty. They have a patient need, and  
17 patients are still going to require these services, and  
18 no one is available to provide the services.

19 Q How long did you train to learn how to perform this  
20 procedure?

21 A We trained in fellowship to learn to do the x-ray  
22 variation of this, so I had done hundreds in fellowship  
23 down in radiology with an attending behind me. And  
24 there are parallel skills to be able to do this, but  
25 the ultrasound version itself has its own variants, and

1 I actually teach how to do these procedures nationally,  
2 and I have for years. And so it's not as easy as see  
3 one, do one, teach one for these types of procedures.  
4 It requires months of learning, both didactically and  
5 then learning as you're doing it for patients.

6 Q Were you the only doctor at Dartmouth-Hitchcock at this  
7 time who was performing hycosy?

8 A I was the only person in the department, and then I  
9 taught Albert to do them.

10 Q As a general rule, what would be the basic steps in,  
11 say, an attending physician trying to learn how to do a  
12 new procedure like this?

13 A In any procedure is the question?

14 Q Well, let's say hycosy.

15 A Well, in hycosy. So learning by reading at a national  
16 meeting, demonstration -- and that's what I do; I teach  
17 how they're done and the advantages and disadvantages  
18 and complications of them -- then standing behind  
19 somebody else who is doing them for demonstration  
20 purposes, and then having an experienced person stand  
21 behind you to do them all under consenting the patient  
22 so that they're aware who is in the room and what is  
23 being done and what the expectations are, and then also  
24 learning from your procedures what went well, what  
25 didn't go well and how you might optimize that care.

1 Q In a situation like this where the doctor has never  
2 performed a procedure that she's going to perform on a  
3 patient, what would you expect that the patient would  
4 have to be told?

5 A That that individual had never done that procedure  
6 before; to be fully advised is part of the informed  
7 consent procedure.

8 Q How did you make it clear to Dartmouth-Hitchcock that  
9 you should have been kept on to do non-infertility work  
10 after the closure of the REI division?

11 A I wrote a letter to administration, and there are a few  
12 different things, stating the ways in which I felt that  
13 I could contribute to the care of patients, to the  
14 academic mission, and there had been raised a concern  
15 about Dr. DeMars; that she couldn't keep me because I  
16 was on long-term disability; that it would compromise  
17 my disability. So I wrote -- in that letter, I wrote a  
18 letter saying that my understanding, having spoken with  
19 legal counsel, is that it would not compromise my  
20 disability to stay as faculty.

21 MR. VITT: Your Honor, could we approach the  
22 bench about the admission of this letter?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 (Bench conference held.)

25 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.

1 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Ms. Porter, I'm going to show you what is  
2 marked at the bottom B12, and it's -- well, you can  
3 tell me what it is.

4 Let me show you what's been marked as Defendant's  
5 Exhibit B12. So what's the first page?

6 A The first page is just the cover e-mail.

7 Q That you wrote?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And how about the letter that's attached to that? Is  
10 that your letter?

11 A It's the letter, yes.

12 MR. VITT: I would like to move its  
13 admission, Judge.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Schroeder, no objection?

15 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.

16 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

17 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Did you make it clear that you were  
18 willing to work in the OB-GYN department even if the  
19 job didn't involve infertility?

20 A Absolutely, yes.

21 Q Did anyone from Dartmouth-Hitchcock reach out to you  
22 and work through, in some sort of interactive process  
23 because you were on long-term disability, how this  
24 would work?

25 A No.

1 Q Why do you believe that you were not reassigned to the  
2 OB-GYN department?

3 A Because I was on long-term disability.

4 Q Were you available to meet with officials at  
5 Dartmouth-Hitchcock to explain the work that you were  
6 prepared to do in the OB-GYN department?

7 A Yes. I had been working mostly in gynecology for the  
8 last several months, and working with my nurse  
9 practitioner who had been my nurse practitioner for 16  
10 years in a joint complex OB-GYN practice and she was  
11 sept (sic).

12 Q When you say a complex OB-GYN practice, what do you  
13 mean?

14 A Our shared clinics. She would do things such as annual  
15 exams, and then my patients had abnormal bleeding,  
16 endometriosis, consults for all types of surgery,  
17 difficulty IUD placements, meaning anything that was  
18 beyond annual exams, she and I were a team together in  
19 clinic.

20 Q All right. When did you first hear that the REI  
21 division was going to be closed?

22 A On the day that I was called into an unexpected  
23 meeting.

24 Q How did you learn that there was going to be a meeting?

25 A I received an e-mail that was just an Outlook Calendar

1 request by Dr. DeMars at like 7 or 8:00 at night.

2 Q And the date of the meeting was what?

3 A I believe May 4th.

4 Q All right. And when you arrived, who was there?

5 A When I arrived, it was Leslie DeMars, Dr. Ed Merrens,  
6 Dr. David Seifer and Albert Hsu, Elizabeth Todd, and a  
7 member of HR, who I believe is Steven Woods.

8 Q And what happened next after everybody gathered?

9 A Leslie stood up and read a short, like, three-sentence,  
10 statement saying that the REI division was going to be  
11 closed, and she left the room. And then Elizabeth Todd  
12 left the room, and I was directed to stay with  
13 Dr. Merrens and the representative from HR, and the  
14 other two physicians were instructed to go to their  
15 offices.

16 Q And what happened?

17 A They read a statement saying that we were being  
18 terminated and that there was information in a folder  
19 that had a separation agreement and some information  
20 about my pension plan. I completely dissolved. I was  
21 just sobbing, and it was completely unexpected, and I  
22 had been ill for many months trying to get well and get  
23 back to my career, and had been given many reassurances  
24 by Dr. DeMars that the program would either slow down  
25 or pause, but that it wouldn't be a termination, and

1           that, in fact, the day before, we met and she told me I  
2           would be okay and to keep my head down and that we  
3           would be opening back up. So to go into this was  
4           completely unexpected and completely shocking.

5   Q   And did Dr. DeMars -- excuse me, did Dr. Merrens say  
6           anything to you in the meeting?

7   A   Yes. I was sobbing, and Steven Woods was sitting  
8           across from me reading. Dr. Merrens was sitting to the  
9           right, and he said to me to "stay out on disability,"  
10          and at first I was like, you know, that's never what I  
11          wanted. I always wanted to go back to work.

12           And as they're reading, I was just sobbing, and he  
13          said it again: "Stay out on disability, Misty." And I  
14          was thinking, That's never what I wanted. I want to  
15          work. And after he said it a second time, Steven  
16          was -- kind of paused, and then he continued reading  
17          and talking a little bit about what my next steps would  
18          be, and Dr. Merrens, a third time, said, "Stay out on  
19          disability, Misty," and that's when Steven Woods  
20          stopped and shot him a look like, you know, this is --  
21          you know, you shouldn't be saying that or whatnot. He  
22          didn't say anything, but --

23           MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

24           MR. VITT: I'll move on.

25   Q   (By Mr. Vitt) What were you told was the reason that

1                   the REI division was being closed?

2       A     None of it made any sense to me because there was a  
3                   series of various reasons that were given, none of  
4                   which were foundational at all. There was this  
5                   question about nursing coverage which was not accurate.  
6                   There was a comment about in-fighting which was not  
7                   accurate. There was this whole series of reasons that,  
8                   in my experience of 21 years being there and being the  
9                   REI medical director, had no basis.

10      Q     All right. What happened next?

11      A     I went to my office, and because they had told us, and  
12                  we had actively cycling IVF patients, we had patients  
13                  going through infertility care, and if you think about  
14                  how fragile these people are to hear that we're closing  
15                  the program in the same few weeks that you're getting  
16                  this very intimate care was very ungrounding for them.

17                  I tried as hard as I could just to keep it  
18                  together because of all things, I felt I could try and  
19                  help them through it and also leave well with my  
20                  integrity intact. And so I grabbed my things out of  
21                  the office, and I went out to the car and sat there and  
22                  sobbed.

23      Q     You eventually made it home?

24      A     Eventually. I had to be out in the car for a while  
25                  before I felt safe enough to drive.

1 Q When was the next time that you saw Leslie DeMars?

2 A There was about a two- or three-week period after that.

3 There's a sidewalk and parking garage between the staff  
4 parking and the clinic building, and we passed each  
5 other on the sidewalk, and I was sobbing when I saw her  
6 and, again, trying to keep it together and trying to be  
7 the best person I could be for patients and staff. And  
8 Leslie gave me a big, huge hug as I was crying, and  
9 looked me in the eye and held my hand up and said,  
10 "Mostly, I want you to get well." And I said to her,  
11 "You could have kept me." And she knew my abilities in  
12 gynecology. She knew my abilities in ultrasound, and  
13 she said to me, "I couldn't have because of your  
14 disability. That would have compromised your long-term  
15 disability."

16 And that didn't make any sense to me because I had  
17 been working really hard to come back and reporting my  
18 hours as I was coming back and reporting to the  
19 disability company. And I early on had hired because  
20 of how sick I was, I had hired an attorney in Boston to  
21 help me manage the complexities of the disability claim  
22 so I would understand it, and she had said to me that  
23 working with --

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

25 THE WITNESS: -- would not.

1 THE COURT: Hold on. Objection. Basis?

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Hearsay.

3 THE COURT: This is Dr. DeMars?

4 THE WITNESS: No, my attorney in Boston.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

6 THE WITNESS: Okay. But Dr. DeMars said it  
7 would compromise my disability if she had kept me as an  
8 employee.

9 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Did Dr. DeMars tell you that your  
10 employment was being terminated because of splitting  
11 behavior or anything about your behavior?

12 A Absolutely not.

13 Q Had Dr. DeMars ever spoken to you about your behavior  
14 and, sort of, being critical?

15 A Never.

16 Q Had you ever been put on a performance improvement  
17 plan?

18 A Never.

19 Q In the parking lot, did Dr. DeMars tell you that your  
20 inability to work full-time was the reason that you  
21 were not being kept on?

22 A No.

23 Q Did she mention anything about you no longer being --  
24 having the ability to be a worker bee or anything like  
25 that?

1 A No.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor, overly  
3 leading.

4 THE COURT: Sustained.

5 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Was there any discussion with Dr. DeMars  
6 about you going to work at UVM?

7 A No. We were training UVM fellows at that time in IVF  
8 at Dartmouth, and I had an appointment as the on-site  
9 fellowship director. We were teaching them IVF and to  
10 increase their IVF volume as the -- there was a prior  
11 practice that opened up in Burlington, and that the  
12 fellows had lost a huge amount of volume, so we took  
13 that responsibility at Dartmouth to train those  
14 fellows.

15 Q At any point in the middle of May 2017 onward, did Ed  
16 Merrens set up a meeting with you and discuss whether  
17 you would be willing to do OB-GYN work if it did not  
18 involve infertility?

19 A No one asked to meet with me.

20 Q If you had been asked by anyone at Dartmouth-Hitchcock  
21 whether you were interested in doing OB-GYN work that  
22 did not involve infertility, what would you have said?

23 A Yes. My husband and I had been married 36 years, and I  
24 would want a job that I had for 21 years, yes.

25 Q Were you already, at the time that you were terminated,

1 spending most of your working time doing what I would  
2 call regular OB-GYN work?

3 A Yes. I was more than 80 percent doing ultrasound,  
4 complex ultrasound, GYN ultrasound, and complex GYN in  
5 the office.

6 During the Value Institute, they had asked the  
7 providers if they would shift their responsibilities  
8 away from fertility care while they reorganized, and I  
9 raised my hand and said, "I'm already doing that. I  
10 will do that no problem. It's easy for me to do that.  
11 I've done that my entire career."

12 Q And had you made it clear to Leslie DeMars that the  
13 surgery that you were going to have at the Mayo Clinic  
14 would result in 100 percent you being cured of the  
15 problem you had before?

16 A Multiple times. I had multiple conversations with  
17 Leslie that this was 100 percent curable.

18 Q So we've talked, I guess yesterday, about OB-GYN  
19 departments relying upon your expertise to read  
20 ultrasounds. I want to turn for a minute or two about  
21 the surgeries that you are able to perform that no one  
22 else at Dartmouth-Hitchcock could perform.

23 Were there surgeries that you could perform in the  
24 OB-GYN area that no one else could perform?

25 A Yes.

1 Q How do you know that?

2 A I'm the -- I was the -- at Dartmouth, I was the vice  
3 chair of perioperative services, and part of that vice  
4 chair role, we had a departmental operative committee  
5 that met every week to review cases and case volumes.  
6 And so I knew intimately what people were doing within  
7 the department and at what volume because we met weekly  
8 to look at how many cases there were, what type of  
9 cases, and how we were booking our operating room  
10 efficiency. So I knew what people were doing on a  
11 regular basis. And I also knew that my training was  
12 unique and that I was receiving referrals from just  
13 about every division in the department to perform  
14 surgeries that other people didn't perform.

15 Q Currently, are you able to perform surgeries that are  
16 not capable of being performed by any of the doctors at  
17 Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

18 A Yes.

19 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, foundation.

20 THE WITNESS: I --

21 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

22 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Let me try to -- do you get referrals  
23 from Dartmouth-Hitchcock to perform surgeries?

24 A Yes. Regularly.

25 Q And do you know why those referrals go to you instead

1           of being kept at Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

2   A    Yes. Because they don't have the capacity or ability  
3           to do them.

4   Q    All right.

5   A    I'm getting referrals from Manchester, New Hampshire,  
6           within the Dartmouth-Hitchcock network to do surgery.  
7           I'm getting referrals regularly from  
8           Dartmouth-Hitchcock providers.

9   Q    Are the surgeries that you are being asked to perform  
10           what I would call -- are they complicated surgeries?

11   A    Yes.

12   Q    Are they important?

13   A    Yes. It's anywhere from women and girls who are born  
14           with birth defects or variants of the reproductive  
15           tract, and so recreating a normal tract or trying to  
16           significantly impact both their hygiene and ability to  
17           have a normal pregnancy. That's what we call a uterine  
18           mullerian anomalies.

19           Also, some women, very early in life, have a  
20           disorder where they get multiple fibroids which causes  
21           pain and bleeding and can potentially significantly  
22           impact their fertility. And that's something that I've  
23           done for many years. And so as a fertility specialist  
24           and a complex gynecologist, the myomectomy  
25           Dr. MacCallum described, I do these procedures both

1           robotically and open, and I get regular referrals for  
2           those and also pelvic pain and endometriosis surgery  
3           for complex patients with advanced-stage endometriosis.  
4           I also get referrals to have a second look at  
5           ultrasounds. So across the board, I'm getting consults  
6           and referrals.

7 Q        You mentioned the surgery where somebody might have two  
8           linings of the uterus?

9 A        Yes.

10 Q       And you, as part of the surgery were removing one of  
11           those linings?

12 A       We are -- women are sometimes -- about one in a hundred  
13           women are born with a different shape of their uterus  
14           and vagina, and sometimes there's a little arc at the  
15           top, and sometimes it's a septum, it's an extra wall  
16           that comes down, and it can vary how far it comes down.  
17           So on ultrasound, it looks like two linings, and we can  
18           put -- through a little telescope in the cervix, we can  
19           put scissors in to take that extra wall down to create  
20           that more triangular shape.

21           I do that very regularly especially in  
22           consultation with the high-risk OB service at  
23           Dartmouth, because some of those patients have  
24           delivered preterm, sometimes profoundly preterm and  
25           have affected babies. Sometimes they detect it before

1           they get pregnant, so they're sent up to me to help  
2           decrease the likelihood they're going to miscarry or  
3           decrease the likelihood that they're going to have a  
4           preterm delivery.

5   Q       Okay. And you're still getting referrals from  
6           Dartmouth-Hitchcock with respect to surgeries like  
7           this?

8   A       Yes. Just before I went to prepare for this, I had a  
9           consult for Manchester, New Hampshire. And I get  
10          consulted for Boston IVF providers who aren't  
11          operating.

12   Q       I want to turn for a minute to the importance of taking  
13          an accurate family history when a doctor is  
14          interviewing a new patient.

15           Can you tell me why it's important to get an  
16          accurate family history?

17   A       As part of our routine, when we were talking yesterday  
18          about that first visit that people come in as part of  
19          the routine medical history is that genetics screening  
20          history; that their own but also their family and we're  
21          looking for, is there any specific reason that we would  
22          want to offer or recommend they get blood testing to  
23          look to see if they carry a gene that would affect  
24          their ability to conceive and have a healthy baby or  
25          affect a potential offspring.

1 Q Let me ask you about teaching residents about REI since  
2 you've been at UVM.

3 A Yes.

4 Q Have you taught reproductive endocrinology to  
5 Dartmouth-Hitchcock residents since you've been at UVM?

6 A Yeah, absolutely. In all three forums, which is in the  
7 clinic; they've been in REI clinic with me. In the  
8 operating room, I've had Dartmouth-Hitchcock residents  
9 scrubbed with me, and also in ultrasound in our complex  
10 GYN ultrasound clinics that I participate in.

11 Q Let me back up. Is there an understanding, as you  
12 understand it, that Dartmouth-Hitchcock residents would  
13 receive the reproductive endocrinology instruction  
14 through you?

15 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, foundation.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 THE WITNESS: So when I -- when I started at  
18 UVM, I started per diem because there wasn't per se a  
19 job here for me, and I had felt, from an integrity and  
20 honesty standpoint, the chair knew that I would need  
21 more surgery as the division head, and what I  
22 understood, I started three days a week here, was that  
23 while Boston IVF could provide -- again, we talked  
24 about that sliver of IVF and infertility that's part of  
25 reproductive endocrinology -- it could not provide the

other full spectrum of what we should provide our young trainees and residents about the care of girls and women all through the hormonal aspects and surgery and whatnot. And so within my first week of starting at UVM, I had a Dartmouth resident in clinic and in our reproductive medicine didactics, because that's the other part, is having them learn the full aspect and have some exposure. So they were in didactics with us, and they were also in clinic, the OR, and in ultrasound.

11 Q (By Mr. Vitt) All right. So was there an understanding  
12 that Dartmouth-Hitchcock residents would actually come  
13 to UVM to receive their reproductive endocrinology  
14 instruction through you?

15 A As part of the team. They did not come before the  
16 shutting of the program at all. We were providing that  
17 at Dartmouth, but when the program shut -- because this  
18 is an essential women's healthcare service, and it's  
19 required by the ACG, meaning the national governing  
20 board, that our residents are exposed to the full  
21 spectrum of REI -- our chair received a phone call, as  
22 did the division head, from the residency director at  
23 Dartmouth.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
25 for hearsay.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 THE WITNESS: And so --

3 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Hold on. Let me try it this way.

4 A Okay.

5 Q Did there come a time when, on a regular basis, the  
6 Dartmouth-Hitchcock residents would come to UVM to  
7 receive instruction in reproductive endocrinology?

8 A Yeah. And they still come, eight years later.

9 Q Okay. How often do they come to -- up to the  
10 University of Vermont Medical Center to receive the  
11 instruction?

12 A It is continuous. Meaning, I think it's Monday through  
13 Thursday for two months, I believe they're here. It's  
14 somewhere in there, six to eight weeks.

15 Q Okay. So the instruction that you're providing to them  
16 at the University of Vermont Medical Center is the same  
17 instruction you were providing when you were an  
18 employee at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, right?

19 A Absolutely, yeah.

20 Q The only difference is they have to come from Lebanon,  
21 New Hampshire up to UVM to get the instruction, right?

22 A Right. They're also spending that part of the week in  
23 a hotel to get this experience.

24 Q Did Dartmouth-Hitchcock have the option of simply not  
25 providing reproductive endocrinology instruction to its

1 residents?

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, foundation.

3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. If  
4 you could rephrase the question, Mr. Vitt.

5 MR. VITT: Sure.

6 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Is reproductive endocrinology instruction  
7 an essential component of the training of residents?

8 A Absolutely. It's a requirement by the ACGB and the  
9 American Board of OB-GYN.

10 Q And who was in charge of providing the scope of the  
11 reproductive endocrinology instruction when you were  
12 employed at Dartmouth-Hitchcock? Who was the person  
13 who was in charge of that?

14 A It was the responsibility of the division to provide  
15 that in conjunction with our residency director as part  
16 of their overall residency curriculum. And Dr. DeMars  
17 was responsible for our departmental educational  
18 mission, but there is a representative of ACGB at  
19 Dartmouth-Hitchcock and at UVM that's responsible for  
20 all of the training of all of the residents and  
21 fellows.

22 Q Were you the person who was the principle person to  
23 provide the instruction on that?

24 A For a very long period of time, yes, but it was a  
25 shared responsibility within the division.

1 Q I want to turn to -- moving on to the question of the  
2 economic or financial effects of you losing your job  
3 and having to get a position at UVM. All right?

4 Did you hire an expert to provide assistance to  
5 you in connection with providing a report on the  
6 economic consequences of losing your job?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And who did you hire?

9 A Dr. Robert Bancroft.

10 Q And did you understand that he had been associated with  
11 the faculty of UVM for a number of years?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you provide him with access to your financial  
14 records?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Paystubs and, you know, W-2s and things like that?

17 A Extensively. I worked for months and months collecting  
18 paystubs, tax records, all of my expenses at the  
19 condominium, as you can imagine are extensive, and also  
20 we talked about things like commuting, and I lived  
21 intermittently in a hotel, meaning three days a week  
22 for the first year and a half that I was at UVM.

23 Q All right. And the information that you provided him  
24 is up to date?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And you understand that he provided a recent report  
2 within the past week or ten days?

3 A Yes.

4 Q All right. I want to discuss several of the  
5 assumptions that appear in that report. All right?

6 A Okay.

7 Q The report assumes that you would have been promoted to  
8 full professor --

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor. This  
10 is overly leading. May we be heard?

11 THE COURT: Okay. Please approach.

12 (Bench conference held.)

13 THE COURT: Okay. So at this time, we'll  
14 take our mid-morning break. We'll be back and ready to  
15 go at 10:15. Okay?

16 (Jury exits.)

17 (Recess taken.)

18 (Jury present.)

19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Vitt.

20 MR. VITT: Thank you.

21 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Dr. Porter, did you make a request to UVM  
22 to change your schedule to go to a .6 instead of a 1.0,  
23 full-time equivalent job, and be excused from call?

24 A I did.

25 Q And when did you make that request?

1 A I believe it was last spring.

2 Q And why did you want to make that request?

3 A For two reasons. One is, this has been many years of  
4 commuting, and the challenges of commuting through  
5 Vermont winters and trying to balance that with my  
6 responsibilities. So I have to be at the OR by 7 a.m.  
7 on those days, and I have to be at conference by  
8 7 a.m., and that means, given the weather, I usually  
9 need to come up the night before, so it's more time  
10 away from home and my husband and friends.

11 The second reason is that the intensity of call  
12 has really markedly increased, and I have a very strong  
13 work ethic that I come by rightly from my parents, and  
14 I had worked full-time my entire career, but the  
15 community hospitals now are not doing anywhere near as  
16 much surgery in the middle of the night, and so I'm  
17 getting called in on a really regular basis for  
18 ruptured ectopic pregnancies or cases that need to go  
19 within a half hour to an hour for ovaries being twisted  
20 on their blood supply and things like that and still  
21 working a full day the next day.

22 Q Did you get a response from UVM?

23 A They were initially willing to consider it, as one of  
24 my peers had done the same, but given some long-term  
25 health issues of some of my partners, they denied it.

1 Q So as a consequence of them denying it, what is the  
2 full-time equivalency that you're currently working?

3 A .75, which --

4 Q Go ahead, I'm sorry.

5 A .75 is what my current --

6 Q Does that involve a call obligation?

7 A 100 percent of this .75. Your call is prorated based  
8 on your equivalency.

9 Q So you've been continuing to provide call obligations  
10 under the terms of your employment with UVM?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And do you intend to continue doing that going forward?

13 A Yes.

14 Q I would like to show you what is marked as Plaintiff's  
15 Exhibit 103. It's a May 27th, 2017, e-mail from  
16 Dr. Merrens to a number of people including Dr. Porter.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.

18 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

19 Q (By Mr. Vitt) All right. I'm going to put it on the  
20 screen and see if I -- you got that, Misty?

21 A Yes.

22 Q I'm going to back up just a moment and ask you about  
23 the session that you had with Dr. Merrens, Dr. DeMars,  
24 Steve Woods, right, where you were terminated.

25 Was there any discussion during the course of that

1 meeting about in-fighting or problems among the  
2 doctors?

3 A No. I misspoke if that's what I said. I would correct  
4 that record. No. That was my error. I was thinking  
5 in general.

6 Q In looking at the letter you got from Dr. Merrens, or  
7 the e-mail --

8 A I can't see it, sorry.

9 Q -- do you recall that there was a --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Vitt, I'll ask you to pause.  
I can see Dr. Porter's screen, and it's black. So the  
11 exhibit is not appearing there. Just give us a moment  
12 to figure this out, the technological.  
13

14 MR. VITT: I've got an extra copy.

15 THE COURT: Give Mr. Howe a moment.

16 THE WITNESS: I'll try not to bump the cable.

17 MR. VITT: Okay. All right. Okay.

18 THE COURT: Do you have it?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, thank you.

20 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Okay. Do you recall that there was a  
Vermont Public Radio article about the closure of the  
21 REI division?  
22

23 A Yes.

24 Q And could you just read that first sentence of the  
e-mail, please?  
25

1 A "Dear colleagues: Regrettably, Vermont Public Radio  
2 published an article yesterday indicating that I made  
3 comments to the effect that the program was being ended  
4 due to interpersonal issues amongst the physicians."

5 Q Okay. And then Dr. Merrens' next sentence, please?

6 A "Nothing could be further from the truth, and in the  
7 recorded interview I did with him two weeks ago, there  
8 was no such statement whatsoever."

9 Q Thank you. I want to go to a new topic. Zika?

10 A Okay.

11 Q What is Zika?

12 A So Zika is a virus that, in the midst of an infertility  
13 practice, is really critical to know and understand.

14 Q And what are the consequences of potentially being  
15 exposed to Zika virus?

16 A The consequences if a patient has -- the person  
17 carrying the baby has a Zika infection has the  
18 potential anyways for a continuum of potential issues  
19 anywhere from a baby born with a very small brain that  
20 doesn't function well and very small head to, if it's a  
21 milder form, potential learning disabilities in school.

22 Q Was it something that the REI division was following  
23 closely in 2016 and 2017?

24 A Yes. So the jury will understand with our recent  
25 pandemic that the information is rapidly changing, and

1 as time went on, we learned a lot more information  
2 about Zika, but we had in warmer areas, in South  
3 America, through the Caribbean and as far north as  
4 Miami and I believe Orlando, there was an outbreak of  
5 the mosquitoes that carried this virus.

6 And so we were actively, every patient who came  
7 in, counseling them against travel to those areas,  
8 which at the time was really a problem because it was  
9 common for people to go to destination weddings. And  
10 also we weren't clear exactly how people could get this  
11 virus and how it would potentially affect pregnancy.  
12 But every week, we were getting e-mails from our  
13 national organization to every two or three weeks as  
14 the things changed and also intermittently was getting  
15 information from the Centers for Disease Control and  
16 World Health Organization about counseling patients,  
17 especially pre-conceptually, to avoid what was a huge  
18 outbreak in South America with many women being --  
19 having babies affected with these very small heads and  
20 brain abnormalities.

21 Q Did this become an issue with a patient in the REI  
22 division in 2017?

23 A It did, and I was the IVF medical director, so our lab  
24 director, Dr. Esfandiari brought a case for me to  
25 review and asked me to look at it.

1 Q And with this particular situation, was the exposure  
2 avoidable?

3 A Yes.

4 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, foundation.

5 THE COURT: Rephrase the question. Objection  
6 sustained.

7 MR. VITT: Sure. Okay.

8 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Can you elaborate on the situation that  
9 the lab director presented to you?

10 A At the time that it was presented to me, there was a  
11 couple seeking care in the infertility division who had  
12 suffered many losses. I don't mean pregnancy losses,  
13 but just had an extensive infertility history, and they  
14 were patients of my partners. I didn't know them or  
15 see them initially. And they had created embryos at an  
16 outside donor egg facility.

17 It wasn't uncommon for us to send a specimen of  
18 prepared sperm frozen from our lab to an outside egg  
19 bank and have that egg bank make embryos with that  
20 sperm and send those embryos back to us, and it also  
21 was extraordinarily common for us, either for fertility  
22 preservation or for travel reasons, to see -- or even a  
23 basic evaluation, to see a couple in clinic and have  
24 the male partner collect a specimen that same day for  
25 evaluation and/or freezing.

1                   So in terms of this couple, what had happened is  
2                   they had been seen in clinic by one of my partners and  
3                   they knew at that visit the next day they were flying  
4                   to Brazil where there was a huge outbreak of Zika.

5   Q    Okay. When you use the term "partners," do you mean  
6                   Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer?

7   A    Yes.

8   Q    Go on, I'm sorry.

9   A    And no specimen was collected before they flew and went  
10                  on vacation. And when he got back, that specimen was  
11                  collected and that specimen was then stored in our lab  
12                  tank, and then that specimen was sent to the embryology  
13                  bank or the donor egg bank to make embryos. And so the  
14                  question was, is it possible -- and we just didn't  
15                  know; there was no test to be able to tell us -- that  
16                  those embryos would be affected with Zika.

17                  But also at the same time, there was a regular set  
18                  of standards and guided recommendations that were  
19                  coming out about how long people should wait, how long  
20                  the female partner should wait, whether they had  
21                  symptoms or not, and the thing that really changed is  
22                  how long the male partner should wait. And when I was  
23                  in clinic, I realized that the handout that was in all  
24                  of our clinic rooms about Zika for patient education  
25                  was not current. It was behind several months and not

1 current with the current guidance. And the reason why  
2 it wasn't current was that it was reported that while  
3 men can have very mild symptoms -- much like COVID, you  
4 can have very mild symptoms and still be affected --  
5 men could have very mild symptoms, have a negative  
6 blood test for Zika exposure, but they could  
7 concentrate the Zika into their semen and sperm, and so  
8 they could still pass on Zika to their partners.

9 And so my -- when I reviewed this, I said the  
10 recommendations that had been made to that couple were  
11 old guidance. The new guidance had come out saying men  
12 should wait six months. And also when I reviewed it,  
13 it was clear, because I had spoken with my partners,  
14 that no one had counseled the couple to have protected  
15 intercourse, and they had gone on a second vacation in  
16 the Caribbean where Zika was endemic.

17 So there was all these various things. So to get  
18 to "was it avoidable," my thought was, we should have  
19 collected that day when he was there, and it would have  
20 avoided the whole issue. And in the absence of that,  
21 we, as part of informed consent, we should talk to them  
22 about this and also offer -- because when I came back,  
23 Dr. DeMars had given me several couples for me only to  
24 take care of because my partners had made some errors  
25 in decision making that had led to poor outcomes in

1           their IVF. So we had already established that we were  
2           offering a compensatory IVF cycle for free, and -- to  
3           make up for the errors in decision making that led to  
4           the poor outcome.

5   Q    Going back to the Zika situation, what did you believe  
6           was the appropriate course of action that should be  
7           taken?

8   A    I believed that we should have offered the couple the  
9           compensation to wait the six months, make sure they  
10          were having protected intercourse. They could check  
11          their blood test, but, again, men could have a negative  
12          blood test and still have positive semen, and also the  
13          testing for semen was not verified. So even if you  
14          tested semen for Zika and send it off to a national  
15          organization, it might come back negative, but none of  
16          those tests were verified to be negative. So I thought  
17          at least in part of informed consent, we should offer  
18          them a cycle for free, compensate them, and wait six  
19          months before we did that.

20   Q    Did you feel strongly that that was the right course of  
21          action?

22   A    I felt strongly that offering them and giving them the  
23          informed consent choice was the right course of action  
24          given that the potential for this couple and their  
25          offspring would be at least, you know, theoretically

1                   catastrophic, and that we had a responsibility to offer  
2                   that option to them and that six months, given that it  
3                   was an egg donor situation where those eggs would be  
4                   good for a really long time, would not necessarily  
5                   significantly delay their conception, and we would  
6                   provide the financial support.

7           Q     Did you go to risk management about this?

8           A     I did.

9           Q     Did you go to Leslie DeMars?

10          A     I did.

11          Q     What did she say?

12          A     She said she would investigate it. That was it.

13          Q     Can you name for me, please, the different subjects  
14                   that you went to Leslie DeMars to complain about in  
15                   2016 and 2017?

16          A     It was extensive. It was, as we covered yesterday,  
17                   periodic conversations about Dr. Hsu and his  
18                   performance. And understand, it didn't -- it gave me  
19                   no joy to do this. And, in fact, I was encouraging  
20                   everyone else who had concerns to go where they needed  
21                   to go to report. It is our duty to report, and it is  
22                   part of what we train annually at every medical  
23                   institution about the duty to report.

24                   I also feel that it's our duty to keep patients  
25                   safe, and the duty to report is in keeping them safe,

1 and I believe it's the duty of academic and other  
2 medical centers to report and to make certain that we  
3 are keeping the patients' interest and patients' safety  
4 as our first priority.

5 Q You mentioned Dr. Hsu. Did you also mention  
6 Dr. Seifer?

7 A Yes. From early on, I had lots of conversations with  
8 her about Dr. Seifer, the multiple complaints I was  
9 receiving from everywhere. It was -- it was uniform.  
10 And it was from places that we never get complaints  
11 from. So very early on, it was from the nurses on a  
12 really regular basis who were in IVF procedure room  
13 with him, and it was the nurses in clinic. It was the  
14 ultrasound techs, multiple of them, who worked with  
15 him. It was the embryology staff. It was also  
16 genetics, the genetics counselors. It was the maternal  
17 fetal medicine staff, and then it was also the  
18 anesthesiologist which is really like, in all my years  
19 there, I had never received a concern expressed by the  
20 anesthesia staff. So I was talking regularly, per as  
21 my duty to report this to her, and to Heather Gunnell  
22 oftentimes.

23 I talked to her at length about multiple issues in  
24 terms of billing issues and my concerns about  
25 compliance, billing compliance. I talked to her about

1           the Zika issues. I talked to her about the trainees  
2           and the residents and the issues that we were facing  
3           and the issues that patients were facing in that. So,  
4           yes.

5   Q    Thank you. I want to turn for a few minutes and talk  
6           about the impact that the firing at Dartmouth-Hitchcock  
7           had upon you.

8   A    Mm-hm.

9   Q    Can you tell me what was your -- you or your attachment  
10          or your connection to the REI division?

11   A    I'm a Dartmouth Medical School Graduate. I had a lot  
12          of pride in being a graduate of the Geisel School of  
13          Medicine. I worked really hard to get there, and I  
14          worked really hard while I was there. I had a lot of  
15          pride working with Dartmouth-Hitchcock and helping grow  
16          an IVF program from 25 cycles to just under 200 cycles,  
17          and it was all aspects of it from nursing, marketing,  
18          the embryology lab to bringing on a computerized  
19          medical record to all of that.

20           I had a lot of pride working at that organization  
21          and for years -- 20, maybe more, I think -- early on, I  
22          was identified as the person to work on the  
23          perioperative surgery committee, and that had different  
24          iterations over the years, but I was the OB-GYN  
25          representative to that and became vice chair of

1 perioperative services. And those meetings were  
2 oftentimes early morning, late evening, but I felt like  
3 I was contributing to the mission of the organization  
4 financially that way. And I felt like I was  
5 contributing to the educational aspects of it and the  
6 research aspects of it.

7 So when I lost my job, I was in process of  
8 establishing at Dartmouth the first or second -- I  
9 believe first -- U.S. site for a research project as  
10 part of a multi-international project looking at the  
11 treatment of ovarian masses and ovarian cysts that  
12 could be non-cancerous versus cancerous. It's called  
13 the International Ovarian Tumor Analysis Group. And I  
14 was the first U.S. member that was invited to their  
15 meetings.

16 So I felt like I was contributing to the research  
17 aspects of what we do, and that cutting-edge research  
18 has changed how we evaluate and we treat women with  
19 ovarian masses through all age groups. So I felt like  
20 I was contributing a good deal.

21 Q Had you ever heard of a division being closed or  
22 shuttered at Dartmouth-Hitchcock before?

23 A No.

24 Q Tell me how being terminated from your position, from  
25 your job, how did that feel?

1 A If I -- if I go back there, it was absolutely  
2 devastating. I think I cried all summer. I was trying  
3 to drive to UVM and meet their needs. I was trying to  
4 sort out where I was with my illness at the time. I  
5 had been told that I would be 100 percent cured, but I  
6 knew that I was going back to the Mayo Clinic for  
7 another surgery which in itself is scary. None of us  
8 voluntarily jump up on the operating room table, you  
9 know. And I was not sleeping well.

10 I was trying to maintain some semblance of being  
11 normal for my children. I had lots of colleagues  
12 reaching out and coming over, but it was also, you  
13 know -- I had been there 20 years. I had patients that  
14 entire 20 years. And so it was a loss of that  
15 community. I had colleagues for 20 years, and they  
16 cared for me, and I cared for them, and we had that  
17 connection.

18 Q I mentioned in the opening about the importance of  
19 being part of a community and going to a soccer game  
20 and having somebody across the field hold up a child.  
21 Can you talk about that?

22 A Yeah. One of the great gifts of being in a small  
23 community is that I was able to -- and understand  
24 HIPAA. I was able to see the children and the families  
25 that were created, you know, from individuals who were

1 really sad and oftentimes depressed, to have the joy of  
2 children in their lives. So I could go to the grocery  
3 store or a soccer game or a football game or even at my  
4 own dinner table was one of my IVF babies. And he told  
5 my son that he was an IVF baby, so there was no HIPAA.  
6 I still see him. He's in medical school now. He comes  
7 home from medical school and has dinner with us. And  
8 that was a really fulfilling, great joy, and I still  
9 see them, you know, individuals who work and live in  
10 the community and these many years later, I still get  
11 holiday cards and Christmas cards of these children as  
12 they grow and lots of thank yous and how their life has  
13 changed.

14 Q What were your choices in terms of jobs after you lost  
15 the position at Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

16 A I was on long-term disability.

17 Q Right.

18 A Which meant that there was no way I was ever going to  
19 be able to get a personal loan to open a private  
20 practice in the community. I was on long-term  
21 disability, and I thought it not likely that any other  
22 academic center or private practice would hire me. So  
23 it was because I had an open-door policy at the  
24 University of Vermont that -- and they had a fellowship  
25 training need that I was able to work per diem at UVM

1                   until I proved that I was well.

2 Q       How long did it take for you to get off per diem and go

3                   to actually becoming a full-time employee?

4 A       Over a year, I would say. 14 to 16 months, something

5                   like that.

6 Q       Okay. And when you began, after becoming a full-time

7                   employee, you were at .8, correct?

8 A       Yes.

9 Q       Okay. And full-time is 1.0, right?

10 A      1.0, yes.

11 Q      All right. So why did you want to work a .8 instead of

12                  a 1.0?

13 A      My life is in Norwich. You know, I have now -- I had

14                  to re-establish myself with work colleagues here, but I

15                  have been with my husband 40-something years and

16                  married over 36, and I've also had children who were

17                  home for the summers and home for holidays, and I

18                  wanted to be able to make certain that, given the

19                  physical distance and the requirement for being here

20                  when I'm on call within 30 minutes of the hospital,

21                  that I was still able to spend time with those that I

22                  love and to maintain my marriage and to make sure that

23                  I was the mother I wanted to be with my children.

24 Q       Did your former colleagues still contact you and ask

25                  for help?

1 A Yes, regularly. I've had long-term relationships with  
2 many of these physicians, and so as always in my  
3 practice I'm more than happy, if I have the ability and  
4 not on work restrictions, to help them.

5 Q Give me some range of the description of the range of  
6 questions that you get from them when they contact you.

7 A Anywhere from recently from the Manchester Hitchcock  
8 clinic, which is in the southern region, an  
9 individual -- a lot of what I did at Hitchcock was  
10 complicated first trimester pregnancy problems. As the  
11 resource for the network, they would come to us. So  
12 Manchester had a patient with a cesarean scar  
13 pregnancy, so the pregnancy was not actually in the  
14 uterine cavity. It was in the C-section scar which is  
15 a life-threatening location, and so they consulted me  
16 about how to treat that.

17 I've received patients in referral for huge, large  
18 uterine fibroids and to do them, the removal of those  
19 fibroids from the uterus, for endometriosis and pelvic  
20 pain for medical management or surgical management, for  
21 how to provide reliable contraception for a patient --  
22 in a patient who was admitted with a blood clot at  
23 Dartmouth.

24 I, you know, we like to make certain that these  
25 patients are also registered here so that I can write a

1 quick note to make sure that they communicate with the  
2 Dartmouth. Many patients with birth defects of the  
3 reproductive tract. I consult on for the high-risk OB  
4 service, I do consults and do that collaborative work.

5 Q Let me make sure that I get this right. What you're  
6 describing are contacts that are coming to you now from  
7 Dartmouth-Hitchcock physicians to ask you for your help  
8 and expertise, correct?

9 A Correct.

10 Q When you first took the job at UVM, where were you  
11 staying when you had to come up and spend several days?

12 A I was staying in a hotel. I would go in -- I think I  
13 was working usually around three days a week. So I  
14 went usually Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, sometimes  
15 other days. Sometimes I was helping cover the IVF  
16 service over the weekends up here, but I was staying in  
17 a hotel.

18 Q And then eventually did you and your husband decide to  
19 buy a condo to avoid the hotels?

20 A Yeah. I had to wait until UVM could have an official  
21 job. So one, I wanted to wait until I had my second  
22 surgery because I felt, for my integrity, that I  
23 shouldn't offer myself to be a full-time faculty  
24 position until I knew I was back to who they told me I  
25 would be. So Dr. Bernstein and I had several

1 conversations around that. I had my second surgery in  
2 September of 2017.

3 Q Is Ira Bernstein the chair of the OB-GYN department?

4 A Yeah. And I had to go back through the proctor system  
5 that we had described before, so I was proctored in the  
6 OR, which I fully welcomed. It's the natural process  
7 of bringing someone back in. I would have had to do  
8 fewer cases if I was a brand new faculty, but in -- I  
9 would say that was perfectly acceptable, but it was to  
10 prove to me and to my colleagues, yep, she's back on  
11 her game and she can take a full-time job.

12 Q You were back on your game?

13 A I was absolutely back on my game. And I think that if  
14 I reflect on it, in many ways, I'm better.

15 Q How so?

16 A I'm more patient, you know -- and they had told me at  
17 Mayo that how you problem solve may be different. And  
18 so when I think about OR cases, I do think I have a  
19 different perspective in terms of how to solve a  
20 problem, which is better. I'm more patient with  
21 myself. I'm more patient with how we get things  
22 through challenges. But what happened is it was not an  
23 official job.

24 So Dr. Bernstein had to submit for a faculty  
25 position for me through the physicians workforce which

1 took a while, and then it had to go over to the  
2 University to go through the provost office to get it.  
3 So that's why. Once that was done, then I started on  
4 faculty, and I had to be on -- because I had been on  
5 long-term disability, I had to be on faculty before we  
6 could re-mortgage our house in Norwich to be able to  
7 afford a down payment for a condominium in Burlington.

8 Q All right.

9 MR. VITT: Will the Court indulge me for a  
10 second?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 (Pause.)

13 Q (By Mr. Vitt) You mentioned that it was a stressful  
14 time during the summer after your termination.

15 A Yes, very.

16 Q Any physical manifestations of how that stress played  
17 out?

18 A Yeah. I had a marked clinical depression. I spent, as  
19 I said, most of the summer crying. I was grinding my  
20 teeth so much at night that, despite my best efforts, I  
21 cracked a molar and ended up with a dental abscess and  
22 a pulled tooth and a year later a dental implant after  
23 multiple courses of antibiotics to try and help cure  
24 the abscess.

25 I lost a ton of weight in that period of time, and

1 I had to go back to the Mayo in that situation to --  
2 had the tooth pulled because I had to go back for  
3 another neurosurgery, and I couldn't have an active  
4 infection and have my second surgery.

5 Q All right. Going back to the economic effects of the  
6 termination, were you making less money at the  
7 University of Vermont than you were being paid at  
8 Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

9 A Yes.

10 Q So there was a period of time you were on per diem,  
11 correct?

12 A Yeah.

13 Q Yes?

14 A Yes. Yeah.

15 Q And then you went to a .8 which was less than you were  
16 being paid at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, correct?

17 A Yes. Yes.

18 Q If you stayed at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, would you have  
19 been promoted, in your view, to full professor?

20 A I met the criteria to be promoted to full professor  
21 when I was there. I had been working at it with this  
22 international research group, and I had been the head  
23 of the American Institute of Ultrasound and Medicine  
24 GYN of community practice, that was six years. So I  
25 had national recognition, and I was teaching nationally

1 and internationally in GYN ultrasound and writing book  
2 chapters and writing papers on a whole host of GYN  
3 ultrasound to infertility-related ultrasound. So in  
4 terms of the checkboxes that you need for a promotion,  
5 I had met them.

6 The issue I had is that the standards for  
7 promotion at the University of Vermont is that you have  
8 to be on faculty for five years before you can be  
9 promoted, and so as soon as Ira -- as soon as I met  
10 that criteria -- in that first year, I was per diem  
11 because of my illness. As soon as Ira was able to put  
12 me up, he put me up for a promotion, and I easily was  
13 promoted, but that takes a year.

14 Q But if you had stayed at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, given the  
15 publishing and the speaking that you've referred to, do  
16 you believe you would have been promoted to full  
17 professor?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Did you receive raises each year at  
20 Dartmouth-Hitchcock?

21 A For the most part, yes.

22 Q And let me talk for a minute about how long you intend  
23 to work. You're how old now?

24 A 62.

25 Q And how long do you expect to continue to work?

1 A I expect to work at least until 2033 at this point, and  
2 while many people would retire at 65 and then be  
3 available for a significantly reduced pension from  
4 Dartmouth, so one of the fallouts -- I was on the old  
5 pension plan, I never converted. One of if fallouts of  
6 my termination is that my pension plan significantly  
7 altered both when I can take it and how much money I  
8 would get.

9 My mother is 80, and she is still working two  
10 jobs. She is the -- she was the chair of geriatric  
11 medicine at the University of Hawaii and is the medical  
12 director for nine nursing homes, and she also does  
13 consulting memory work. My grandmother was 86 when she  
14 finished working her job. And so in my lifetime, it  
15 will be the balance of the pressures of commuting and  
16 call and the joy I have with working and training  
17 trainees.

18 Q You're still enjoying the work that you do at UVM?

19 A I do, yes.

20 Q You still enjoy the surgery?

21 A Very much so.

22 Q You're still doing the complicated surgeries you did  
23 before?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Laparoscopic and robotic?

1 A Laparoscopic, robotic, open, combined with GYN  
2 oncology, hysteroscopic.

3 Q Okay. I want to show you what's been marked as an  
4 exhibit as Plaintiff's Exhibit 68.

5 MR. VITT: Maybe we'll go with paper? How do  
6 we want to proceed?

7 THE COURT: If it's not in evidence yet, you  
8 should show it to the witness.

9 MR. VITT: It is not.

10 THE COURT: And not publish it.

11 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

12 Hearsay, foundation.

13 THE COURT: Okay. I don't think Mr. Vitt has  
14 asked any questions yet.

15 MR. SCHROEDER: That's true.

16 MR. VITT: Not yet. But I can accommodate  
17 him.

18 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Can you tell me, is the top part of that  
19 document an e-mail that you received?

20 A Yes.

21 Q From whom?

22 A Katrina Thorstensen who, when I was at Dartmouth in the  
23 clinic, each advanced practice provider, whether it be  
24 the midwives, the nurse practitioners, the physicians  
25 assistants, were mentored and teamed with an attending

1 staff physician to run questions by and talk about  
2 clinical care and also help them in clinic when they  
3 were having trouble. And so Katrina was a midwife, or  
4 is a midwife, who provides largely gynecologic care in  
5 clinic, and so she was one of the two -- Elizabeth Todd  
6 was the other one -- the two mid-level, I would say,  
7 advanced practice providers, that I was supporting and  
8 mentoring in clinic.

9 Q Is the bottom part the letter that she wrote to folks  
10 in the administration?

11 A Yes. Yeah.

12 MR. VITT: I would like to move the admission  
13 of this, Judge.

14 THE COURT: Objection?

15 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, hearsay, Your  
16 Honor.

17 THE COURT: Objection, overruled. I'll allow  
18 this.

19 MR. VITT: I'm going to show 69, and while  
20 you're doing it 86 and 94 as well.

21 MR. SCHROEDER: I'm sorry. What was the  
22 other one, P69 --

23 MR. VITT: Sure. It's 69 and 86 and 94.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Just give me a second,  
25 please.

1 MR. VITT: You bet.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.

3 THE COURT: All right. So no objection to  
4 69, 86 and 94, just to be clear? Well, 69 you already  
5 objected to, if I'm not mistaken.

6 MR. SCHROEDER: No. That was 68. These  
7 are -- I think that Mr. Vitt has are 69, 86 and 94.

8 THE COURT: Okay. And there's no objection  
9 to all three of those?

10 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Then they are admitted.

12 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Looking at 69 in front of you, right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Who is that e-mail from?

15 A Kathy Jacobson who was also a nurse practitioner at  
16 Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center at the time.

17 Q Let me hand --

18 A I'm sorry, Kathy Jacobs.

19 Q Okay. 86 is from whom?

20 A Debra Birenbaum who is an MD at -- was, she's retired  
21 now -- at Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center.

22 Q While I was up there I should have given you the other  
23 one.

24 This is Exhibit 94. Tell me who that is.

25 A Sharon A. Hart Silveira. She's an OB-GYN and

1 generalist at -- I believe she was at  
2 Dartmouth-Hitchcock Manchester or Nashua. In the  
3 south.

4 MR. VITT: Excuse me, Your Honor.

5 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Without getting into the details of the  
6 letters and the e-mails, can you describe for us the  
7 substance of what you were hearing from the persons at  
8 Dartmouth-Hitchcock with whom you had worked?

9 A Following the announcement of my termination, I had  
10 multiple e-mails, and multiple individuals who were  
11 coming to see me in clinic in shock and dismay, and a  
12 couple of the very senior people came to me and said,  
13 independently, We would like to go upstairs and talk on  
14 your behalf and meet with Dr. Merrens and senior  
15 leadership to make a case for you to stay. And we just  
16 want to know if you would stay, given everything that's  
17 happened. And I said absolutely.

18 You know, this is my community, my -- my work  
19 family, my community colleagues and also the community  
20 that I raised my children and where my husband's  
21 business is. And multiple of these e-mails go  
22 through -- these are all the things that she does for  
23 us from reinterpreting ultrasounds and putting that in  
24 the context of clinical care.

25 I'm -- not to not sound humble, but I'm a GYN

1 ultrasound expert, and even general radiologists will  
2 do a general interpretation, but in all national  
3 guidelines, one of the things you can do before you  
4 take someone under surgery or do an expensive  
5 additional test is to run it by an expert. And so  
6 that's a way to avoid excessive surgery and excessive  
7 imaging. So I was the resource for that in the  
8 department. Also, extensive different surgeries that I  
9 was referred. And so there were many requests to keep  
10 me and to keep those skills at Dartmouth-Hitchcock.

11 Q Okay. This case has gone on for a long time.

12 A Mm-hm.

13 Q Bringing a case like this takes a little out of you,  
14 doesn't it?

15 A It's taken a lot out of me at times.

16 Q So why are you doing it?

17 A It's many reasons. The first is that I should not have  
18 lost my job for reporting patient safety issues. It is  
19 our duty to report. I should not have lost my job  
20 while I was on long-term disability and working really  
21 hard to come back. I should not have lost my job when  
22 I had translatable skills to the rest of the  
23 department.

24 I also wanted to shine the light on the  
25 administrative errors that were made here and those

1           that were responsible. And I say that in the context  
2           that it was not easy to make a decision to submit a  
3           lawsuit. It was a real challenge for me, especially  
4           when I was sick, and I did so with very careful  
5           contemplation.

6           My mother has been a chief medical officer. My  
7           mother has been the CEO of a major large medical  
8           practice, and she has been dean of clinical services at  
9           the University of Hawaii, and she looked at me and she  
10          said, What happened to you is not right. She had lots  
11          of experience to draw from. This is not fair. This is  
12          not right.

13          And I think the other reason why this has been  
14          important to me, and there's been significant financial  
15          loss, no doubt. I sought some counsel before to  
16          understand that it wasn't just a matter of severance,  
17          it was a significant financial loss. But the other  
18          reason I felt really motivated, and it's continued to  
19          be really important to me, is the why. Why did this  
20          happen to me? Why? Because what was being said made  
21          absolutely no sense to me.

22          I had grown an IVF clinic from 25 cycles a year  
23          and we had one nurse for many of those years. And  
24          there was things being said that was just really  
25          incongruous. Like I couldn't put the two together, and

I learned that a lot of things in discovery that were painful, but really important in my life for me to know, and understand, and so that's been the reason why. While some of these things are really painful, it's essential for me to understand it.

MR. VITT: No further questions.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: I would just ask, Your Honor,  
8 just a question of Plaintiff's counsel on an exhibit  
9 that I wanted to share just to make sure we kind of  
10 dealt with that up front. Let me just find out where  
11 we are in that.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

## **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

BY MR. SCHROEDER:

16 Q Good morning, Dr. Porter.

17 A Good morning.

18 Q And I would like to bring up, if I may, one of the last  
19 exhibits that you were shown, which is it was  
20 Plaintiff's Exhibit 86, and this was a document that  
21 was shown to you by your counsel, correct?

22 A      Correct.

23 Q Okay. And I would like you to read just the first  
24 sentence of that e-mail for the record.

25 A The first sentence says: "I don't think anyone in our

1           department was surprised by the decision to close down  
2           the infertility service."

3 Q       Oh, sorry. The second sentence as well.

4 A       "We have been aware of the dysfunction and lack of  
5           consistent care and support for a long time."

6 Q       And who is Debra Birenbaum?

7 A       Debra Birenbaum is a colleague of mine at  
8           Dartmouth-Hitchcock, and she has remained a friend.

9 Q       Okay. She was a colleague of yours at DH. Was she a  
10          physician?

11 A       Yes.

12 Q       And was she in the OB-GYN department?

13 A       Yes.

14 Q       And she refers to the fact that there was dysfunction  
15          in the REI division, right?

16 A       She refers to it.

17 Q       Right. And she uses that word, right?

18 A       She uses that word.

19 Q       And she is somebody that was in -- so in the OB-GYN  
20          department, underneath that would have been a couple of  
21          divisions, correct?

22 A       There are several divisions, yes.

23 Q       Okay. And I just want to make sure I understand them.  
24           So one of them was the REI division, right?

25 A       One, yes.

1 Q What were the other ones?

2 A General OB-GYN.

3 Q Yes.

4 A Uro-gynecology.

5 Q Okay.

6 A GYN oncology, and maternal fetal medicine.

7 Q Okay. So it looks like there were five different parts

8 to the OB-GYN department?

9 A And the midwives. I'm sorry, the APPs, the advanced

10 practice providers, yes.

11 Q So six?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. And your group when you were employed by

14 Dartmouth-Hitchcock, you were in the REI division,

15 correct?

16 A I was in the REI division doing a lot of complex

17 gynecology.

18 Q Right. But you were in that specific division,

19 correct?

20 A I was in that specific division.

21 Q And Dr. Birenbaum, which division was she in?

22 A She was in the general OB-GYN group.

23 Q Okay. And according to her e-mail to you -- and this

24 is dated May 12th, 2017, right?

25 A May 15th, 2017.

1 Q Well, it's -- okay. Right below that is the e-mail  
2 from Debra Birenbaum?

3 A May 12th, yes.

4 Q Okay. So this is shortly after the closure of the REI  
5 division, correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q Okay. And she's referring to the fact, quote, "We've  
8 all been aware of the dysfunction and lack of  
9 consistent care and support for a long time." Right?  
10 You read that into the record?

11 A I read it.

12 Q And she's actually in a different division. She wasn't  
13 in the REI division, but she was part of the overall  
14 OB-GYN department --

15 A Correct.

16 Q -- right?

17 And so she knew that there were problems in the  
18 REI division at that point, right?

19 A She states so.

20 Q Right. And she's a friend of yours, and that's not the  
21 first time you heard that, correct?

22 A I -- I wouldn't -- I wouldn't go there, no.

23 Q You wouldn't go there? Well, she was a friend of  
24 yours. She sends this e-mail to Dr. Merrens and  
25 Mr. Herrick, and she says, yeah, we've been aware of

1                   the dysfunction for a while. So --

2 A               That was the first time I heard her say it.

3 Q               So you never had any discussion with her ever before

4                   May 12th?

5 A               Not using the word "dysfunction."

6 Q               Okay. Had you had discussions -- but you did have  
7                   discussions with her about problems in the REI division  
8                   in general as opposed to the word "dysfunction"?

9 A               No.

10 Q               Never?

11 A               No, not to my recollection.

12 Q               Okay. So this is the first time out of the blue where  
13               she says, "We've all been aware of the dysfunction."  
14               That's the first time that you're ever hearing about  
15               that?

16 A               From Debbie yes. I was shocked that she put it down.  
17               But from Debbie, yes, to my recollection.

18 Q               Okay. Now, you testified earlier about a couple of  
19               things, and I'm going to try and -- I may jump around,  
20               and I'm going to try to -- to the extent that you may  
21               need a minute to digest something, let me know.

22               In May of 2017, you said that the REI division  
23               closure and announcement was, I wrote, completely  
24               unexpected, right?

25 A               Yes. For me, yes.

1 Q Okay. And for you it was completely unexpected?

2 A Yes.

3 Q You said you knew there was a slowdown or a pause might

4 happen, right?

5 A That Leslie and I had a discussion the day before there

6 may be a slowdown or a pause, yes.

7 Q But the pause actually, in the REI division, had

8 actually already happened back in December of 2016,

9 right?

10 A Not to my knowledge.

11 Q You never heard the word REI division pause or pause on

12 admitting new patients?

13 A No. It was not -- not to my recollection, no.

14 Q Well, was there a pause in the admission of new

15 patients in December of 2016?

16 A Not to my knowledge, no.

17 Q Okay. And you said -- when you were given reasons for

18 the REI closure, you said one of them was the nursing

19 shortage and your response from Mr. Vitt's question was

20 "not accurate," right?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And your -- another reason that you said was mentioned

23 was in-fighting, right?

24 A I corrected that.

25 Q Oh, you corrected that?

1 A Not in that meeting, but I thought he said more  
2 globally so we just corrected that. It was not in that  
3 meeting, no.

4 Q Not in that meeting. I'm just asking about in general.  
5 Other issues that were in the REI division, did you not  
6 identify in-fighting as one of the reasons that was  
7 given?

8 A Not my personal reason, no. It was something that was  
9 in the media.

10 Q Not your personal reason, but you were aware of that  
11 being one of the reasons, because there's only two that  
12 were listed here.

13 A No, I wasn't aware of any in-fighting in REI at all.

14 Q You weren't aware of any interpersonal issues between  
15 the REI division between you and Dr. Hsu and Seifer?

16 A There were no personal issues in terms of in-fighting.  
17 I wouldn't define it that way.

18 Q Well, I understand you wouldn't define it that way, but  
19 would you say that there was conflict between you and  
20 Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer in terms of how procedures were  
21 done and in general?

22 A I would not characterize it as conflict.

23 Q Not -- so no conflict whatsoever?

24 A Not interpersonal conflict; I would not characterize it  
25 that way.

1 Q Okay. Well, did you get along with Dr. Hsu and  
2 Dr. Seifer?

3 A I got along with them, yes, but I also was reporting  
4 repeated patient safety and patient care issues.

5 Q Okay. But in terms of just your overall personalities,  
6 you got along otherwise?

7 A I would characterize it as not a conflict with them.

8 Q And not a dissension amongst the ranks between you?

9 A I would not characterize it as a dissension.

10 Q How would you characterize it?

11 A Not a conflict. If I were to go back and characterize  
12 it, it was trying to do my work and maintain patient  
13 safety and to report, as I had a duty to report, and  
14 also trying to get well and follow my requirements of  
15 my team.

16 Q Okay. Just so that the jury has an understanding of  
17 the time period, in May of 2017 is when the REI  
18 division was closed, correct?

19 A Mm-hm.

20 Q And you were given notice of that on May 4th --

21 A Correct.

22 Q -- right?

23 And the other two physicians, Dr. Hsu and Seifer,  
24 were given notice of that on May 4th, correct, at the  
25 same time?

1 A To my knowledge.

2 Q Well, you were with them, right?

3 A They weren't in the room. They went off and I went  
4 into another place. So we were not together in the  
5 room when we were counseled out of the job, no.

6 Q But the termination of the REI division was announced  
7 to all three of you at the same time, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. So you understood that the division that the  
10 three of you were in was closing, correct?

11 A And I also understood that Elizabeth Todd who I had  
12 worked with for many years and shared many patients in  
13 complex GYN was staying.

14 Q Okay. But you understood that you and Dr. Hsu and  
15 Dr. Seifer were all being terminated otherwise?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And Ms. Todd, she had actually a dual appointment. She  
18 had been working part of her FTE status, so her  
19 full-time equivalency, part of her hours were with the  
20 OB-GYN department, correct?

21 A No. I have no knowledge of that.

22 Q You didn't know that?

23 A She was in REI, and she was in REI for 16 years from  
24 when I trained her, or 12 years, whenever it was.

25 Q But you don't know whether or not she -- her hours were

1 being charged to the overall OB-GYN department as  
2 opposed to the REI division, you don't know that?

3 A Not to my knowledge, but for many years she was housed  
4 entirely in REI.

5 Q I understand many years, but you don't have any  
6 personal knowledge as to whether or not, at the time of  
7 the closure, her hours were being charged to OB-GYN, or  
8 she was committing hours to OB-GYN as opposed to the  
9 REI division?

10 A We were both doing complex GYN in the clinic. And, in  
11 fact, I was doing 80 percent GYN and ultrasound in the  
12 clinic at the time of the closure.

13 Q I understand that. But my question is: You're not  
14 aware of whether or not her other hours, some of her  
15 hours, her work hours, were part of her appointment  
16 within the OB-GYN department? You don't know how those  
17 hours were charged?

18 A I understood she was 100 percent REI, and I don't know  
19 if her hours had changed over time, but the long-term  
20 history was that she was in REI.

21 Q I understand the long-term history, but I just want to  
22 get to that point in time, not the long-time history.  
23 That point in time, you do not have any personal  
24 knowledge, one way or the other, that's fine, of how  
25 her hours were charged, whether she was committing

1           hours to the OB-GYN department in addition to the REI  
2           division. At that specific point.

3 A       I don't know.

4 Q       Okay. Thank you.

5           I want to get to a few questions about, it sounds  
6           like, at this point, you are an empty nester; is that a  
7           fair statement?

8 A       Our son lives in the apartment over our garage, so I'm  
9           not an empty nester.

10 Q       Okay. You've never -- so even though he's above the  
11          garage, all three of your kids are out of college,  
12          right?

13 A       Yes.

14 Q       Okay. And one -- was that the son -- I have two boys  
15          and a girl as well. Was that the son who went to UVM  
16          at the time that you were working at UVM?

17 A       No.

18 Q       That was another child?

19 A       Mm-hm.

20 Q       Okay. And so when you bought the condo in Burlington,  
21          you also had a -- one of your kids was at UVM for a  
22          couple of years?

23 A       Correct.

24 Q       What years was your child at UVM?

25 A       He -- he was at UVM prior to my starting at UVM, and he

1           had one year left when I started on faculty. No, I  
2           needed to be on faculty for a full year before we would  
3           get any tuition remission.

4   Q       Okay. And you were on -- so there was another year  
5           that he was there after that, right?

6   A       No. I got one semester of tuition remission.

7   Q       Okay. And so he was there for part of the time that  
8           you started working -- he was already there at UVM?

9   A       Yeah. He was already at UVM living off campus.

10   Q       Okay. All right. He didn't want to live with you,  
11           right?

12   A       What college boy wants to live with his mother?

13   Q       Well, apparently one does now, but I may have that same  
14           issue.

15           So there was a part of the time that you were  
16           there you had one of your kids was there at UVM, right?

17   A       Yes.

18   Q       Okay. Now I want to turn your attention to a document  
19           that's been marked B12, if we could put that up.

20           Now, you were shown this document by Mr. Vitt,  
21           correct?

22           And we can go to the next page, please. Thank  
23           you.

24           Now, this was a letter that you sent to  
25           Ms. Giglio, Dr. Merrens, and Dr. Padin and Dr. DeMars,

1           correct --

2 A       Correct.

3 Q       -- at some point, but first you sent it to yourself,

4       right? That was the first page?

5 A       I wrote this at home.

6 Q       Understood. I just -- the first e-mail in this

7       sequence, which is B12(001) which is an e-mail sent to

8       yourself and then the letter is attached.

9 A       I think there are multiple copies of this, and so I --

10       one of the issues with the challenges is that when I

11       reviewed the documents, there are multiple. So, you

12       know, we got, I don't know, 30,000 documents, or some

13       large number, and there are multiple copies of all of

14       it. So I can't say whether -- it looks like I did

15       e-mail this particular one to myself.

16 Q       That's all I'm asking.

17 A       Okay.

18 Q       That's all I'm asking. I understand your point about

19       documents, but this was a document that you e-mailed to

20       yourself, right? That's all I'm asking.

21 A       It appears so.

22 Q       Thank you. Okay. And you wrote this on or about

23       May 25th, correct?

24           We could go to the next page.

25 A       I did spend several days writing it, but that was the

1 final copy.

2 Q Understood. It was a long letter, and you took several  
3 days to write it, right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And, in fact, this is several weeks after you had  
6 received notice of your termination, correct?

7 A Termination was May 4th notice. This was submitted  
8 May 25th.

9 Q Right. And my question was: This was several weeks  
10 after you received notice of your termination?

11 A I would define it as two weeks.

12 Q Well, May 4th and May 25, I think that's three.

13 A Okay, three.

14 Q Okay. Several weeks, right?

15 A Three weeks.

16 Q Okay. And you took several days to write it, right?

17 A Correct.

18 Q And I just want to ask you about the individuals you've  
19 identified here. Ms. Giglio, who is she?

20 A I believe her name has changed since then, but she was  
21 the interim director of Human Resources.

22 Q Did you -- actually, her name has changed. It's Aimee  
23 Claiborne. So I'll refer to her as Aimee Claiborne if  
24 that's okay with you. She was the interim head of HR,  
25 CHRO?

1 A Correct.

2 Q So she was the highest ranking person in HR, as far as  
3 you knew?

4 A As far as I knew.

5 Q And Dr. Merrens, we've spoken about him. And then  
6 Dr. Padin and Dr. DeMars, right?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Okay. Now, you had said on your direct testimony that  
9 no one -- no one at DH ever spoke to you about this  
10 letter.

11 A That's not what I said.

12 Q You didn't say that?

13 A I said no one reached out to me.

14 Q Okay.

15 A I reached out to them, and I asked for a meeting with  
16 Ms. Claiborne.

17 Q Okay.

18 A So it's a matter of did anyone contact me and ask me  
19 about the content of this letter? No. Did I reach out  
20 and ask for a meeting? Yes.

21 Q And, in fact, that meeting was held -- so  
22 Ms. Claiborne, you reached out to her, and she said  
23 fine, let's have a meeting, right?

24 A Correct.

25 Q And that meeting happened just a few days later,

1 correct?

2 A I don't recall.

3 Q And -- but she didn't say, No, I'm not going to meet  
4 with you, right?

5 A Correct.

6 Q And she was the head of HR at that point, correct, for  
7 Dartmouth Health?

8 A She was, I believe, the interim director, yes.

9 Q Right. The interim head. Right. Okay.

10 And you then proceeded to highlight in this letter  
11 a number of issues, correct? You looked at this letter  
12 before --

13 A I have not read through it in the last couple of days,  
14 no.

15 Q Okay. Well, you know you were going to be asked about  
16 it on your direct examination, right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. So you know you were going to be asked about the  
19 specific document. And you raised -- do you recall  
20 raising four different points, and if you want to read  
21 it --

22 A Yeah, I would like to re-read it.

23 Q Okay. That's fair.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Just take a minute for her to  
25 read it.

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

3 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) And just let me know when you're  
4 finished, Dr. Porter.

5 A There's only one page here, can I see the entire  
6 document?

7 Q Absolutely.

8 MS. McDONALD: It's in Volume 1.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: I'm sorry. May I approach  
10 the witness?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay. Apologies, so that one,  
13 and --

14 A Please take it out for me.

15 Q Sure.

16 (Pause.)

17 A Okay.

18 Q Okay. You've had a chance to review that?

19 A Mm-hm, yes.

20 Q Okay. I'll just ask you a few quick questions. One of  
21 the first points that you wanted the hospital to  
22 reconsider -- this was your -- I think it was seven  
23 pages, right? I counted them. I think I'm right. But  
24 as a lawyer, math is not my specialty.

25 A They're not page numbered.

1 Q Okay. Well, I counted. It was seven, but you could  
2 verify it on a break. We're almost there.

3 A Okay.

4 Q One of the things that you said in your statement to  
5 these four individuals -- and you raised this letter,  
6 by the way, with Ms. Claiborne, correct, when you met  
7 with her a few days later, right?

8 A I don't recall.

9 Q You don't recall raising this seven-page letter?

10 A I know I had sent it to her. I don't recall if I  
11 raised it to her at the time.

12 Q Okay. You wrote a seven-page letter. You asked for a  
13 meeting with her. The meeting happened two days later.

14 A I don't recall.

15 Q Are you telling me you don't recall having any  
16 discussion with her about the letter, the contents of  
17 the letter?

18 A I don't recall specifically reviewing the letter, no.

19 Q Or any of its contents?

20 A I don't remember that, no.

21 Q Okay. But you did have that meeting in person with  
22 Ms. Claiborne, correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And at that point, in May, you had -- so this is May of  
25 2017. And I want to just make sure that the jury

1           understands the time sequence of things. Your first  
2           surgery -- just to get the perspective of the time,  
3           your first surgery was in December of 2015?

4 A       I had a blood patch in December of 2015.

5 Q       Okay.

6 A       My first surgery was not until September of 2016.

7 Q       Okay. And when you had that blood patch in December of  
8           2015, were you out on short-term disability at that  
9           point, or did you go out on short-term disability?

10 A       Yes.

11 Q       And you were out for approximately six months?

12 A       On short-term disability, yes.

13 Q       Right. And short-term disability is, generally  
14           speaking, a six-month policy. Are you aware of that?

15 A       For all providers, there are -- for all employees at  
16           Dartmouth-Hitchcock, I believe that's correct.

17 Q       Okay. And so you were out on short-term disability  
18           from mid December, right, to mid June of 2016, right?

19           Mid December of --

20 A       I was out on short-term disability for six months, yes.

21 Q       Okay. I want to make sure we have a perspective of  
22           time here. Mid December of 2015 to roughly mid June of  
23           2016?

24 A       Mid December 2015 to six months later.

25 Q       Okay. And the -- at this point in time, which is the

1 letter that's in front of you, this is May 2017. I  
2 just want to make sure that we have the -- so mid  
3 December 2015 to mid June or so of 2016, that's six  
4 months, right?

5 A Correct.

6 Q Okay. I just want to make sure that -- we'll come back  
7 to this document in a minute, but I just want to make  
8 sure that from just a perspective issue and chronology  
9 of events. So you were out for that period of time.

10 You came back to work sometime in June of 2016, right?

11 A I believe I came back earlier.

12 Q And you were part -- well, you just said you were out  
13 for six months on short-term disability, so I'm just  
14 trying to track this in general terms right now.

15 A Well, we can pull the timeline if you would like to be  
16 specific.

17 Q I'm just trying to get answers as to how long you were  
18 out on short-term disability. You said six months?

19 A I was on short-term disability for six months.

20 Q Okay.

21 A I would refer to the timeline that's published for the  
22 exact numbers and hours of when I returned.

23 Q Understood. You came back at some point in the summer  
24 of 2016, correct?

25 A Correct.

1 Q And then your first surgery was in the fall of 2016?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay. And do you recall you were out for a couple of  
4 months at that point?

5 A I was on modified bed rest for six weeks --

6 Q Okay.

7 A -- after my surgery.

8 Q And that was sometime -- was that sometime in August to  
9 November of 2016?

10 A I was at the Mayo Clinic in August of 2016 and then out  
11 on modified bed rest, but I started attending team  
12 meetings by phone, and I wrote a book chapter, and I  
13 was doing some work in that period of time.

14 Q Okay. And then you were gradually coming back at  
15 various levels in January, February, March, April of  
16 2017, correct?

17 A I had a programmed return schedule that I had to meet  
18 certain criteria of complexity by my healthcare team  
19 and followed that.

20 Q Okay. I understand that. I just want -- I want you to  
21 just answer the question.

22 You were gradually increasing your hours over that  
23 period of time?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And that happened to be pursuant to the instructions

1 from your healthcare team at the Mayo Clinic?

2 A No, my healthcare team at Dartmouth.

3 Q Okay. Your healthcare team at Dartmouth.

4 But then your first surgery, you said, was where?

5 Was that at Mayo?

6 A I had a spinal surgery at the Mayo Clinic in September  
7 of 2016.

8 Q Okay. That's what I thought. So you had a healthcare  
9 team at the Mayo, and then you had a healthcare team at  
10 Dartmouth Health?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Okay. And pursuant to that, you were increasing the  
13 number of hours that you were working at Dartmouth  
14 Health in January, February, March, April, right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Now, in fact, and you alluded to this, or you testified  
17 to this before, the fact that you had to have a second  
18 surgery at the Mayo Clinic.

19 A Correct.

20 Q And that was in the fall of '17. This is after the REI  
21 division is closed, correct?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And as a result of that second surgery, that was the  
24 fall of 2017; that was September to, say, mid November  
25 when you were out?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. Prior to that, before it came to a head that you  
3 had to have this second surgery, do you recall having a  
4 few visits for varying lengths of time at the Mayo  
5 immediately after the REI division closure?

6 A I did not immediately afterwards go. I went to the  
7 Mayo in September for my second surgery, but I was not  
8 physically there, to my recollection, no.

9 Q Right. But in May through -- May 29th through  
10 June 13th of 2017 and then June 28th to July 11th, do  
11 you recall having to go out the Mayo for various  
12 visits?

13 A In 2017?

14 Q Right.

15 A No.

16 Q You don't recall that at all?

17 A No.

18 Q Okay. Were you experiencing symptoms related to your  
19 condition at that point?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Now, with respect to -- and I would like to go  
22 back to your letter. The second reason that you gave  
23 or differentiate -- the second reason or factor that  
24 you wanted the four individuals to consider was that  
25 Dr. Seifer and Hsu have a limited scope of practice,

1                   correct?

2 A           Correct.

3 Q           And I want to go to just the -- let's see here.

4                   Now, to the extent -- would you agree with me that  
5                   if a doctor has a limited scope of practice or doesn't  
6                   feel that they should do something or some sort of  
7                   practice that, in fact, it's safer for them not to  
8                   perform that procedure?

9 A           I think that's speculative.

10 Q           You think it's speculative?

11 A           I think that it would depend on what they thought their  
12                  abilities were and what procedure we were talking  
13                  about.

14 Q           In this letter, you're making a statement to these four  
15                  individuals that, comparatively speaking, they had a  
16                  limited scope of practice, correct? You say that,  
17                  correct?

18 A           Dr. Seifer didn't do any GYN surgery nor was he  
19                  credentialed to do ultrasound; and Dr. Hsu did not do  
20                  complex robotic surgery, and he also was not an  
21                  ultrasound expert.

22 Q           Right. I'm just asking whether or not, and we've  
23                  highlighted here for you on the screen, whether you  
24                  said -- on the screen there, on the screen -- "both the  
25                  other two clinicians have a very limited scope of

1 practice," right? You said that?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And you were differentiating yourself from the other  
4 two physicians, correct?

5 A Correct.

6 Q And you understood, though, that you and the other two  
7 physicians were being terminated at the same time,  
8 right?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Now, at the time, you had -- you were going through  
11 proctored surgeries, correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And that meant having other physicians in the room with  
14 you?

15 A Correct.

16 Q As a result of the second surgery, I think you  
17 testified on direct exam, you had to go through that  
18 whole proctoring process again, correct?

19 A I volunteered to do it, yes. And as a new faculty at  
20 UVM, it was an expectation.

21 Q Okay. And you would have had to do that -- would you  
22 have had to do that as well at Dartmouth Health just  
23 because of the second surgery, if you were still there?

24 A Most likely, and I would have accepted it.

25 Q Okay. I just wanted to know "yes" or "no" whether you

1                   were doing it.

2                   In looking at the seven-page letter that you  
3                   wrote, you do not make any claims in here of disability  
4                   discrimination, right?

5       A    I wanted my job back, and at that point, a lot of the  
6                   data and information about the disability  
7                   discrimination was still evolving. So I wanted my job.  
8                   And it was very clear to me in the three times that  
9                   Dr. Merrens said it at that meeting where I was  
10                  terminated, he said three times to me to stay out on  
11                  disability, and when I saw Dr. DeMars on the sidewalk,  
12                  she also said to me, she could not have kept me because  
13                  of my disability.

14      Q    My question though, just my question, was not about  
15                  comments that Dr. Merrens did or did not make, or  
16                  Dr. DeMars. In fact, you don't reference any of those  
17                  conversations in this specific document, right?

18      A    Not that I recall.

19      Q    Well, you just read it and you were -- you knew you  
20                  were going to be asked it on direct exam by your  
21                  counsel because it was an exhibit; it was one of the  
22                  few exhibits that came in. You knew you were going to  
23                  see this documents, so when you say you don't recall,  
24                  you've read it, right?

25      A    So I would like to read you the part of the letter that

1                   might answer your question.

2 Q               No. I would appreciate it if you would just answer my  
3                   questions. Your counsel can ask you questions  
4                   afterwards.

5                   My question to you though was: You do not refer  
6                   or make any claims of disability discrimination --  
7                   those words -- or retaliation, anywhere in this  
8                   document, right?

9 A               That's not how I interpret it, no.

10 Q              Whether you interpret it -- how you interpret it is not  
11                 the question. The question is: You do not use those  
12                 words in any which way, right?

13 A              "The termination of my employment will impact my  
14                 recovery from the debilitating condition I suffered  
15                 last year."

16 Q              Okay. That --

17                   MR. SCHROEDER: I would ask the Court just  
18                 for instruction, just to answer my question.

19                   THE COURT: Dr. Porter, you should answer the  
20                 question that's being asked of you by counsel.

21 Q              (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay? Nowhere in this document do  
22                 you use the phrase "disability discrimination" or  
23                 "retaliation," right?

24 A              No.

25 Q              Okay. And, at that point, you already had legal

1                   counsel, correct?

2 A       Yes.

3 Q       Okay. I think --

4                   MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, if it's okay,  
5 before I switch to another topic, I think this would  
6 be --

7                   THE COURT: Yes. It's about the lunch break.

8                   So we'll take our one-hour break for lunch. I have  
9 12:05. So we'll start again at 1:05. All right.

10                  Thank you.

11                  (Jury exits.)

12                  (Noon recess taken.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Afternoon Session

\* \* \*

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Good afternoon, Dr. Porter. I want to go back to that May 25th letter that you had sent to those four individuals, right, Aimee Claiborne -- I'm not going to ask you anything specific about it so you don't have to read it.

A I would like to have it if we're going to talk about it.

Q Okay. I'm not going to ask you a specific question about the document. I'm just asking you about the four people. So there was Aimee Claiborne, Dr. DeMars, Dr. Merrens, and Dr. Padin, correct?

A      Correct.

Q Okay. And you approached -- out of the four, you immediately approached, or I should say, a few weeks later approached Ms. Claiborne, correct?

A I asked for a meeting with her, yes.

Q Okay. And that meeting happened sometime in that time frame after your May 25th letter, correct?

A      Correct.

Q Now, was that the only -- that was the only person you

1 specifically reached out to regarding this letter to  
2 have a meeting with, right?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Okay. And up until that point, you knew Dr. Merrens,  
5 correct?

6 A I don't know Dr. Merrens. Well, I know who he is, yes.

7 Q You know who he is, but you knew him, right?

8 A Yes. I hadn't talked to him in years, but yes.

9 Q Right. It had been a couple years since you talked to  
10 him regularly, right?

11 A No, it had been many years, I believe.

12 Q Many years?

13 A To my knowledge; to my recollection, yes.

14 Q Okay. But you knew him, correct?

15 A I knew who he was, yes.

16 Q Well, you had prior dealings with him, right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q You'd had interaction with him by e-mail, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q You met with him in person, correct?

21 A Many years ago, yes.

22 Q You say "many years ago." Well, we'll get to that  
23 later, but your dealings with him in that prior  
24 instance, you had -- you were able to communicate with  
25 him, right? You looked to him for guidance on certain

1 issues?

2 A I had a conversation with him.

3 Q You had more than one conversation, didn't you?

4 A I don't recall.

5 Q Okay. We'll try to refresh your recollection later.

6 But it had been a couple years, right, since you hadn't  
7 had any dealings with him in say 2014, 2015, 2016,  
8 right?

9 A When I started taking the fellows, I got an e-mail from  
10 him, and that's all I recall at this point.

11 Q In that time period?

12 A In that time frame.

13 Q Okay. But other than an e-mail about the fellows --  
14 and do you remember when that was?

15 A No, I don't.

16 Q Okay. Other than that one e-mail about the fellows, in  
17 that three-year time period, you don't recall any other  
18 interactions with Dr. Merrens, right?

19 A Not to my recollection currently, no.

20 Q Right. And with respect to 2017, you didn't have any  
21 interaction with him in January, February, March, or  
22 April, right?

23 A Not to my recollection.

24 Q One of the questions I asked you earlier was about when  
25 you were on short-term disability and long-term

1                   disability, and I just want to be sure we're clear on  
2                   the time frames.

3                   MR. SCHROEDER: So if we could pull up  
4                   Exhibit U?

5                   MS. McDONALD: We're having a little bit of a  
6                   technical difficulty at the moment. The program  
7                   appears to be frozen.

8                   THE COURT: Are you asking to bring up  
9                   something that's not in evidence?

10                  MR. SCHROEDER: It's not in evidence yet.

11                  THE COURT: Do you mean just for the witness  
12                  stand?

13                  MR. SCHROEDER: Oh, because it would be over  
14                  here.

15                  THE COURT: Right.

16                  MR. SCHROEDER: I got it.

17                  THE COURT: So you have a paper copy; you can  
18                  hand that to the witness.

19                  MR. SCHROEDER: We could do that.

20                  THE COURT: Or I'm sure we could have it on  
21                  the screen just for the witness.

22                  MR. SCHROEDER: I don't want to make -- I  
23                  think we have enough technical problems as it is. Let  
24                  me get a hard copy of it.

25                  MS. McDONALD: It should be Volume 1.

1 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay. I'm showing you a document  
2 that's been marked Exhibit U. Do you recognize that  
3 document? Just one page.

4 A I don't.

5 Q Okay. Is that an e-mail from you to somebody in  
6 Provider Services on July 5th, 2016?

7 A July 6th, 2016, correct.

8 Q Right. And that's your e-mail address, correct?

9 A When I was at Hitchcock, correct.

10 Q Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt its  
11 authenticity?

12 A No.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: Move for admission.

14 THE COURT: Any objection?

15 MR. VITT: No objection.

16 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you. May I now put it  
18 up on the screen? I'll get this down. We're still  
19 having technical difficulties.

20 MS. McDONALD: Do you want to do it on the  
21 Elmo?

22 MR. SCHROEDER: I'll just have her read it  
23 into the record.

24 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Let me just ask you to read the  
25 e-mail into the record Ms. -- Dr. Porter, dated

1                   Tuesday, July 5, 2016, from you to Provider Services  
2                   regarding the subject "Disability."

3       A    "I've been on long-term disability, returned to work 9  
4                   to 11 hours a week as of 6/14."

5       Q    Does that refresh your recollection that -- and I think  
6                   you testified to this, and I want to make sure that the  
7                   jury understands the chronology because we go back and  
8                   forth. December 15 of '15, thereabouts, to June 14 of  
9                   2016 is about six months. Is that about the time  
10                  period that you were on short-term disability?

11      A    I was on short-term disability for six months, yes.

12      Q    Okay. After short-term disability, were you qualified  
13                  at some point for long-term disability?

14      A    Yes.

15      Q    And did that -- whether or not it happened in right  
16                  sequential order, was there a gap in coverage, or did  
17                  the short-term disability, after you had those  
18                  benefits, convert then to long-term disability?

19      A    I believe there wasn't a gap in coverage, but to the  
20                  best of my knowledge, there wasn't.

21      Q    Okay. There was not?

22      A    Yes.

23      Q    Okay. With respect to -- so then you went on long-term  
24                  disability sometime in June of 2016, correct?

25      A    I believe so.

1 Q Okay. And did you have long-term disability benefits  
2 then until the summer of 2018, thereabouts?

3 A I had continued long-term disability while I was on per  
4 diem, yes.

5 Q Okay. So when the division closed in May or June of  
6 2017, you were already on long-term disability benefits  
7 at that point, correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And you were receiving compensation through that, I'll  
10 call it, LTD plan?

11 A I was receiving part of my salary as being on long-term  
12 disability, yes.

13 Q Okay. And despite the termination or the closure of  
14 the REI division and your termination of employment,  
15 you continued to receive long-term disability benefits  
16 until somewhere in the summer of 2018; is that right?

17 A I received partial -- I received long-term disability  
18 benefits that were prorated based on the amount I was  
19 working, and I also had to pay my own health insurance.

20 Q Okay. My question though is that the long-term  
21 disability benefits still -- there was no gap even  
22 after the closure of the REI division; they carried  
23 forward into the period after your termination of  
24 employment all the way through until May or June of  
25 2018?

1 A I had partial reimbursement from long-term disability,  
2 and I was also earning a per diem salary, yes.

3 Q Right.

4 A And until my primary care provider approved I come off  
5 long-term disability, yes.

6 Q And that happened when?

7 A Well, let's look at the deposition to be sure, but it  
8 was the spring of 2018, I believe, spring/summer.

9 Q We'll get to the deposition if we have to, but I just  
10 want -- this isn't a trick question. I'm trying to  
11 figure out for chronology for the jury when you came  
12 off long-term disability and you had a full, clean bill  
13 of health.

14 A Well, when my primary care provider had me come off  
15 long-term disability.

16 Q And was that in and around May, June 2018?

17 A I believe so.

18 Q Okay. And, at that point, you were working at UVM,  
19 correct?

20 A On per diem, yes.

21 Q Right. And with respect to your long-term disability  
22 benefits, whatever the plan was, you said they were  
23 prorated. Was it prorated because, if you worked,  
24 there would be a deduction from your benefit?

25 A Correct. I was submitting what I was earning, and they

1       would be reduced based on my -- the Hartford and the  
2       disability would reduce my payments based on my income.

3   Q    Right. So in September, October, and November, that  
4       time period right after you had your second surgery,  
5       you were out completely, you were receiving the full  
6       long-term disability benefit right?

7   A    Correct.

8   Q    Okay.

9                   MS. McDONALD: Would you like a copy for the  
10          Elmo?

11                  MR. SCHROEDER: Sure. Your Honor, a quick  
12          second so I can kind of figure this one out.

13                  THE COURT: Yes.

14   Q    (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, I would like to turn to you  
15          working in the REI division. You said when the REI  
16          division -- when you started there, were you one of the  
17          first people there?

18   A    I joined -- Dr. Manganiello was the first person there,  
19          and I joined several years after he had been there. I  
20          think he started in the late '70s, and I started in  
21          '96.

22   Q    Okay. Was it a fairly -- so when you got there, there  
23          was just Dr. Manganiello?

24   A    And myself, yes.

25   Q    Okay. So just the two of you?

1 A Yeah.

2 Q Okay. So is it fair to say that that was a pretty  
3 small program at that point?

4 A The REI service itself was actually pretty global. He  
5 was a specialist in genetics and menopause and  
6 everything else, so it was not particularly small. The  
7 IVF, again, which is that sliver of REI, was small.

8 Q Okay.

9 A IVF was, but not the infertility service per se.

10 Q Okay. But other than you and Dr. Manganiello, it was  
11 just the two of you, right?

12 A And we had nurse practitioners. We had one or two  
13 nurse practitioners as well.

14 Q Okay. So it was you, one other doctor, and one or two  
15 nurse practitioners, right?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And that was the REI division, right?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Okay. So when I said it was small, would you agree  
20 with me that a handful of people is a small program,  
21 say, compared to, you mentioned before, Boston IVF,  
22 right?

23 A They're not equivalent.

24 Q Right. Boston IVF is a fairly large program, right?

25 A Boston IVF does IVF; it's not a reproductive medicine

1 program, no.

2 Q So compared to what the REI division was at that time  
3 which did IVF at Dartmouth Health?

4 A If you're looking at the comprehensive reproductive  
5 medicine program, that's not Boston IVF.

6 Q Okay. Let me turn your attention to the REI division  
7 in 2016. We'll go back in time in a second, but with  
8 respect to the REI division -- and this is before David  
9 Seifer becomes division director of the REI division,  
10 okay.

11 A Mm-hm.

12 Q So just time period. So early 2016, there's  
13 yourself -- and I just want to go by the doctors for a  
14 second. I want to make sure the jury understands who  
15 is in the division and who is not. You had -- and I'm  
16 just talking about physicians and nurses that are  
17 providers within the REI division.

18 So at that point, you have yourself and Dr. Hsu,  
19 correct?

20 A And our nurse practitioner Elizabeth Todd.

21 Q Beth Todd?

22 A Yes.

23 Q So those are the three, right. So it's you, Albert Hsu  
24 and Beth Todd?

25 A And I believe we had fellows with us as well.

1 Q Okay. And do the fellows go in and out of the program?

2 A We had a fellow assigned each year for three years, and  
3 they would be assigned for a year. Some would come  
4 down for certain days. And one of the fellows, Dr. Joe  
5 Finlay, was there assigned the entire year.

6 Q So Dr. Finlay was there the entire 2016?

7 A He was there during his fellowship when he came. So he  
8 had -- he was mostly there in 2016, I believe, yeah.

9 Q Okay. And how many days a week?

10 A It varied based on whether the IVF schedule was up or  
11 not. So when I was there, he was there operating in  
12 the OR with me. He was doing IVF with me in clinic.  
13 If IVF wasn't there, I would have to look back at the  
14 dates specifically, but we had Dr. Dundee from here.  
15 We had Joe Finlay, and we had Ranju Raj that were  
16 fellows here, and I would have to go back and look at  
17 the specific dates they were there. They were there  
18 variably to get exposure to REI and IVF.

19 Q But they were -- I'm sorry. They were fellows,  
20 correct? They weren't full-fledged, full-time  
21 positions?

22 A But they were working in the division, yes.

23 Q Right. But you weren't there, though, for the first  
24 five and a half months of 2016, right?

25 A Correct.

1 Q Okay. I want to ask you about the nurses in the REI  
2 division specifically --

3 A Mm-hm.

4 Q -- during that time frame.

5 And I want to just see if I've got the right  
6 number and verify this. So early 2016, you had Casey  
7 Dodge, right?

8 A I believe so.

9 Q Okay. Sharon Parent?

10 A I believe so.

11 Q Was Marlene Grossman there?

12 A No.

13 Q Okay. And then a woman by the name of Julie?

14 A I suppose so.

15 Q Okay.

16 A Marlene may have been -- Marlene was not at Hitchcock  
17 clinic proper campus, ever. She was down in the  
18 Manchester/Bedford office outpatient only.

19 Q Right. So she was -- was she handling the southern  
20 sphere of New Hampshire?

21 A Not completely, no.

22 Q Well, no. Not completely, but was that -- out of the  
23 REI nurses, that one in particular was stationed at the  
24 Manchester/Bedford location?

25 A She was employed down there.

1 Q Yes. She was part of your time?

2 A Not completely. She also did GYN services.

3 Q She had other responsibilities?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Is that because the REI services there were not a high  
6 enough volume to fill her plate?

7 A No. It was expected that the nurses would cross cover  
8 each other.

9 Q So it had nothing to do with volume of patients?

10 A No.

11 Q Okay. I would ask you to -- I want to ask you about  
12 specifically who is in and who's out of the REI service  
13 at that point.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach the witness  
15 to show her another document?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: This is J. Just so I know  
18 what I'm doing here, Emerson, do I just put it down  
19 flat or upside down? I feel like I'm back in eighth  
20 grade.

21 THE CLERK: Text up.

22 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Well, before I put it on the screen,  
23 let me show you -- I'm showing you Exhibit J, and that  
24 is -- can you identify -- are you -- is this e-mail  
25 string to and from you, Dr. Porter.

1 A I believe it's to Karen George and from me.

2 Q Right. And that's May 4th, 2016, right?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q And then it goes -- and there's a series of e-mails and  
5 I'll start from the back and I'll move my way up.

6 A I'm not sure I have the complete one.

7 Q You do, it's just in the book.

8 A Okay.

9 THE COURT: It's not an admitted exhibit.

10 MR. SCHROEDER: Understood. I was just going  
11 to move for admission.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. VITT: Give me a second. I'm sorry.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: Sure.

15 MR. VITT: Have you moved to admit this?

16 MR. SCHROEDER: That's what I was seeking.

17 That's what I thought you were doing.

18 MR. VITT: No objection.

19 THE COURT: Okay. So Defendant's Exhibit J  
20 is admitted.

21 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you. May I use the  
22 Elmo to put this on the screen?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

25 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) This is the third page of the

1                   exhibit, J(003), and do you see that e-mail?

2 A       Yes.

3 Q       Okay. And it is from Kathleen Mansfield, correct?

4 A       Yes.

5 Q       And you testified about her yesterday. She goes by  
6                   Katy?

7 A       I don't remember testifying about her, but, yes.

8 Q       Okay. But my question is she goes by Katy, right?

9 A       I believe so, yes.

10 Q       You had regular interaction with her, right?

11 A       Right.

12 Q       Over a period of years?

13 A       Yes.

14 Q       Okay. So if we go to Page 2, I'll put that in front of  
15                   you. At the bottom, it's from Katy Mansfield and  
16                   that's the e-mail, Marti and Green Team. Does that  
17                   refresh your recollection that Marti Lewis was joining  
18                   the REI division at that point?

19 A       I don't have a recollection of this series of  
20                   communications, no, but she did join REI service.

21 Q       Okay. Does that refresh your recollection by looking  
22                   at this document that that was communicated to you,  
23                   among others, that she was joining the division?

24 A       I don't remember it coming through here, but she did  
25                   join us.

1 Q Well, you got the e-mail, right?  
2 A It appears so.  
3 Q Well, do you have any reason to doubt it?  
4 A No.  
5 Q Okay. And you state -- sorry, the Elmo is not close  
6 enough to the mic.

7 You state here on May 4th, 2016, from you to Karen  
8 George -- and who is Karen George?

9 A Karen George is a generalist who also was the residency  
10 director.

11 Q Okay. So the residency director for the REI program,  
12 or just the residency director for OB-GYN?

13 A So we had trainees in general OB-GYN, 16 of them, and  
14 Karen George was their director.

15 Q And you wrote an e-mail to her, correct?

16 A I've written several e-mails to her.

17 Q Right. Well, this particular e-mail though says,  
18 "Okay. You'll need to let me know the story. They did  
19 an intervention with Julie and switched out Marti.  
20 We've had so many failures, and this one is  
21 particularly demoralizing for Sharon. Really, the job  
22 is much too big and needs to be remodeled. We need  
23 someone just for prior authorizations, comma, and  
24 triage." Did I read that correctly?

25 A I believe so.

1 Q Okay. So Julie was one of the REI nurses at that  
2 point, and she was being switched out, right?

3 A Correct.

4 Q This is May 2016, correct?

5 A Correct.

6 Q So this is just about -- actually, it's one year from  
7 the date that the REI division is closed, correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And, at this point, Marti is coming into the division,  
10 the REI division, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q You go to the top and there's an e-mail from Karen  
13 George where she says, "She is terrible as a generalist  
14 triager. Maybe the focused subspecialty will be  
15 better. She really has bad communication skills, dot  
16 dot dot." Did I read that correctly?

17 A Which page are you looking at?

18 Q Page 2, right at the top. Right at the top. It's  
19 actually on your screen. Right at the top.

20 A Yes, May 4, yes, from Karen George, yes.

21 Q Okay. You then respond to her, right?

22 A Yeah.

23 MR. SCHROEDER: And, Emerson, is there a way  
24 to get this --

25 THE CLERK: Are you looking to zoom?

MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, a little bit because it's not... and I don't want it the whole page with my hand there.

THE CLERK: That's as far out as it will zoom. You can also zoom in and out on the device itself.

MR. SCHROEDER: Now you're really challenging me. Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Schroeder) This is the whole e-mail. If you're looking at this, at the bottom, it's you to Karen George, May 4th, 2016. Can you read that into the record for me?

A Do you want me to start from the very bottom one?

Q No. Yes. I'm sorry, yes. At 12:34 p.m. from you to Karen George, and it's "Re: Marti and Green Team."

A "Well, that won't work. The REI workload is huge, multiple software programs, physiology, procedures and airway management -- or airways, et cetera, et cetera. The patients require and demand good communication skills and, frankly, it is a nightmare in REI right now with Albert Hsu and Julie.

22 "There were some human resources reasons that  
23 Heather and Katy wanted to do the switch. Julie  
24 completely melted down, and there were multiple  
25 documentation of patient safety issues. She needs to

1 have a very narrow scope of responsibilities, and not  
2 much interest in learning new things."

3 Q At this point, you're a year out from the closure of  
4 the REI division. You've got one nurse who is failing;  
5 is that a fair statement, Julie?

6 A Julie, yes.

7 Q Okay. And you're switching out and putting Marti into  
8 the REI division. I'm not saying you specifically, but  
9 she's being placed into the REI division, right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And the early critiques of her from Dr. George are,  
12 let's say, less than favorable?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And you seem to agree, right, because you say, "Well,  
15 that won't work," right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Karen George then says to you above that on May 4th at  
18 12:35 p.m. "I'm sorry that I got you worked up. The  
19 nursing situation on all fronts is a nightmare." Did I  
20 read that correctly?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Right. And so at that point, you got some issues with  
23 the REI nurses because you're switching out one and now  
24 you're bringing somebody in who is -- doesn't have the  
25 skill set yet to be an REI nurse; is that a fair

1 statement?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Okay.

4 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach the witness?

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 MR. SCHROEDER: With respect to Exhibit J, I  
7 want to make sure we've admitted that into evidence,  
8 correct, Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: Yes. That was the one that you  
10 just admitted.

11 MR. SCHROEDER: Yes. That's what I thought.  
12 The other one that I want move to admit.

13 THE WITNESS: This was one of my care  
14 providers. Can we admit it? This is one of my  
15 healthcare providers.

16 THE COURT: There isn't a pending question.

17 MS. NUNAN: We're not sure what she's looking  
18 at.

19 MR. SCHROEDER: We are on Exhibit K.  
20 Exhibit K.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, she is a healthcare  
22 provider of mine.

23 MR. SCHROEDER: I understand that. Your  
24 Honor, I was reminded by my able co-counsel,  
25 Ms. McDonald, that I didn't ask to admit Exhibit U.

1 Move for admission of U.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Howe is telling me that it  
3 was previously admitted.

4 MR. SCHROEDER: Apologies.

5 THE COURT: Exhibit U. But now you are  
6 dealing with Exhibit K with the witness, not admitted.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: Not admitted, and I'm going  
8 to ask a few questions to hopefully get it admitted.

9 THE WITNESS: This one?

10 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Yes, Exhibit K that I just put in  
11 front of you.

12 A She's a healthcare provider of mine.

13 Q I understand that, and I'm asking you whether this was  
14 a back-and-forth between you and your healthcare  
15 provider on May 10th?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. And does this refresh your recollection as to  
18 the back-and-forth that you were having with getting  
19 short-term disability and long-term disability benefits  
20 approved?

21 THE COURT: Okay. So you're asking questions  
22 of the witness about this document that's not in  
23 evidence?

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Right.

25 MR. VITT: May we approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Sure.

2 (Bench conference held.)

3 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I'm not going to ask you anything  
4 about your personal health information at all. I'm  
5 just referring to one specific sentence in it.

6 MR. SCHROEDER: And I ask -- move for  
7 admission before I do that.

8 THE COURT: Right. Any objection to the  
9 admission of Defense Exhibit K?

10 MR. VITT: After it's redacted, no.

11 THE COURT: Right. So it will be admitted  
12 now, Defense Exhibit K admitted without objection,  
13 except for redactions that will be made before the  
14 exhibit is available to the jury.

15 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Just so that we focus on the one  
16 specific part that has nothing to do with yourself,  
17 Dr. Porter, am I correct that in one of your e-mails on  
18 May 10th, 2016, you say, "Sharon back now from  
19 vacation. Beth and Sharon will be holding down the  
20 fort. Problem nurse fired last week. It was a mess.  
21 Patients left without care."

22 A Correct.

23 Q Thank you.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: We'll submit a redacted  
25 version of that.

1 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Do you recall, Dr. Porter -- so at  
2 that point, Marti comes into the REI division, Julie's  
3 out, right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And this would be -- right. This would be sometime in  
6 the May 2016 time frame?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And, at that point, the nurses that are in the division  
9 are Casey, Marti, Sharon, and Marlene in the south; is  
10 that accurate?

11 A No.

12 Q That's not accurate?

13 A No. Because we had involved a lot of other nurses who  
14 were working with us per diem, and we had other nurses  
15 from the outpatient clinic also working with us. So it  
16 wasn't just those nurses.

17 Q Okay. But with respect to the ones that were working  
18 full-time -- and that's a fair question, fair point.  
19 The ones that were working full-time in the REI  
20 division at that point: Casey, Marti, Sharon, Marlene.  
21 Am I missing anybody?

22 A I couldn't tell you if the others were working  
23 full-time, but we had a whole cadre of other nurses who  
24 were working from same-day surgery were coming up to  
25 work with us from the clinic, and the other individuals

1 who were floating with us in all different roles. So  
2 it wasn't just them, and I couldn't comment on the  
3 full-time status or not.

4 Q Okay. But the people that you were working with on a  
5 regular basis, on a daily basis --

6 A We were working on a daily basis with all kinds of  
7 other nurses, yes.

8 Q I understand that. But were they assigned on a  
9 full-time basis to the REI division?

10 A Some of them, yes.

11 Q Some of them I identified, correct? Casey, Marti,  
12 Sharon, Marlene, right?

13 A There were other nurses working with us, and I couldn't  
14 tell you if they were on a full-time basis just to us  
15 or not, but there were several other nurses working  
16 with us, yes.

17 Q Well, in May 2016 -- this is right around the time that  
18 Dr. Seifer is close to joining the REI division,  
19 correct?

20 A Correct.

21 Q Okay. So up until that point, it's you and Dr. Hsu in  
22 the REI division, right?

23 A And a nurse practitioner, yes.

24 Q And Beth Todd?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Okay.

2 A And the fellows.

3 Q Right. And the full-time positions were you and  
4 Dr. Hsu, right?

5 A I was on disability part of that, correct.

6 Q Right.

7 A Yes.

8 Q Right. So, actually, in 2016 before Dr. Seifer gets  
9 there, it's just down to Dr. Hsu?

10 A For a short time, yes, for that time that I was on  
11 disability, yes.

12 Q Right. So for that six-month time period, December of  
13 2015 through June of 2016, right, the only REI doctor  
14 in the REI division was Dr. Hsu?

15 A Correct.

16 Q So any REI services in the REI division were being run  
17 through Dr. Hsu during the time that you were out?

18 A No, because Elizabeth Todd was doing REI services, and  
19 the nurses were doing REI services, so...

20 Q Right. I'm just talking about physicians.

21 A Dr. Hsu was the only physician.

22 Q Okay. I asked you earlier about whether or not before  
23 May of -- during the time frame of May 2017, there was  
24 a pause or you thought there would be a pause, right,  
25 in April?

1 A There are multiple different iterations of a pause  
2 versus limiting the scope of some services. So it  
3 wasn't just a pause. There was different iterations  
4 being presented, yes.

5 Q And that was in the April 2017 time frame?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And that's what the information you received from  
8 Dr. DeMars, correct?

9 A She gave me different information over the course of  
10 time. So she gave me the information that we were  
11 going to continue with, as I said, REI services; that  
12 we were going to continue with a lot of the infertility  
13 services, and that we would take a pause of the IVF  
14 services. She said that to me at one point. In fact,  
15 even the day before the close, that's what she said to  
16 me.

17 Q Right. And that was the first time that you were  
18 hearing the word "pause"; is that right?

19 A There were various iterations. That was the first time  
20 that I remember at this point, yes.

21 Q Okay. I'd ask you to go back to --

22 MR. SCHROEDER: I would ask if I may approach  
23 the witness with her deposition transcript?

24 THE COURT: Yes.

25 MR. SCHROEDER: And this is -- for counsel's

1                   standpoint, this is -- for counsel's sake, June 11,  
2                   2019, deposition. This is the first version.

3                   THE COURT: Is there an exhibit number or  
4                   letter?

5                   MR. SCHROEDER: No. This is the deposition  
6                   transcript. I'm just asking her to read something.

7           Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, I know that -- I just want to  
8                   refresh your recollection. You were deposed two  
9                   different occasions?

10          A Yes.

11          Q Okay. And obviously this predates the pandemic, so  
12                   everything seems like it was a really long time ago.

13                   I would ask you to turn your attention to Page  
14                   125.

15          A (Witness complies.)

16          Q Okay. Do you see that?

17          A Yes.

18          Q Okay. Now, at the top of Page 125, I'm asking you  
19                   about your -- the announcement that was made, and that  
20                   announcement was the May 4th, 2017, announcement,  
21                   correct?

22          A Correct.

23          Q And then I ask you: "It was a short meeting, and that  
24                   is the first time -- that was the first time as far as  
25                   you know that any of you -- David, Albert, and

1       Elizabeth Todd -- were informed that the REI division  
2       was being closed?"

3 A Closed.

4 Q Right. I read that correctly, right?

5 A What lines are you on?

6 Q The lines? Line 9 through Line 13. Do you see that?

7 A I'm reading it. Correct. Being closed.

8 Q All right. And what was your answer in response?

9 A "Leslie told me that the division was going to be  
10 paused, not that we were closing up."

11 Q Okay. And then I asked you: "When did she tell you  
12 that?" And what was your answer?

13 A "Starting the late winter, early spring of that year."

14 Q Actually it says, "Starting the winter, comma, late  
15 winter, comma, early spring of that year," right?

16 A Right.

17 Q So the idea of a pause had been discussed or mentioned  
18 to you and others in the REI division well before April  
19 of 2017, right?

20 A Yes.

21 THE COURT: So, Mr. Schroeder, I would just  
22 ask that that be marked for identification. I don't  
23 think there's any mark on it now, so it's a document  
24 that's been used for the witness but not identified by  
25 exhibit number, there needs to be a record of that.

1                   MR. SCHROEDER: How would you like me to  
2 refer to that?

3                   THE COURT: I'm just saying that putting a  
4 letter or number on it, just something so that we can  
5 keep a record of the fact that this was used.

6                   MR. SCHROEDER: Understood.

7                   THE WITNESS: I would like to place some  
8 context that there was various conversations that we  
9 had, and --

10                  THE COURT: So, Dr. Porter, I'm sorry. I'm  
11 just going to stop you there. There isn't a question  
12 pending as far as I know.

13                  THE WITNESS: Okay. I was trying to provide  
14 some clarity.

15                  MR. SCHROEDER: There was no question  
16 pending, Your Honor. I was waiting -- I wanted to be  
17 polite about that.

18 Q                (By Mr. Schroeder) With respect to the REI division,  
19 and specifically the services that the REI division was  
20 giving to its patients, do you recall a slowdown or a  
21 pause in certain kinds of services that the REI  
22 division was giving to its patients in December of  
23 2016?

24 A               No.

25                  MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach the witness?

1                   THE COURT: Yes. Is this also the same  
2                   thing, a document that's not going to be admitted?

3                   MR. SCHROEDER: It will be admitted, but I  
4                   have to show it to her first, and I want to make sure  
5                   that --

6                   THE COURT: Right. Mr. Howe has exhibit  
7                   stickers for you for the last document that was used so  
8                   we can keep track of this now so we won't lose track of  
9                   it.

10                  MR. SCHROEDER: Just put that on there?

11                  THE CLERK: Yes, please.

12                  MR. SCHROEDER: Sure. May I leave this right  
13                  here for now?

14                  THE COURT: Yes.

15                  MR. VITT: Is there an exhibit number?

16                  MR. SCHROEDER: I'm going to tell you in a  
17                  minute. It is A16.

18 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) I'm showing you a document that's  
19                 been marked A16(001), and I would ask you whether you  
20                 recognize this document as being something that was  
21                 sent to you on December 6th, 2016?

22 A                 I don't have a recollection of it, but my name is on  
23                 it.

24 Q                 Okay. And that is your e-mail address, correct?

25 A                 That was my work e-mail address, correct.

1 Q Right. And, at that point, were you back to performing  
2 services for Dartmouth Health?

3 A I would have to look at the timeline. I believe so.

4 Q Okay. Did you routinely look at your e-mails?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: Move for admission of  
8 Exhibit A16.

9 THE COURT: Any objection?

10 MR. VITT: No objection.

11 THE COURT: It's admitted.

12 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

13 Your Honor, if I may have a second, so I can  
14 switch to the other technology.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: Hopefully, we'll go a little  
17 bit quicker now.

18 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) So this was a document that was sent  
19 to you among others, right, Dr. Porter?

20 A Correct.

21 Q And I would like to highlight the first two paragraphs,  
22 and I'll read them into the record. It's sent on  
23 behalf of Dr. DeMars and Dr. Seifer, right?

24 A Correct.

25 Q And this was December 6th, 2016, right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And it states: "To all: We are facing an imminent REI  
3 nursing crunch that will require significant changes in  
4 nursing workflow and task allocation. With Casey and  
5 Sharon's departures, we have fewer resources to  
6 maintain the quality of care our patients expect." Did  
7 I read that correctly?

8 A I believe so.

9 Q Okay. Now Casey, was Casey Dodge, correct?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And she left in and around the time frame of November  
12 of 2016.

13 A I believe so.

14 Q Okay. And Sharon Parent was leaving in December of  
15 2016 pursuant to her retirement, right?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Now, it states in the second paragraph: "Effective  
18 immediately, we will focus our efforts to provide  
19 excellent patient care to the patients who currently  
20 have a tentative start date for IVF/FET or IUI in  
21 December or January. For the patients who are waiting  
22 for start dates, we will defer their scheduled start  
23 dates ideally until February. This will give Marlene  
24 and Marti a chance to catch up on the patients that are  
25 on the schedule.

1                   "Pregnancy rates are fantastic and that is why we  
2 come to work each day. Despite the outstanding  
3 pregnancy rates, we need to make adjustments for the  
4 present staffing as we make every attempt to recruit."

5                   Do you see that?

6 A               Yes.

7 Q               Does that refresh your recollection that in and around  
8 that time frame there was an effort in the REI division  
9 to slow down the intake of patients through the system  
10 in light of nursing staffing challenges?

11 A               My recollection of this is that we changed some patient  
12 dates in terms of their start date and that we also had  
13 other nurses that were resourced to help us in this  
14 period of time so that we wouldn't have to push too  
15 many back; that we had some adjustments following this.

16 Q               Okay. But at that point, the only two nurses that are  
17 identified here are Marlene and Marti, correct?

18 A               No.

19 Q               They're not?

20 A               Those are the IVF nurse coordinators. We had nurses  
21 who were coming up from same-day surgery to help us.  
22 We had other nurses who are also in clinic who were  
23 helping us. So we had a whole cadre of nurses. When  
24 you say Marlene and Marti, you're speaking specifically  
25 of the IVF nurse coordinators, not about the whole

1 cadre of nurses that we had helping us.

2 Q I understand that. He's referencing Marlene and Marti  
3 here and no one else, right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And there is an attempt to slow down the intake of  
6 patients from IVF services by way of this e-mail,  
7 right?

8 A There was a reorganization of start dates in what we  
9 were going to do; and that's what happened, yes.

10 Q And, at that point, you were working a handful of hours  
11 a week?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Like three or four?

14 A No, I think a bit more.

15 Q Less than ten though, right?

16 A I believe so. I would have to go back. And if you  
17 would like to look at my exact hours, it's in the  
18 deposition. I'm happy to get that out.

19 Q Sure. I don't need you to do that right now, and I  
20 don't know that we need to go through every single  
21 calendar entry.

22 So but at that point, you were working part-time;  
23 you were not working full-time, correct?

24 A I was working part-time, I believe. I would have to go  
25 back and look.

1 Q Okay. You don't know that off the top of your head  
2 though?

3 A No. Because what happened is I went in and out of  
4 work.

5 Q I understand that.

6 A That's -- as my physicians allowed me to come back,  
7 because there were patients who were waiting for me to  
8 come back. There was a period of time that I was out  
9 definitely, yes. And it -- I would have to go back and  
10 look at the dates.

11 Q Sure. Okay. I'd just appreciate it, Dr. Porter, just  
12 answer the question asked, and this will move a lot  
13 quicker.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: With respect to A -- if I may  
15 show the witness a document marked A17; may I approach  
16 the witness?

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. SCHROEDER: And with respect to this,  
19 A16, just want to make sure that it's moved for  
20 admission.

21 THE COURT: A16 is admitted.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Yes. Okay. Great. So the  
23 next one is A17.

24 MR. VITT: Give me a sec.

25 MR. SCHROEDER: Sure.

1 MR. VITT: No objection.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

3 THE COURT: Exhibit A17 is admitted.

4 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Thank you. With respect to this  
5 document, this is a document from David Seifer dated  
6 December 14th, 2016, correct.

7 A Correct.

8 Q And it relates to an REI division meeting, right?

9 A Correct.

10 Q And did these meetings happen on a regular basis in the  
11 REI division?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. And I want to refer you to specifically 2(B),  
14 just highlight that, Ray.

15 A Yeah.

16 Q Do you see that?

17 A 2 subparagraph --

18 Q Yeah. Just highlighting it. And just for your  
19 assistance, Dr. Porter, I'll try, when we can, to  
20 highlight this so that you can see it on the screen as  
21 well, just to cut to the chase.

22 So here, it says, quote, "As e-mail from  
23 Dr. DeMars and DBS said, looking at a, quote, halfway  
24 pause, end quote, to reduce patient load coming through  
25 so that the team can have several chunks of time to

1 review things with Value Institute and Process  
2 Improvement Group to review workflows." Right?  
3 A Correct.  
4 Q So there was some form of pause that was being -- now,  
5 this is --  
6 A Halfway pause.  
7 Q Right.  
8 A We were reorganizing some dates, yes.  
9 Q Right. And so slowing down the intake of IVF patients  
10 through the long cycle that they go through, correct?  
11 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.  
12 Q Well, it says "to reduce the patient load coming  
13 through." How else do you interpret that?  
14 A I think you're confusing new IVF patients coming in for  
15 evaluation and reorganizing the actual retrieval and  
16 transfer dates so that we could have chunks of time to  
17 do retrievals called up weeks and chunks of time to  
18 have time with the Value Institute.  
19 So if I understood you correctly, we weren't  
20 changing how new IVF patients were coming in, we were  
21 altering the weeks that once they were in the system  
22 allowing for some time to go to Value Institute.  
23 Q And the Value Institute, the Dartmouth Health Value  
24 Institute, that's actually a process improvement group  
25 within Dartmouth Health, right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And they are sometimes called upon to attempt to  
3 schedule retreats with members of a specific group to  
4 go over process improvement plans, right?

5 A Yes. I look at them as, for example, if we need  
6 instruments cleaned more efficiently in the operating  
7 room, that they would model that for us versus looking  
8 at the dynamics of biology and patient care. Their  
9 models weren't as readily accessible to understanding  
10 the subspecialty like REI.

11 Q Did you have an understanding that the point of these  
12 Value Institute meetings that were going to take place  
13 in January and February of 2017, that the specific  
14 purpose of them was to deal with workplace dynamic  
15 issues internal to the REI division?

16 A No, I didn't understand that, no.

17 Q You had no understanding of that?

18 A It was one of the stated missions among many, but I  
19 didn't understand that is what the issue was, no.

20 Q Hang on a second. You just said it was one of the  
21 stated missions for the meetings, but then you didn't  
22 understand that that was one of the stated missions?

23 A Go ahead and re-ask your question for me because I  
24 thought you said it was, in isolation, that that's what  
25 the issue -- that's what they were trying to address.

1 Q I didn't say the word "isolation" at any point.

2 Dr. Porter, let's just listen to the question and  
3 try to answer it. One of the reasons for having this  
4 Value Institute retreat, if you will -- there was a  
5 series of daytime meetings, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. One of the reasons for it, not all of them, but  
8 one of them, was to deal with workplace dynamic issues  
9 and collaboration and team work?

10 A Correct.

11 Q Right? Yes?

12 A Correct.

13 Q So that was happening, that was already being scheduled  
14 in January and February of 2017, right?

15 A Correct.

16 Q In Subparagraph C here, (2)(c) if you could highlight  
17 that, Ray.

18 We're still looking at A17. "We've had open  
19 positions for seven to eight months, and we haven't  
20 gotten applicants for a while. Look at it  
21 comprehensively. Look at workflow in a way that makes  
22 sense. Try to streamline it."

23 Did you have an understanding that the REI  
24 division was seeking full-time nurses throughout this  
25 time period?

1 A The entire hospital was seeking full-time nurses.

2 Q Dr. Porter, I just ask you to please answer the  
3 question. This will go a lot quicker.

4 I asked you: Was the REI division seeking REI  
5 nurses specifically during this time frame?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. And the reason they were seeking REI nurses  
8 during this time frame is because Casey Dodge left,  
9 right?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And Sharon Parent was in the process of retiring --

12 A Correct.

13 Q -- right?

14 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach the witness?  
15 The next exhibit I would like to show the witness is  
16 A20.

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. SCHROEDER: Any objections to its  
19 admission?

20 MR. VITT: No objection.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's A20 is  
22 admitted.

23 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.

24 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I'm showing you a document,  
25 Dr. Porter, dated 12/16/2016 from David Seifer, and it

1           is -- you're among the people it's addressed to,  
2           correct?

3 A       Correct.

4 Q       Okay. And the subject line says, quote, "Pause," end  
5           quote, "in January and week in February." Do you see  
6           that?

7 A       Correct.

8 Q       Okay. And the first line of the -- this e-mail is  
9           to -- well, let me --

10           Before I get there, I just want to ask you: You  
11           keep talking about these other nurses and other  
12           physicians and fellows. I'm just looking to see who is  
13           getting this e-mail. So let's just go back up to that  
14           for a second. I'll get into the body of that in a  
15           second. First you have Katy Mansfield, right?

16 A       Correct.

17 Q       For the jury's edification, she's the -- one of the  
18           nurse managers, patient manager?

19 A       Yes.

20 Q       Okay. And then you have Kelly Mousley, right?

21 A       Yes.

22 Q       What was her role?

23 A       She was a supervisor for the support staff.

24 Q       Okay. She was a supervisor just like Katy Mansfield,  
25           correct?

1 A She was -- Katy was the supervisor for the nurses, and  
2 Kelly Mousley was the supervisor for our administrative  
3 support such as scheduling secretaries, OR secretaries,  
4 et cetera. She was more the administrative portion of  
5 it.

6 Q Okay. That's helpful. So those two are managers that  
7 are part of the REI division, but they're not  
8 performing REI services, right?

9 A No.

10 Q Then you have Albert Hsu, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q David Seifer, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Who is Dennis Dela Cruz?

15 A Dennis Dela Cruz is one of the embryologists.

16 Q I'm sorry, the younger...?

17 A He's an embryologist.

18 Q Embryologist, okay. So he's in the lab?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. So he's dealing with whenever embryos and,  
21 really, helping with the REI process but in the lab  
22 specifically, correct?

23 A Correct, yeah.

24 Q Okay. So he's not a nurse or a fellow or anybody like  
25 that.

1                   Donna Bedard, she's a secretary, right?

2 A       She was our program secretary, yes.

3 Q       And you have Beth Todd, she's a nurse practitioner,

4                   right?

5 A       Mm-hm.

6 Q       And then you have Heather Gunnell?

7 A       Correct.

8 Q       And then you have Jennifer Blakelock?

9 A       She was in embryology.

10 Q       She was in embryology as well as Dennis. So those

11                  people are in the lab, correct?

12 A       Correct.

13 Q       Okay. And then you have Judy McBean. She was a per

14                  diem physician?

15 A       Yes.

16 Q       And so from time to time she would assist in the REI

17                  division?

18 A       Actually, we should have amended that Judy was one of

19                  the physicians as well. I had forgotten to add that.

20 Q       Did you know that she worked a total of 20 hours in the

21                  year 2017 for Dartmouth Health?

22 A       I didn't know that.

23 Q       Okay. You didn't know that?

24 A       No.

25 Q       Marlene Grossman. We talked about her. She's in the

1 southern part. She's in either the Manchester or  
2 Bedford location, right?

3 A Right.

4 Q Then we had Marti Lewis, right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And that's -- she's the nurse that came in to the REI  
7 division just a few -- about six months prior?

8 A Correct.

9 Q Then you have yourself. Then you have Navid  
10 Esfandiari. He's the director of the lab, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And then you have -- Casey Dodge is in this e-mail, but  
13 she's long gone at this point, right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Pavel Zagadamof (phonetic)?

16 A He was an embryologist.

17 Q So he's in the lab as well. And Sara Gibson. Is she  
18 in the lab?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. So four of those people that I just mentioned  
21 are in the lab; the other people are either the  
22 physicians, the nurse practitioner, or the nurses or  
23 the staff assistant or the two managers, right?

24 A Correct. And so the other nurses that --

25 Q No. That is my only question. That's -- I don't have

1 a question pending.

2 This e-mail is addressed to "Hello, REI team,"  
3 right?

4 A It's missing several people.

5 Q Okay. But the people that are on it are all part of  
6 the REI team, right?

7 A Well, you said Casey Dodge was gone at that point.

8 Q Right. You agreed with me. Well, sometimes people's  
9 e-mails still are on the system.

10 Other than Casey Dodge, who is no longer there,  
11 and Sharon Parent, is she on this? She is on this,  
12 right? She had already left?

13 A Right. So it's not an accurate accounting of the  
14 individuals who were working there.

15 Q Okay. Either way, you got this e-mail, right?

16 A I believe so.

17 Q You don't have any reason to doubt that, right?

18 A No, but I don't recall getting it.

19 Q Okay. And it says in the first line, "Dr. DeMars,  
20 Heather and I met with leadership from the Value  
21 Institute this morning and now have more information  
22 regarding our plan for focused process improvement.

23 "During the months of January and February, we  
24 will not see any new patients, and will be limiting our  
25 patient appointments to only those necessary for the

1 patients currently in cycle who will need retrievals or  
2 transfers in January or February."

3 Did I read that correctly?

4 A You read it correctly.

5 Q And, in fact, there's also an accounting by days of  
6 when in January and February it was expected that the  
7 REI division would be doing its Value Institute  
8 workshop, right?

9 A Correct.

10 MR. SCHROEDER: Take that down. Thank you.

11 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, you understood that this Value  
12 Institute was coming up, and that it had been on the  
13 back burner at that point because there was some  
14 suggestions that it would happen earlier than January  
15 and February of 2017, right?

16 A I'm sorry. Clarify your question for me.

17 Q Sure. You understood that the idea of having the Value  
18 Institute run at a retreat program for the REI division  
19 had been communicated back in the fall of 2016, you  
20 said.

21 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

22 Q How would you characterize it?

23 A We had a different team that did a retreat sometime in  
24 the fall, and then we had these series suggested, and I  
25 had suggested that we wait until I get back because I

1           wasn't going to be able to participate fully because of  
2       my work restrictions, and I wanted to participate fully  
3       in all of this as the most longitudinal individual who  
4       understood how to run an IVF program and who had  
5       functioned with a single nurse previously for a very  
6       long time and had drawn in resources from other parts  
7       of the institution in order to support the service.

8           We would have patients go to labor and delivery to  
9       recover from their retrievals. We had patients going  
10      to same-day surgery to recover. We had same-day  
11      surgery nurses coming up to recover the patients to  
12      take the burden off of the IVF nurses. And, in fact,  
13      that's how Casey Dodge came to work for us. So there  
14      was a mechanism by which we could make this service  
15      work which included one of the same-day nurses, Mary  
16      Martin, was working with us, and she wanted to do more  
17      work. In fact, she went to Ed Merrens' office to say  
18      she would do more work. And Sharon wanted to come  
19      back.

20           So we had a very functional way to be able to run  
21      this service in a way that I had experienced  
22      previously. So I don't agree that we didn't have  
23      enough nurses because we had Jamie Florence coming from  
24      clinic and wanted to do more work with us and was  
25      actually going to school to get her RN.

1           We had Mary Martin coming up from same-day surgery  
2           to work with us who wanted to do more work and had a  
3           conversation with Dr. Merrens about doing more work.

4           We had Sharon who wanted to come back from  
5           retirement to both train and do work. So we had a real  
6           way to make this program function.

7           MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, move to strike  
8           that as nonresponsive.

9       Q    (By Mr. Schroeder) Do you even know the question that I  
10          asked you, Dr. Porter? Do you know what I just asked  
11          you.

12      A    No.

13      Q    Right.

14           MR. SCHROEDER: I would move to strike the  
15          answer.

16           THE COURT: So I'm going to strike the last  
17          response, and I am going to direct the witness to  
18          respond to the questions. Mr. Vitt, you're standing?

19           MR. VITT: No. That's perfectly -- I agree  
20          with that.

21           MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

22           If we could -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 138. Do you  
23          have an objection?

24           MR. VITT: We haven't been able to...

25           No objection.

1                   MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you. May I approach  
2 the witness?

3                   THE COURT: What exhibit is this?

4                   MR. SCHROEDER: This is P138.

5                   THE COURT: Okay. No objection from  
6 Mr. Vitt, then P138 is admitted.

7                   MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you, Dr. Porter,  
9 exhibit marked P138.

10                  MR. VITT: Your Honor, may I interrupt for  
11 just a second? May I approach?

12                  THE COURT: Yes.

13                  (Bench conference held.)

14                  THE COURT: Okay. So at this time, we'll  
15 take our afternoon break, and we'll reconvene at 2:45.

16                  (Jury exits.)

17                  (Recess taken.)

18                  (Jury present.)

19                  THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead,  
20 Mr. Schroeder.

21                  MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor. I  
22 think we moved for admission of Plaintiff's 138, but I  
23 want to make sure before I --

24                  MR. VITT: I think I said yes.

25                  MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Then it's admitted.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Admitted?

3 Emerson, can you switch it out? Thank you.

4 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Do you have Exhibit 138 in front of  
5 you?

6 A Correct.

7 Q Okay. I want to turn your attention to the e-mail  
8 below. That's January 12th, 2017, and it's with you  
9 and Heather Gunnell?

10 A Correct.

11 Q Do you see that?

12 And I'll just highlight the response or I should  
13 say the question. It says, "Heather, can you please  
14 clarify the purpose of this exercise? What are the  
15 goals and what are the roles she will play during  
16 meeting with us? How long and when does she plan to  
17 meet and what are the end goals? Agree I have not  
18 received any agenda or time commitment or stated  
19 purpose for her participation." Did I read that  
20 correctly?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Is it fair to say that you were less than enthused  
23 about doing this Value Institute?

24 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

25 Q Okay. So this question wasn't meant to be in any way

1 criticizing the process?

2 A I wouldn't characterize it as a criticism, no. It was  
3 a statement of interest.

4 Q Okay.

5 THE COURT: Dr. Porter, I would ask that you  
6 sit up to the microphone, please, and make sure the  
7 jury can hear you.

8 THE WITNESS: My apologies. I'm sorry.

9 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay. Turn your attention --

10 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may I approach the  
11 witness, if I can show her Exhibit B2.

12 THE COURT: Yes. And this is Defense  
13 Exhibit B2?

14 MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, Your Honor. If you're  
15 trying to follow, number sequence for Plaintiffs and  
16 letter sequence for defendants.

17 Any objection?

18 MR. VITT: No objection.

19 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's B2 is  
21 admitted.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

23 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a document that's been  
24 marked Defendant's Exhibit B2, and it is an e-mail that  
25 you wrote. It's rather long. I'm only going to ask

1 you about the first four paragraphs, maybe the fifth  
2 paragraph. So if you want to let me know when you're  
3 done just reading the e-mail to yourself, I may have  
4 other questions, so just so that you feel comfortable  
5 that I've given you the ability to read all of it, I  
6 would appreciate you letting me know.

7 A (Witness reading.)

8 Q Let me know when you're finished.

9 A Okay.

10 Q Okay. If, Ray, you could just -- before you go to  
11 that, I just want to get the date up there, of the  
12 e-mail.

13 It's the an e-mail, to you, Dr. Porter, to Leslie  
14 DeMars, correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And then at some point, subsequent to the termination  
17 of the REI division, you sent it to your personal home  
18 address, right?

19 A Correct.

20 Q Your other e-mail address?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And I want to focus on the first four paragraphs at  
23 least initially.

24 And if you could blow them up, Ray, I would  
25 appreciate it.

1                   And you wrote this on February 20th, 2017, right?

2 A       Correct.

3 Q       And that comes on the heels of a series of retreat days  
4                   with the Value Institute, right?

5 A       Correct.

6 Q       And would it be fair to say that the Value Institute  
7                   exercise and retreat program was not successful?

8 A       I wouldn't characterize it that way.

9 Q       You wouldn't? Would you say that it was a rousing  
10                  success?

11 A       I wouldn't characterize it that way.

12 Q       Okay. You wrote this e-mail, though, right on the  
13                  heels of that particular series of retreats, and you  
14                  say, "Dr. DeMars, the tenure of Dr. Seifer's leadership  
15                  has been a difficult one in the REI service with  
16                  resulting consequences for the Department, the service  
17                  line, and the greater DH institution. Long-established  
18                  systems that were in place, the efficient delivery of  
19                  skilled RE services, and the foundation and  
20                  infrastructure of the division have nearly dissolved."

21                  Did I read that correctly?

22 A       Yes.

23 Q       Those are your words, right?

24 A       Those are my words.

25 Q       And then you say -- I think yesterday you were asked

1           this question, and I'm pretty sure that the pregnancy  
2           rates were really not good, right?

3 A       I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

4 Q       Oh, you didn't characterize it that way? They weren't  
5           low?

6 A       They were low for Albert Hsu, and mine were really  
7           good. So if you took an average, you would get to an  
8           average. So Dr. Hsu's pregnancy rates were  
9           repetitively, over the long time, with a low pregnancy  
10          rate. That's what I said.

11 Q       Okay. Well, actually, what you really said was, "While  
12          it is true the overall pregnancy rates have improved,  
13          this positive aspect of the care we deliver is to be  
14          attributed to the work of Dr. Esfandiari and his lab in  
15          providing the best quality embryos available for  
16          transfer." You said that, correct?

17 A       That's correct, and I can provide some context.

18 Q       No, thank you.

19           You don't say these rates are improving because of  
20          just you, right, in this sentence?

21 A       I didn't say that in this particular e-mail, no.

22 Q       Right. Right. And then you say, "It should not be  
23          mistaken for an improvement in any other aspect of the  
24          process of IVF. Indeed, the program is close to  
25          dissolution." Right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q So as of February 20th, 2017, you were acknowledging to  
3 the chair of the OB-GYN department your belief that the  
4 IVF program is close to dissolution, right?

5 A In response to the confidential review for David  
6 Seifer, yes.

7 Q Well, regardless of the confidential review, those are  
8 your words, right?

9 A Those are my words.

10 Q Now, with respect to the volume of patients. I just  
11 want to -- on that same page at the bottom, the second  
12 to last paragraph -- the last full paragraph, which  
13 starts, "There is not enough," on that page?

14 A "There is not enough IVF at Dartmouth."

15 Q Right. "There is not enough IVF at DHMC --" that  
16 stands for Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, right?

17 A Correct.

18 Q "Nor has there ever been, despite heavy efforts in  
19 marketing and outreach, for many providers with RE to  
20 focus only on IVF. I had this conversation with him  
21 during his interview last winter, before he started at  
22 DHMC."

23 There you're commenting on the fact that the  
24 volume of patients within the REI division hasn't been  
25 very high; is that a fair statement?

1 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

2 Q Okay. Well, you -- let's not get into characterizing.

3 Let's go to your words. "There's not enough IVF at  
4 DHMC nor has there ever been, despite heavy efforts in  
5 marketing." You said that, right?

6 A I believe you asked me about REI. Can you restate your  
7 question please for clarity because REI, again, is this  
8 whole global service, and we talked about IVF being a  
9 small specific part of it.

10 Q Right. It's a small and important part of it, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q In vitro fertilization, and that's related to  
13 infertility, right?

14 A It's a portion of the global infertility services,  
15 correct.

16 Q And the REI has, in its name, reproductive  
17 endocrinology and infertility, right, division?

18 A IVF is a small portion of infertility services.

19 Q Regardless of the size of the IVF program, you were  
20 painting a really dire picture for the REI division at  
21 this point; fair to say?

22 A I'm painting a really dire picture of Dr. Seifer's  
23 leadership.

24 Q And you say it's been a difficult one for the REI  
25 service, correct?

1 A It's been a difficult -- this was to put some context  
2 on this.

3 Q No. I really -- I don't -- your counsel can ask you  
4 questions. I want you to just answer my questions if  
5 that's okay. Okay?

6 At this point, February of 2017, you'd been -- in  
7 a previous year, you were out from December 15th to  
8 June 14th, thereabouts, six months, and then you were  
9 slowly coming back to work, and then you were out again  
10 in September -- or I should say August to sometime in  
11 November of '16, right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q So roughly about nine months out of the last, say, 14  
14 months, close to -- ballparking it?

15 A Ballpark.

16 Q Right. 9 out of the 14 months. And it was your belief  
17 at this point that his tenure as the division director  
18 was a disaster, right?

19 A It was a disaster. It wasn't just my belief. It was a  
20 disaster.

21 Q Well, I'm just asking for your belief because you're  
22 the only one that's testifying right now. We can ask  
23 other people later. But, at this point, in that last  
24 14 months, nine of those you weren't even there, and he  
25 got there --

1 A I wouldn't qualify that nine of it I wasn't there.

2 Q Well, I just went through the math. Six and three

3 equals nine, and nine out of 14 which would be

4 January 1 of '16 through February of '17 is 14 months.

5 So I'm just trying to keep the math simple.

6 A I would go back to the timeline in my deposition to

7 tell you what hours I was working.

8 Q Okay. That's not the question I asked. I'm talking

9 about when you were there and when you were definitely

10 not there, so 9 out of 14 months.

11 And you're doing this review of Dr. Seifer. Now,

12 you had serious reservations about Dr. Seifer well

13 before February of 2017, right?

14 A Correct.

15 Q Like, almost from the get-go; is that a fair statement?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And, at that point, Dr. Seifer became the division

18 director in May or June of 2016?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And prior to that time, you'd been the interim division

21 director?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And that had been for a number of years.

24 A Correct.

25 Q And you had been appointed to that position by Richard

1 Reindollar?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And you were in that position for a couple years but  
4 you weren't made permanent, the permanent division  
5 director, by Richard Reindollar, correct?

6 A No.

7 Q And you weren't made the permanent division director of  
8 the REI division by Leslie DeMars?

9 A Correct.

10 Q And Leslie DeMars was the chair of the OB-GYN  
11 department right after Richard Reindollar, correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And you had a series of issues dealing with  
14 Dr. Reindollar as well; is that fair to say?

15 A I wouldn't say a series but, yes, there were issues  
16 with Dr. Reindollar, yes.

17 Q When I say "series," you had conflict and disputes with  
18 him, correct?

19 A I was in mediation with Dr. Reindollar, yes.

20 Q Mediation internally to DH, correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And you were in mediation with Dr. Reindollar. And  
23 this is back in 2012, 2013, right?

24 A Potentially, yes.

25 Q Well, he left by the end of December of 2013, right?

1 A I don't remember when he left.

2 Q And you were happy to see him go?

3 A I was very happy to see him go.

4 Q Right. And he became -- after he left Dartmouth Health

5 he became the chair of ASRM, correct?

6 A No.

7 Q Oh, he didn't?

8 A No, he was the CEO.

9 Q Okay. The CEO?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Of, is it the American Society for Reproductive

12 Medicine?

13 A Yes.

14 Q That's ASRM?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And so he left Dartmouth Health and became the CEO of

17 ASRM?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Is that the gold standard for the guidelines and

20 regulations of how doctors in the REI field work?

21 A Correct.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Just give me a second, Your

23 Honor. I'm just trying to find the right binder here.

24 If I may I approach the witness to show her a document

25 marked Defendant's Exhibit A26?

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: Any objection?

3 MR. VITT: Give me a second, if you will.

4 MR. SCHROEDER: Sure.

5 MR. VITT: No objection.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Then A26 is admitted.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you. Your Honor.

8 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a document, Dr. Porter,  
9 marked A -- Defendant's Exhibit A26, and I  
10 specifically -- it's a long series of e-mails, and I  
11 don't want to go through each one of them, but just on  
12 the -- around this time period, do you recall there was  
13 some kind of HR review in February of 2017 relating to  
14 the departure ever Casey Dodge?

15 A I was out when that happened.

16 Q Okay. Were you part of that HR review?

17 A No.

18 Q Well, at the bottom of the page, it's an e-mail from  
19 you to Leslie DeMars, and it says, "Leslie, thank you.  
20 Should I contact Dr. Fuhrman about his concerns? Dave  
21 is not able to tell me what to fix and does not respond  
22 to my e-mails. You should speak with Jude but she told  
23 me she would not go to DH with Albert here. This week  
24 was very difficult. Dichotomous to have a Casey HR  
25 review one afternoon and be in the Value Institute the

1           next morning. My head is down, and I'm very relieved  
2           to be out of the Casey review. You can tell on the  
3           faces of Katy and Heather it's been really tough." Did  
4           I read that correctly?

5     A     Correct.

6     Q     You were part of some kind of review at that point,  
7           right?

8     A     No.

9     Q     No?

10    A     It says, "My head is down, and I am very relieved to be  
11       out of the Casey review."

12    Q     Right. It says, "And very relieved to be out of the  
13       Casey --" so you had nothing to do with that?

14    A     No, I wasn't in it. I wasn't part of it.

15    Q     Got it. But you understand that there was an internal  
16       HR issue related to her departure?

17    A     I did, yes.

18    Q     Was that a distraction, at least from you on the  
19       outside of it --

20    A     No --

21    Q     -- to the REI division?

22    A     -- it was not a distraction to me personally, no.

23    Q     Now, in this e-mail exchange, you actually -- this is  
24       one of the e-mails that you sent to yourself on May 21,  
25       right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q You sent a whole slew of e-mails to yourself on May 21,  
3 right?

4 A I did.

5 Q And halfway through in the middle of the first page,  
6 February 19th, there's an e-mail from Leslie DeMars to  
7 you, and she mentioned, "Just had a great conversation  
8 with Dan Grow. He wants to be a full-time clinician  
9 again. He's a full-scope REI doctor." Do you see  
10 that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. Did you have -- did you have an understanding  
13 from Leslie DeMars that she was hoping to recruit  
14 additional REI physicians to the REI division?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And you were aware of the fact that that didn't  
17 go anywhere, correct?

18 A My understanding is she wasn't allowed to talk to him  
19 right before the closure is what she told me.

20 Q Okay. Well, based on this e-mail, she did talk to him,  
21 right?

22 A He actually came on campus and interviewed, and we took  
23 him to dinner.

24 Q Okay. And that -- do you know where he ended up?

25 A Yeah. I think he's in Connecticut somewhere.

1 Q And he had a series of offers at that point, correct?

2 A I'm sorry, I don't know that.

3 Q You had dinner to potentially court him --

4 A As division head, yes, to come in. Leslie -- in  
5 February, when he was coming in, Leslie and I took him  
6 to dinner in Lebanon, New Hampshire to recruit him to  
7 be the division head, yes.

8 Q And you're not aware of him talking to anybody else in  
9 leadership at DH, correct?

10 A She told me that he was going to have several meetings  
11 in both research and in -- within the organization in  
12 kind of mid-level and research areas, yes.

13 Q But you, yourself, are not aware ever him having any  
14 actual meetings with anybody in DH leadership other  
15 than --

16 A I was not present at those meetings, no.

17 Q Right. And you're not aware of those meetings, right,  
18 other than what Leslie told you?

19 A I was there when he was on the floor of OB-GYN and  
20 going to those meetings because I spoke with him, and  
21 he made grand rounds before that meetings.

22 Q Who did he go to speak with?

23 A I don't recall.

24 Q Okay. So you don't know?

25 A I don't recall who he met with, but I know he had a

1 series of meetings.

2 Q I want to show you --

3 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may I approach the  
4 witness -- first have that admitted into evidence. I  
5 think we already did.

6 If I may I approach the witness to show her  
7 Exhibit X, Defendant's Exhibit X.

8 THE COURT: I just confirmed it's admitted.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: No, that has not been admitted.

11 MR. SCHROEDER: I had a distinct memory or  
12 recollection that it had not had been. If I may move  
13 for admission of A26 first.

14 THE COURT: Is there any objection? Oh, to  
15 A26? That was already admitted.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: That, I was concerned about,  
17 Your Honor. Just to Exhibit X.

18 MR. VITT: No objection.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit X is admitted.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Move for admission. Thank  
21 you.

22 THE WITNESS: Which, where is it?

23 MR. SCHROEDER: Oh, may I approach the  
24 witness?

25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a series of documents,  
2 Dr. Porter, that relate to the July 24, 2016, time  
3 frame, and you had sent -- you had a series of  
4 back-and-forths with Dr. DeMars, correct, about  
5 Dr. Seifer?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. And I want to focus on the first page, really  
8 the last few sentences of the first e-mail exchange.  
9 Where you say, "Leslie, have a blast in Fenway. I can  
10 talk by phone. I'm in tomorrow 1 to 4. I can come in  
11 at lunch. I'm in Thursday and Friday. While I'm gone,  
12 I favor having Albert do the harvests and freezing a  
13 lot of embryos. I do not favor David doing harvests  
14 and transfers while I'm gone. I suspect David has not  
15 done clinical medicine in some time. David is far  
16 worse than both Paul and Richard in cycle management,  
17 clinical management, and harvest skill." Did I read  
18 that correctly?

19 A You did.

20 Q And Paul is whom?

21 A Paul Manganiello.

22 Q And was that the first doctor of the REI division?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. Richard is Richard Reindollar?

25 A Correct.

1 Q So based on this e-mail, is it fair to say that you  
2 were critical of the cycle management, clinical  
3 knowledge, and harvest skill of Paul and Richard?

4 (Court reporter asks for a repeat.)

5 Is it fair to say, based on this e-mail exchange  
6 where you say "David is far worse than both Paul and  
7 Richard in cycle management, clinical knowledge and  
8 harvest skill," that you had negative opinions or  
9 critical comments about all three of them?

10 A I wouldn't characterize it, no, I didn't have that.  
11 That's not what I'm saying there.

12 Q Okay. So you weren't being critical of either Paul or  
13 Richard?

14 A No. I was being critical of David.

15 Q Just David, right?

16 A Yeah.

17 Q In July 24, 2016, that's about two months after he  
18 started working there?

19 A Yeah. She had asked me to go in and observe his IVF  
20 skills because she was concerned.

21 Q Okay. And you said he's far worse than those two,  
22 right?

23 A Correct.

24 Q Okay. That's Paul Manganiello and Richard Reindollar,  
25 right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q Did you have criticisms or negative opinions about the  
3 work performance of Richard Reindollar?

4 A No.

5 Q No?

6 A Not his clinical skill, no.

7 Q Well, here it's cycle management, clinical knowledge,  
8 and harvest skill, right?

9 A For David is who I'm referring to.

10 Q Got it. Okay. And this was literally less than two  
11 months after he's got there, correct?

12 A Yes. It was very clear from the very beginning there  
13 was something catastrophically wrong.

14 Q Okay. And with respect -- just asking whether the time  
15 period, but thank you.

16 The -- he was made the division director, and you  
17 didn't even interview him, correct?

18 A No. I interviewed David.

19 Q Oh, you did?

20 A Yeah.

21 Q Okay. And were you supportive of his hiring or not?

22 A I knew from a conversation I had before that there were  
23 problems with his prior employment, so I was not  
24 supportive from that -- from that viewpoint. I  
25 welcomed a new division head, not David.

1 Q Okay. You made that clear to Leslie DeMars?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay. And that was before he got hired?

4 A Before and after, yes.

5 Q Right. And when I deposed you, because you keep  
6 talking about the deposition, you recall the fact that  
7 I asked you, you wanted to be division director at some  
8 point, correct?

9 A Initially, when we had a candidate who was finishing  
10 from University of Michigan who was from Rutland, I had  
11 said let's make me division head and bring this Erica  
12 Mahahey (phonetic) was her name and bring her in as a  
13 junior person to be with Albert. So then she had  
14 called me five or six times as she was finishing her  
15 fellowship because her father is a predominant  
16 urologist in Rutland, and she wanted to come back. But  
17 Leslie then made me the women's health service line  
18 chief of GYN ultrasound, which is my passion and love,  
19 and so I gladly said if that is what you would like me  
20 to do for quality improvement and to expand our  
21 infertility ultrasounds in the south to expand our GYN  
22 ultrasound and procedures throughout the whole network,  
23 I would love to have that job; please bring in another  
24 division head. And, at that point, I was really ready  
25 to give Albert's mentorship over to somebody else. So

1 I was happy to have another division head come in.

2 Q Do you remember my question?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Yeah. I asked you whether or not you wanted to be  
5 division head at some point.

6 A I did, and I answered it.

7 Q That's all I need to know, "yes" or "no." I don't need  
8 the whole monologue.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: If we could go to A8,  
10 Defendant's Exhibit A8, please.

11 If I may approach the witness?

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 THE WITNESS: Please take it out for me.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.

15 Any objection to its admission?

16 MR. VITT: No objection.

17 THE COURT: I'm going to ask counsel to  
18 approach, please.

19 (Bench conference held.)

20 THE COURT: So is admission still pending?

21 MR. SCHROEDER: I believe it is, Your Honor,  
22 I would like to move for admission of Exhibit A8.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Vitt?

24 MR. VITT: No objection, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. A8 is admitted.

1 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

2 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. Porter, I'm just showing you  
3 what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit A8. I just  
4 want to go to the back page for a second, the second  
5 page. There is an e-mail from yourself to, it looks  
6 like, Donna Bedard, Heather Gunnell, and other people.  
7 After Donna Bedard says it looks like everybody is  
8 going to be available to have this meeting and you say,  
9 "I am out of town until Monday. I prefer Monday."  
10 Right?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q And on the next page, first page forward, and this is  
13 November of '16, the first line of the e-mail from  
14 Dr. Seifer, if you go back to the first page, thank  
15 you. The e-mail dated November 3, 2016, at 12:34 p.m.,  
16 it states, "In the interest of heading off people's  
17 anxiety about our current nursing shortage, we want to  
18 have this initial brief meeting tomorrow, Friday, so  
19 that we can hear what is currently being explored." Do  
20 you see that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. So your response is "Meeting tomorrow is not  
23 possible," right? For me, is not possible, right?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Right. So and you say, "Since I have --" it looks like

1 a spelling error.

2 A Typo.

3 Q We all have them. "Since I have the most experience  
4 with nursing on the service, it would seem a missed  
5 opportunity. Minutes do not make for discussion."

6 That was your response, correct?

7 A Right.

8 Q Is that a rather curt, abrupt response? No?

9 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

10 Q And even though you were out of town, you would have  
11 been able to call in for the meeting, right?

12 A No.

13 Q You wouldn't have been able to do that?

14 A No.

15 Q Okay. The previous e-mail, though, you say, "I prefer  
16 Monday," not that it had to be Monday. You said, "I  
17 prefer Monday," right?

18 A Correct.

19 Q But -- so you then, just I guess a few minutes later  
20 you're saying well, no, I can't do Monday, or it has to  
21 be Monday. I can't do tomorrow.

22 A Correct.

23 Q If we could turn to --

24 MR. SCHROEDER: I would like to show the  
25 witness Exhibit B5, marked B5.

1 May I approach the witness?

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Is that an e-mail that you sent?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. And that's dated February 23, 2017?

6 A Correct.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, move for  
8 admission.

9 THE COURT: Any objection?

10 MR. VITT: No objection.

11 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

12 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, I just want to understand this.  
13 You sent this e-mail on February 23rd to David Seifer,  
14 Heather Gunnell, Kelly Mousley, and Donna Bedard,  
15 correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And is it fair to say -- you say in here: "David,  
18 Kelly and Heather: Please come to me if you have  
19 questions about my schedule and work return. I will  
20 share the transition with the appropriate individuals."

21 Did I read that correctly?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And further down, where it starts "yesterday," it says,  
24 "Yesterday, Donna sent out my schedule to David,  
25 Albert, and Kelly without my permission, as I am told,

1 at the request of David. Please do not ask Donna to  
2 send out e-mails with regards to my schedule." That  
3 seems like a rather curt, abrupt e-mail by you,  
4 correct?

5 A No, I wouldn't characterize it that way.

6 Q You wouldn't characterize it that way?

7 A No.

8 Q Would it be fair to say that you were annoyed that your  
9 schedule had been shared with your colleagues?

10 A No.

11 Q Not at all?

12 A I was on work restrictions, and Dr. Seifer was  
13 violating my work restrictions. If you look at the  
14 sentence above, it says, My schedule is in EDH, which  
15 is our computerized medical record, and Qgenda, which  
16 was our scheduling for all physicians, residents,  
17 et cetera. So my schedule was available in two digital  
18 formats that David, Heather, and Kelly could easily  
19 check. When it was e-mailed, David would not leave me  
20 alone when I was supposed to be resting.

21 Q Okay. So even though it was in two other formats, by  
22 e-mailing it to you -- by e-mailing it to this group,  
23 you felt that violated your work restrictions?

24 A I felt that David was violating my work restrictions.

25 Q But wouldn't the schedule tell everyone what your work

1                   restrictions were?

2       A     No. It was telling when I was clinically active. When  
3                   I was resting and when I was clinically active. So I  
4                   had -- from my care providers, I had a programmed  
5                   schedule for returning which included a period of time  
6                   of working and, as you recall, I had dependent  
7                   symptoms, meaning the longer that I was standing up,  
8                   the worse headache I would get, the more symptoms I  
9                   would get. So I had to lay down for a period of time,  
10                  and then I could work.

11                  So I was functioning very well as long as I didn't  
12                  get overfatigued. And David would come into my office  
13                  and follow me around the clinic. So I was actually --  
14                  I don't know. Can I add more without --

15       Q     I think we're way beyond the question at this point.

16       A     Okay.

17       Q     This is -- so there's three iterations of your  
18                  schedule. Three of two that were on the system?

19       A     Right.

20       Q     And there's this one that was by e-mail from Donna,  
21                  right?

22       A     Mm-hm.

23       Q     And even though people could have accessed your  
24                  schedule otherwise through these two other avenues,  
25                  this third avenue, you believe, was inappropriate?

1 A Right, because it didn't have my rest periods in it.

2 Q Got it.

3 A And he was bothering me on my rest periods.

4 Q Right. And so without knowing when your rest periods  
5 were, he could still bother you, right? Because if he  
6 didn't know the rest periods, how would he know not to  
7 bother you?

8 A The rest periods were documented in here. So --

9 Q Documented in where? In where?

10 A The rest periods were scheduled into my schedule for  
11 when I was seeing patients, and then when I wasn't  
12 seeing patients, but the e-mail that went out didn't  
13 have the rest periods in it, to my recollection.

14 Q Okay.

15 A And that's what I was worried about was that I -- my  
16 care providers had told me that if my fatigue was such  
17 that if I couldn't do normal activities at home when I  
18 got home, they would pull me out of work, and I didn't  
19 want to be pulled out of work. So I was trying to be  
20 compliant with my work restrictions.

21 Q With respect to your work restrictions and your rest  
22 schedule and everything you just said in this answer,  
23 none of that is highlighted in this e-mail, right?

24 A No.

25 Q Thank you.

1 MR. SCHROEDER: You can take that down.

2 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Turning your attention --

3 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach the witness

4 to show her an exhibit marked Defendant's Exhibit B7?

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I've just shown you a document

7 marked Defendant's Exhibit B7. Do you recognize that

8 document?

9 A I don't right now.

10 Q You're on that e-mail exchange, correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And it relates to, if you go to the bottom onto the

13 next page, an e-mail to Heather Gunnell dated

14 April 27th.

15 A I don't have that.

16 MR. VITT: I don't have a next page.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: I'm sorry. On the back page

18 to it, you don't?

19 MR. VITT: No.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Give me one second. I just

21 need one second, Your Honor. We're missing a page.

22 THE CLERK: Mr. Schroeder, my copy doesn't

23 have a second page.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: I may myself have been using

25 the wrong document. So we're going to go with just

1           this one page. I was looking at another document that  
2           had another page. We'll stay with this one.

3 Q       (By Mr. Schroeder) This is an e-mail that you received  
4           on April 27th, correct?

5 A       Yes. May I read it?

6 Q       Of course you can.

7           MR. SCHROEDER: I would like to move for  
8           admission of B7, Your Honor.

9           THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?

10          MR. VITT: I don't think so, but I want to be  
11           clear. This is just the one-page document, right?

12          MR. SCHROEDER: It is. I myself have a  
13           multipage document. That was my fault.

14          MR. VITT: I have no objection.

15          THE COURT: Okay. Then B7 is admitted.

16          MR. SCHROEDER: Could you put that up on the  
17           screen, Ray?

18 Q       (By Mr. Schroeder) Let me know when you're finished  
19           reviewing.

20 A       Okay.

21 Q       Okay. So this was a document dated April 27th, 2017,  
22           right?

23 A       Correct.

24 Q       And this is approximately one week or so before --  
25           excuse me, before you and Albert Hsu and Dr. Seifer

1                   were informed of the closure of the REI division,  
2                   right?

3 A               And Elizabeth Todd.

4 Q               And Elizabeth Todd, right; is that correct?

5 A               Correct.

6 Q               I just want to go through the various points that are  
7                   raised by Dr. DeMars who had Heather Gunnell send this  
8                   e-mail. So the first one is, "As you all know, we have  
9                   a critical staffing issue in REI," right?

10 A              That's what she says.

11 Q              Right. You didn't respond to this e-mail in any way to  
12               say that's not true, right? That's what she says,  
13               right?

14 A              I don't recall.

15 Q              Well, at this point, in April of 2017, late April,  
16               April 27, 2017, Marlene Grossman had given her  
17               resignation, notice, right?

18 A              I suppose so, yes. I don't remember the date.

19 Q              I understand you might not remember the date, but in  
20               this time frame --

21 A              In the time frame, yes.

22 Q              Right. So that left just Marti Lewis as a full-time  
23               REI nurse, right?

24 A              The full-time IVF nurse coordinator, not a full-time  
25               IVF nurse. We had other IVF nurses.

1 Q Okay.

2 A REI nurses, I'm sorry.

3 Q Who were those other REI nurses?

4 A We had nurses who were working from the general clinic

5 in the REI division.

6 Q Okay. And --

7 A Marti was the trained IVF nurse coordinator.

8 Q Right. She was performing REI nursing duties as well,

9 correct?

10 A Please clarify your question.

11 Q Well, you said that she's the REI nurse coordinator,

12 right?

13 A Correct. That's a sliver of what REI does, and so she

14 was doing IVF nurse coordination.

15 Q Right.

16 A Yes.

17 Q So, at that point, will you agree with me that she was

18 the only REI nurse doing IVF?

19 A No.

20 Q Oh, there were other nurses?

21 A She was doing the coordination of the cycles.

22 Q Okay.

23 A But we had other nurses doing planning, retrievals,

24 transfers, and other aspects of IVF including Mary

25 Martin and Jamie Florence and one or two others that I

1 can't remember. So Marti was doing the cycle  
2 coordination, which is a portion of overall IVF  
3 services.

4 Q Right. Despite these other people, Dr. DeMars says,  
5 "We have a critical staffing issue in REI."

6 A That was her characterization.

7 Q Right. At that point, she was the chair of the OB-GYN  
8 department, right?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Right. And that was her characterization that related  
11 to the REI nursing, right?

12 A That's what she states.

13 Q Right. Because at that point, Casey Dodge, Sharon  
14 Parent, Marlene Grossman, are now no longer working in  
15 the REI division, right?

16 A They weren't coordinating at that time, no.

17 Q Right. And the REI division -- you were here for the  
18 testimony of Dr. MacCallum, right?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And there was -- either Dr. MacCallum or Sharon Parent,  
21 it may have been Sharon Parent, but my colleague showed  
22 one of them the ASRM staff REI nurse job posting from  
23 June of 2016. Do you remember that?

24 A There was a posting, and it quickly came off.

25 Q Oh. And when you say "quickly came off," you don't

1 believe that they were actually trying to hire an REI  
2 nurse?

3 A No, I believe they were actually trying to hire. I  
4 don't think that the posting stayed on as it should to  
5 re-enroll.

6 Q Oh. And how do you know that?

7 A I was checking and letting them know. I would have to  
8 go back and look at the specific dates, but I was  
9 letting Katy Mansfield know that it had come off the  
10 posting periodically.

11 Q So did you understand that she was trying to make sure  
12 that it went back up?

13 A I asked her to, and she said she would, but I don't --  
14 I don't believe it came up in a timely fashion.

15 Q Okay. And you have a specific recollection on this  
16 particular point that it wasn't posted?

17 A Yeah. Because I talked to her about it, yes.

18 Q Yeah. Okay. Thank you.

19                   With respect to the other points in here, it's  
20 like "the remaining patients" -- we can highlight that,  
21 Ray.

22                   "The remaining patients May-July are currently  
23 under review and updates will be forthcoming."

24                   The next paragraph says, "We will complete  
25 evaluations of patients who are in the process of their

1 infertility evals, follow-up visit, testing, et cetera,  
2 but they will not be given a time frame or date for ART  
3 cycle including IUI."

4 ART stands for what?

5 A Assisted reproductive technologies.

6 Q And IUI?

7 A Intrauterine insemination.

8 Q Just wanted to make sure we got all the acronyms.

9 The last paragraph states, "No further patients  
10 will be scheduled for an ART cycle until we are able to  
11 offer the patients the care they deserve and expect."

12 Did I read that correctly?

13 A Correct.

14 Q So it's true at this point that you are, or I should  
15 say, the REI division is certainly putting more than  
16 just a pause on IVF services, wouldn't you agree?

17 A No.

18 Q You think it was a pause?

19 A That's what she told me. And also I know that despite  
20 this e-mail, there were patients still coming in to the  
21 service. So it was -- there was a disconnect between  
22 this and what actually happened. So that -- this is  
23 her stated mission, and what she was telling me  
24 personally was very different than what was on this  
25 piece of paper.

1 Q Okay. But that's April 27th. And then May 4th, the  
2 REI division has announced that it's going to be  
3 closed, right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q So what you're saying is perhaps some new patients came  
6 in the door between April 27th and May 4th?

7 A For REI, yes.

8 Q Okay. And they would have been told within a couple  
9 days that they were not going to be able to proceed,  
10 right, because the REI division is closing?

11 A As of May 4th, yes.

12 Q Right.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach the  
14 witness, Your Honor, to show her Defendant's Exhibit  
15 A10?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

18 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a document that's been  
19 marked Defendant's Exhibit A10, it's an e-mail from  
20 you -- down below it's an -- up top it's an e-mail from  
21 you to you, but the one below it is from you to Heather  
22 Gunnell. Do you see that?

23 A Heather Gunnell and Katy Mansfield, yes.

24 Q Right. It's dated November 4th, 2016, right?

25 A Correct.

1 Q Any doubt that you sent that e-mail to yourself?

2 A Not at all.

3 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, move for  
4 admission of Exhibit A10.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?

6 MR. VITT: No, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted, A10.

8 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) So this is November -- I realize  
9 we're jumping around a little bit on time frames. This  
10 goes back to November -- early November of 2016,  
11 specifically November 4th. And you state to Heather  
12 and Katy, the first two paragraphs, if we can highlight  
13 them. "I know this is a particularly difficult time  
14 for all involved with recent decisions and departures.  
15 I personally do not have all of the information nor do  
16 I have any expectation I will have more than I do  
17 currently. I believe Leslie's e-mail that it was a  
18 decision made with many involved and with careful  
19 thought and with that knowledge trust the right  
20 decisions were made.

21 "It does leave us in a critical shortage of  
22 skilled and trained nursing at a time when our program  
23 was in decline and the competition within the region is  
24 well organized and patient centered. Our patients are  
25 largely cash paying and can choose to go where they

1 like and they will."

2 Did I read that correctly?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Okay. So at this point, is this in and around the time  
5 that Casey Dodge is -- her employment is terminated?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. And was that the impetus for the subject line  
8 "nursing"?

9 A Yes. I was advocating to bring Sharon back.

10 Q Okay. And you wanted to bring Sharon back because  
11 there was a critical shortage of skilled and trained  
12 nursing, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q For the REI division program, right?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And you weren't talking about any of the nurses that  
17 you talked about earlier in any of your responses to me  
18 throughout the Dartmouth Health system? You don't  
19 refer to any of those people?

20 A I'm sorry, rephrase. I lost you.

21 Q In this e-mail communication, you don't refer to any  
22 other nurses like the ones that you referred to earlier  
23 that may work in the OB-GYN department; here you're  
24 referring to critical shortage of skilled and trained  
25 nursing at a time when our program is in decline.

1 Those are your words, right?

2 A Yes. And --

3 Q I'm just asking. Those are your words, right?

4 A Those are my words.

5 Q Right. So isn't it true, based on that language, that

6 you're identifying a nursing shortage within the REI

7 division?

8 A For a trained IVF nurse coordinator.

9 Q Okay.

10 A Nurses are more global than that.

11 Q I understand that. "Skilled and trained nursing" is

12 what you say, correct?

13 A For IVF nurse coordination.

14 Q That's not what you say, Dr. Porter. That's not what

15 you say.

16 A That was the intent.

17 Q Okay. But that's not what you said, right?

18 A That's not what I said there.

19 Q Right. At this point, on November 4th, 2016,

20 Dr. Seifer was the division director, correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And you didn't send it to him, right?

23 A No.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: You can take that down.

25 Thank you.

1 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. Porter, you were asked a series  
2 of questions about Dr. Albert Hsu by your counsel  
3 earlier today. And you had a series of complaints  
4 about his performance throughout his employment,  
5 correct?

6 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

7 Q You wouldn't characterize the things that you would  
8 report to Dr. DeMars as complaints about Albert Hsu?

9 A I wouldn't characterize it as complaints. I would  
10 characterize it as observations about his clinical  
11 skill.

12 Q Well, you had concerns even before he got there?

13 A I did.

14 Q Right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q In fact, you signed a declaration in this case where  
17 you talked about the fact that you spoke to him well  
18 before he even got there, right?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And you had a less -- you were concerned about his  
21 skill set, right?

22 A I was concerned he had inadequate training.

23 Q And that was based upon a conversation with him,  
24 correct?

25 A And other conversations that I had with his previous

1 mentors, yes.

2 Q His previous mentors?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q Okay.

5 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach the witness  
6 with a new exhibit? It's Defendant's Exhibit C3.

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. SCHROEDER: Defendant's Exhibit C3.

9 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I would just ask you to review that  
10 document that I just put in front of you and let me  
11 know when you've had a chance to review.

12 A (Witness complies.)

13 Q Just let me know when you've had a chance to finish  
14 reviewing it.

15 A Okay. (Witness reading.) Okay.

16 Q Does that refresh your recollection that out of the  
17 gate, right, even before he got there in the fall of  
18 2013, you called him -- he was already slated to come  
19 work there, right, in 2014?

20 A He was slated to start, yes.

21 Q Right. And he had gone to MIT, right?

22 A Correct. Yes.

23 Q And he had a fellowship in IVF?

24 A No. Fellowship in reproductive endocrinology and  
25 infertility.

1 Q So REI?

2 A Yes.

3 Q So the broader part as opposed to IVF?

4 A That's what the fellowship is, is the global context of  
5 REI, yes.

6 Q Right. Right. So just based on talking to him, you  
7 made certain conclusions about whether or not he had  
8 the clinical skills to join the REI division, right?

9 A I made the assessment based on what he told me in terms  
10 of his numbers, that he did not meet the training  
11 criteria by our national standards and organization  
12 before he got there; that that fellowship had gone on  
13 probation.

14 Q Right. And you -- did you interview him?

15 A No.

16 Q Okay. And yet he was selected to be an REI physician,  
17 right?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And so right out of the gate, you're concerned about  
20 his numbers, right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And you're concerned about his training, right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And, in fact, you raise those concerns -- whether you  
25 call them complaints, grievances, concerns, comments --

1           about his performance dating all the way back to 2014,  
2           right?

3   A    I didn't comment about his performance. I was making  
4           plans for his training.

5   Q    I'm asking about when he got there, right? So first of  
6           all, your first impression of him isn't great just  
7           based on one call with him because you're concerned  
8           about his training and whether or not he's up for being  
9           an REI physician at DH?

10   A    I would not characterize it that way, no.

11   Q    Well, you had less than favorable opinions about his  
12           training, right?

13   A    I wouldn't characterize it that way.

14   Q    Okay. Well, how would you characterize it? Would you  
15           characterize it as less than positive?

16   A    No.

17   Q    You wouldn't? Okay. But you made it clear that -- or  
18           you had this call with him -- you didn't interview him.  
19           You had this call with him, and you had concerns about  
20           his training and his numbers, right?

21   A    I had concerns about his exposure, yes, and his ability  
22           to act independently, yes.

23   Q    And those concerns about Dr. Hsu continued after he  
24           joined the REI division sometime in mid 2014, right?

25   A    Yes.

1 Q And those -- you raised those concerns to Dr. DeMars,  
2 right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And you raised those concerns to Heather Gunnell,  
5 right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q I don't recall anyone else you raised concerns to about  
8 his work product and his technique, et cetera. Any  
9 other members of leadership?

10 A I was responsible for filling out his evaluations,  
11 which there were several as part of being the interim  
12 division head, and so I did write those evaluations  
13 carefully, and so those would have gone to the  
14 individuals within administration responsible for -- to  
15 evaluating those. So, yes, there was some written  
16 documentation, and then there was some written  
17 communications and verbal communications with our  
18 departmental leadership, yes.

19 Q Right. And those communications, whether they're  
20 formal performance evaluations or e-mails or  
21 conversations with Dr. DeMars, all of those were  
22 critical Dr. Hsu in one way or the other, right?

23 A I wouldn't characterize it as critical.

24 Q So if his ratings were he needs -- performance needs  
25 improvement, you don't consider that critical?

1 A I consider that an observation and a goal to help him  
2 move forward, yes.

3 Q You wouldn't consider that critical?

4 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

5 Q You wouldn't characterize it that way? So if he  
6 received any ratings from you, and I really don't want  
7 to go through all the ratings with you, but assuming he  
8 did receive ratings of "performance needs improvement,"  
9 you don't consider that to be a negative comment about  
10 his work product?

11 A I would -- I would say that it is negative in terms of  
12 he needs improvement, yes.

13 Q Okay. And you raise those concerns, and -- so they're  
14 negative. So would you agree with me that the word  
15 "negative" could be synonymous with critical?

16 A No.

17 Q No, you don't think so?

18 A No.

19 Q Okay. So we'll go with your word then, negative. So  
20 your reviews of him were in 2014, 2015, 2016, correct?

21 A I don't recall.

22 Q Well, you just told me two minutes ago that you did  
23 reviews for him, right?

24 A I don't recall the dates. You asked me --

25 Q I didn't ask you the dates. I didn't ask you the

1 dates. I asked you whether you do reviews in 2014,  
2 2015, 2016.

3 A I don't recall the exact dates.

4 Q Okay. But you did do reviews of him, right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And they contained negative comments about Dr. Hsu?

7 A They contained observations that were concerning and  
8 patient safety issues, yes.

9 Q All right. All right. Patient safety issues would be  
10 an alarm bell, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And those alarm bells, you were ringing all the way  
13 back in 2014, shortly after he got there?

14 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

15 Q Right. I understand you wouldn't characterize it that  
16 way, but weren't you making comments that were negative  
17 about Dr. Hsu dating back to the time he first got  
18 there?

19 A No.

20 Q You weren't?

21 A No.

22 Q So we're going to go through all those documents, every  
23 single one, where you made critical comments about  
24 Dr. Hsu.

25 A As I said, I was asked to fill out the evaluations for

1                   him that I thought about and carefully, as needed, and  
2                   then when requested. So I filled out that letter at  
3                   the request of Dr. Seifer and Dr. DeMars. I wouldn't  
4                   characterize that as critical per se, but an honest  
5                   assessment of what his skills were.

6 Q               I understand --

7 A               To my recollection, yes.

8 Q               Right. I understand it may be an honest assessment,  
9                   but it was a negative assessment, was it not?

10 A               Yes.

11 Q               Right. And in one of those negative assessments that  
12               you gave to Dr. Seifer and Dr. DeMars, that was in  
13               early June 2016, right?

14 A               Yes. Yes. I don't remember the date.

15 Q               Well, you were shown the document, I think yesterday --  
16               you've seen the document, right?

17 A               I have. I do not remember the date.

18 Q               But it would have been around the time that Dr. Seifer  
19               joined the practice, right?

20 A               Yes.

21 Q               Okay. And that was around June of 2016, right?

22 A               Correct.

23 Q               And you gave Dr. DeMars and Dr. Seifer negative  
24               comments about Dr. Hsu's technique, right?

25 A               Yes.

1 Q His skills, right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q His clinical knowledge, right?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you did that based on historically working with  
6 him, but you were out of the office on leave from mid  
7 December to mid June -- mid December of 2015 to mid  
8 June of 2016. So all of your comments about his work  
9 related to a time period at least six months earlier,  
10 right?

11 A I was coming back for part of that week, you can check  
12 the dates, but I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

13 Q Well, you were out, though, from December of '15 to  
14 June of '16, right?

15 A No, I was coming back sooner. And, again, my  
16 deposition will have the exact dates.

17 Q Sure. You testified earlier, I think three times, that  
18 it was a six-month leave, short-term disability, right?

19 A Right, but I was coming back part-time. It doesn't  
20 mean that I was out all of that.

21 Q Okay. With respect to Dr. Hsu, though, those negative  
22 comments about his clinical skill, technique, just  
23 overall performance, those negative comments date back  
24 to shortly after he got there, right?

25 A No, I didn't -- in the first six months, I observed

him. In the first six months, I tried to help him.

And so I would have to look to go back and see when I started collecting it, but in the first six months, I was actually really trying to help him.

Q I understand that, but you had concerns even when you were trying to help him as his -- mentoring him that he wasn't up for the task, right?

A That's correct, yes.

Q Okay. You shared those concerns with other members of Dartmouth Health leadership, correct, including Dr. DeMars?

A I shared them with Dr. DeMars, and I filled out his evaluations, yes.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: Give me a second, Your Honor.

I'm just trying to transition here.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHROEDER: I would ask to approach the witness to show her Defendant's Exhibit H?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a document that's been marked Defendant's Exhibit H, Dr. Porter, and it's a series of e-mails between you and Leslie DeMars, correct?

A series of e-mails between -- there's two e-mails,

1                   Leslie DeMars at the top. And the bottom is Leslie  
2                   DeMars, Navid Esfandiari, and Elizabeth McGee.

3   Q    Let me ask you this before we proceed: Elizabeth  
4                   McGee, does she go by the name Lisa McGee?

5   A    Yes.

6   Q    Who is she?

7   A    Lisa McGee, at the time, was the fellowship director  
8                   for REI at University of Vermont, and we had a joint  
9                   fellowship training program.

10   Q    She's at the University of Vermont Medical Center?

11   A    She's on leave currently, but, yes, she was at that  
12                   time, yes.

13   Q    Okay. Well, I'm not worried about that time  
14                   necessarily, but she's at UVM -- she may be on leave,  
15                   but she's at the University of Vermont Medical Center?

16   A    To my knowledge, yes.

17   Q    Do you stay in contact with her?

18   A    Now?

19   Q    Yeah.

20   A    No.

21   Q    No contact with her?

22   A    No. I haven't for months.

23   Q    Okay. So she's on leave of absence right now?

24   A    Correct, as far as I know.

25   Q    But back then, in 2016, you -- were you in regular

1 contact with her?

2 A All the time because of the fellowship.

3 Q And as a result of the joint fellowship between UVM  
4 Medical Center and Dartmouth Health, would it be fair  
5 to say that there was close ties between those REI  
6 programs at that time?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay. And you developed relationships, or continued  
9 relationships, with people at UVM dating back to the  
10 time that you were a fellow there, correct?

11 A Dating back to the time that I was a resident there,  
12 yes.

13 Q Right. Right. So you had been a resident at UVM  
14 Medical Center and you had been a fellow at UVM Medical  
15 Center, right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And at that time you were still living in Norwich,  
18 Vermont, but you were doing your fellowship here at UVM  
19 Medical Center in Burlington?

20 A No, we were living in Montpelier.

21 Q Oh, okay. All right. So you did your residency at UVM  
22 Medical Center. You did your fellowship there. And  
23 then, certainly, in 2016, you had close connections  
24 between the Dartmouth Health REI program and the UVM  
25 Medical Center program, right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And those close relationships carried over into 2017,  
3 right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Now, in the lower e-mail, this is January 30th --  
6 before we go any further --

7 MR. SCHROEDER: Can I move for admission,  
8 Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: Is there any objection?

10 MR. VITT: No objection.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Then Exhibit H is  
12 admitted.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

14 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) So showing you Exhibit H, there's  
15 two e-mails. Let me start with the bottom one, and  
16 then we'll go up.

17 The bottom one is an e-mail from you to Elizabeth  
18 McGee -- Lisa McGee, right -- Leslie DeMars, and Navid  
19 Esfandiari, right?

20 A Correct.

21 Q At this point, you are -- you, yourself, were on a  
22 leave of absence, right?

23 A Correct.

24 Q So you weren't at work at all during January of 2016?

25 A Correct.

1 Q Okay. Now, the third paragraph down it says, quote,  
2 "This would be a potential win/win for both programs.  
3 It provides extra support and much needed relief for  
4 Albert and thus ease his stress, provide some collegial  
5 support." Do you see that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You wrote that, correct?

8 A I did.

9 Q Because, at that point, right, when you were out on  
10 your leave of absence, December of '15 to sometime  
11 around June of '16, on your short-term disability leave  
12 of absence, the only doctor in the REI division was  
13 Dr. Hsu, right?

14 A At Dartmouth-Hitchcock, and Judy McBean was doing some  
15 work, I understand.

16 Q You understand she was doing some work; she was a per  
17 diem, though, correct?

18 A Right, filling in where she could.

19 Q Right. And where is she located?

20 A She's in a practice in Brattleboro, Vermont.

21 Q And how far away is that from?

22 A About an hour from Dartmouth-Hitchcock.

23 Q And do you know how often she was there in 2016?

24 A I can't, off the top of my head, say.

25 Q Right. And you don't know how often she was there in

1           2016 or 2017 I think you said, right?

2 A       I don't know.

3 Q       Right. And so here, you're saying also, further down,  
4           "I know Judy McBean has been a huge help as has Leslie  
5           with decisions and surgery, and I am tremendously  
6           thankful." You appreciated the efforts by Dr. McBean  
7           and Dr. DeMars, correct?

8 A       Correct.

9 Q       And, in fact -- but then you go up top, and you have an  
10          e-mail just with Leslie DeMars and halfway down or  
11          towards the end of that, it says, quote, "I'm hearing  
12          from multiple sources that Albert, despite relatively  
13          open schedules, is not coping that well. He's also on  
14          the list to be suspended again despite relatively light  
15          schedules."

16           Now, at that point, to the extent that you were  
17          learning anything, it was through other people that  
18          were working in the REI division, correct?

19 A       Or e-mails.

20 Q       Or e-mails, correct?

21 A       Yeah, I was getting e-mails still.

22 Q       Okay. And in terms of being suspended, was it related  
23          to getting the charts in on time?

24 A       Yes. He didn't close his documentations in a timely  
25          fashion.

1 Q Right. And if you get too high up on the amount of  
2 days your charts aren't up to date, you could be  
3 suspended?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Okay. And so, at that point, he -- other than Judy  
6 McBean who is working on a per diem basis, he's the  
7 only one performing REI physician services in the REI  
8 division of Dartmouth Health, right?

9 A I wouldn't characterize it that way. Judy was around,  
10 and we had the fellows from -- and Lisa and -- from UVM  
11 at the same time to provide some support.

12 Q But to the extent that there was support or not support  
13 at that point, you weren't there, right?

14 A No.

15 Q Okay. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge of  
16 what was happening on a daily basis, first-hand  
17 knowledge?

18 A I was not physically with him then, no.

19 Q Okay. You were not physically there either, right?

20 A Right.

21 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach the witness  
22 to show her Defendant's Exhibit R?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Showing you a document marked  
25 Exhibit R, Dr. Porter, it is a number of e-mails on one

1 page between yourself and Heather Gunnell and Leslie  
2 DeMars and Donna Bedard. You were part of these  
3 e-mails, correct?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Okay.

6 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, I would like to  
7 move for admission of Exhibit R.

8 THE COURT: Any objection?

9 MR. VITT: No objection.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit R is admitted.

11 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Halfway down the page you say to  
12 Leslie, "Today did not go well. I suspect I will be  
13 pulled back out of work if we cannot make this trial  
14 work." By "trial," you meant the fact that you were  
15 coming back a couple hours a week, right?

16 A I was coming back on limited work restrictions as  
17 outlined by my physicians.

18 Q Right. That's what I said, correct?

19 A Correct.

20 Q Now, halfway down, you said, "I spoke with Heather two  
21 weeks ago and asked her to be certain Albert was set up  
22 in his office; that she make it clear to him that he  
23 needs to be out of the ultrasound --" US space, I  
24 assume that's ultrasound?

25 A Ultrasound.

1 Q "He is covering ultrasound. This should include the  
2 consult rooms. He's seeing clinic patients in US  
3 consult space," right?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And you were annoyed that he was doing so?

6 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

7 Q Well, the last sentence of your e-mail says, "In the  
8 end, is it frustrating me to tears that I can't seem to  
9 make this work?" You were frustrated, right?

10 A I was really disappointed. I was -- Yeah.

11 Q And you were frustrated because Dr. Hsu wasn't  
12 listening to you?

13 A No. I wouldn't characterize it that way.

14 Q Okay. And either way, that day in the office didn't go  
15 well, correct?

16 A They weren't compliant with my work restrictions the  
17 first or second day I came back.

18 Q Right. And this first or second day that you came back  
19 is right around that mid June time period, correct?

20 A Correct.

21 Q And you recall going over your work restrictions in  
22 person with David Seifer and Albert Hsu specifically?

23 A I went over in person with Leslie DeMars, with --

24 Q I asked you -- please answer the question.

25 A I recall going over my work restrictions with David

1 Seifer, yes.

2 Q Just David Seifer?

3 A Right.

4 Q Okay. Not Albert Hsu?

5 A Not specifically, no.

6 Q Right. In fact, when I asked you about going over it  
7 with Albert Hsu and David Seifer, the first person you  
8 said was Leslie DeMars. Neither of them were the  
9 people that I asked you about, right?

10 A She sent an e-mail out to the group.

11 Q I understand that. My question though was whether or  
12 not you personally said to David Seifer and Albert Hsu,  
13 Hey, listen. I'm coming back, and here's my list of  
14 work restrictions. You didn't do that, right?

15 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, I've got another  
17 big section coming up. I'm not sure whether -- I'm  
18 going to try to condense my questions, but if you want  
19 me to keep going, I'll keep going, but --

20 THE COURT: Let's keep going.

21 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Sure. May I approach  
22 the witness to show her Exhibit T?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay. Showing you Defendant's  
25 Exhibit T. This is a series of e-mail communications

1           with you on them, right?

2 A       Yes.

3 Q       And I just want to ask you --

4           MR. SCHROEDER: I actually move for admission  
5           of Exhibit T.

6           THE COURT: Any objection?

7           MR. VITT: None.

8           THE COURT: Exhibit T is admitted.

9 Q       (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, this is a series of e-mail  
10       communications between you and Leslie DeMars, right?

11 A       Correct.

12 Q       And you were frustrated, right, in this series of  
13       e-mail communications about the fact that Albert Hsu  
14       was continuing to use the ultrasound space, right?

15 A       I wasn't frustrated. I wouldn't characterize it that  
16       way; I was disappointed.

17 Q       Well, you're disappointed, and you felt the need to  
18       write this e-mail to her, right?

19 A       Yes.

20 Q       Right. And then Leslie DeMars responded to you,  
21       correct?

22 A       Correct.

23 Q       And then you responded, "I'm really sorry, but I'm  
24       laughing."

25 A       Yeah. I was responding to her coming in to clean out

1           his office so he could move there --

2 Q       Okay.

3 A       -- and take care of patients in his own office rather  
4           than the ultrasound room.

5 Q       Okay. Now, to that, June 2016, would it be fair to say  
6           that you had a pretty close relationship with Leslie  
7           DeMars?

8 A       We were friendly, yes.

9 Q       You were more than friendly, weren't you?

10 A       I would not characterize her as my best friend, as you  
11          did on opening in no way. We were friendly work  
12          colleagues.

13 Q       Well, you texted with each other all the time, didn't  
14          you?

15 A       No, I wouldn't characterize that.

16 Q       Really? Okay. Well -- you both bought and purchased  
17          the same kind of car, right?

18 A       Yes.

19 Q       Right? Porsche Macan?

20 A       No, she has --

21                   MR. VITT: Objection, Your Honor. Do we  
22          really need to go down this road?

23                   MR. SCHROEDER: I'll show -- we'll go through  
24          the text messages, Your Honor.

25                   THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

2 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) You were friendly with her -- she  
3 wasn't your best friend, right?

4 A No.

5 Q But you certainly got together with her outside of  
6 work, right?

7 A No, not very often.

8 Q Okay. Well, I want to go to the first e-mail at the  
9 top. So, yes, we do have to go into this question.

10 This is you saying, "I'm really sorry, but I'm  
11 laughing. I'm really sorry it has to be this way. I'm  
12 going to go to the lake mid afternoon before the  
13 graduation ceremony, if you want a place to relax, iced  
14 tea or a beer or glass of wine in between." Right?  
15 You did get together with her outside of work, right?

16 A Not very often at all. This was the evening before  
17 graduation, which was within a ten-minute drive from  
18 our lake cabin, so I was saying, If you want to come  
19 up, fine, because these other people are coming too.  
20 So it wasn't specific to her.

21 Q Okay. But you would get together with her outside of  
22 work, right?

23 A Not in a really long time.

24 Q No. No. No. I'm not asking a really long time.

25 A No, other than work-related things and the boards.

1 Q In 2017 -- I'm talking about 2016, not in a really long  
2 time since a really long time closures of the REI  
3 division, pandemic, et cetera. I'm talking about 2016,  
4 you were very friendly with her in that time frame?

5 A We were friendly, yes.

6 Q And you would do things together and get lunch, get  
7 dinner, maybe go for drinks, right?

8 A No. Just work-related activities.

9 Q Just work-related?

10 A Mostly, yes, I would say. Uh-huh.

11 Q Mostly or always?

12 A We rarely got together for social things that weren't  
13 work related in that time frame.

14 Q Okay. Well, and is it your testimony that you did not  
15 exchange regular texts? You weren't in a regular text  
16 message string with Dr. DeMars?

17 A I would say that I text her about specific things  
18 frequently, yes, but not regularly like everyday or  
19 every evening like I do my children or my best friend  
20 who I do clinic -- I mean, I run trails with. So it  
21 wasn't that type of a relationship, no.

22 Q Okay. I understand that it's not a type of  
23 relationship like your family or your kids or your best  
24 friend.

25 A Right.

1 Q But setting aside that small group of people, she was  
2 certainly in the upper tier of your friends in terms of  
3 regularly texting with her; is that fair to say?

4 A I wouldn't characterize it that way, no.

5 Q You wouldn't characterize it that way?

6 A No.

7 Q Okay. This is an e-mail exchange between you and  
8 Dr. Porter -- I'm sorry, Dr. DeMars, and in this e-mail  
9 exchange you say that you did, at the bottom, explain  
10 your work restrictions to David over the phone, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q The real issue -- and then you say, "The real issue is  
13 Albert camping in the reading room and using it as his  
14 personal office." And then further down you say,  
15 "Albert has been asked not to use these spaces unless  
16 for their intended purposes. He ignores the request.  
17 While the billing issue are likely to go unnoticed, if  
18 we are audited, it could be a problem."

19 Was this really kind of a big bugaboo for you that  
20 he was using spaces that you didn't believe he should  
21 be in?

22 A What he was doing was not complying with billing  
23 practice.

24 Q And that really bothered you, right?

25 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

1 Q Okay.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: I want to just, if I may,  
3 Your Honor, show Dr. Porter Exhibit W, Defendant's  
4 Exhibit W.

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now --

7 A I would like some time to read this please.

8 Q Sure. Let me know when you're finished.

9 A (Witness reading.) Okay.

10 Q Thank you. I just -- I wanted to ask you a few quick  
11 questions. So this is July of -- late July of 2016,  
12 right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And you write, "This weekend suggestions going  
15 forward." And you were giving Dr. DeMars kind of a  
16 review of Dr. Seifer, in your mind, right?

17 A At her request, yes.

18 Q Okay. I understand that it was at her request, and you  
19 were giving -- and your comments, would you consider  
20 them to be negative or critical?

21 A I wouldn't characterize it that way. I would say I was  
22 concerned.

23 Q You were concerned then, right?

24 A I was concerned about patient safety, yes.

25 Q Right. And you say -- now, go to the second page --

1                   MR. SCHROEDER: I'm sorry. I didn't ask for  
2 admission first.

3                   MR. VITT: No objection.

4                   MR. SCHROEDER: Move for admission.

5                   THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit W is admitted.

6                   MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

7 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) Go to the second page, Dr. Porter.

8                   Right before you state the options, you state, quote,  
9                   "The larger issue to be addressed is the ongoing  
10                  concern surrounding David's technical abilities and the  
11                  ongoing team, quote, no confidence, end quote, in him."  
12                  Did I read that correctly?

13 A                 Correct.

14 Q                 And then further down at the end of number one, you  
15                  say, quote, "I suspect that the ongoing team worry  
16                  about David's technical ability, this option may not  
17                  work/be challenging." At this point, he's been there a  
18                  handful of months, right, Dr. Seifer?

19 A                 Correct.

20 Q                 And he was the division director, right?

21 A                 Correct.

22 Q                 Right. And did you feel like you reported to him or  
23                  no?

24 A                 Yes.

25 Q                 You did? Okay. And your comments about him, you

wouldn't characterize them as negative or critical, just concerned, right?

A I would characterize them as patient safety issues.

Q Okay. And you then say -- but the ongoing team -- at this point, you had just come back from a six-month short-term disability, just back in June, right?

A I was coming back earlier hours, yes, and starting to return in a more concerted fashion, yes.

Q Well, when I showed you the document earlier, your first day back was around June 14th, 2016.

A Well, let's go back to the timeline in my deposition,  
and it will give you the detailed --

Q Well, we don't really have time for that, but what I'm asking you is: Your comments about Dr. Seifer's performance happened within six weeks of you coming back a few hours a week, right?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

(Court at recess for the day.)

1 Thursday, March 27, 2025

2 Morning Session

3 \* \* \*

4 (Jury present.)

5 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

6 **BY MR. SCHROEDER:**

7 Q Dr. Porter, the first exhibit I would like to show you  
8 is P126.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach, Your  
10 Honor?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MR. SCHROEDER: I want to see if the  
13 Plaintiff's Exhibits are up here. I don't know that  
14 they are.

15 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. Porter, I'm showing you a  
16 one-page document marked -- originally marked  
17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 126. It's an e-mail exchange  
18 between yourself and Dr. DeMars on June 30, 2016; is  
19 that right?

20 A Yes. I would like to read it first.

21 Q Sure. Take your time. Just let me know when you're  
22 finished.

23 A (Witness reading.)

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may I have this  
25 published for the jury?

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.

3 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Okay. And this was a document that  
4 was marked by your counsel for this trial, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q You saw this before testifying today, correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Okay. And I want to focus on the second page, which is  
9 up on the screen. At this point, you had just returned  
10 to the office recently, correct, June 30, 2016?

11 A As I said, I was going in and out, but, yes, I was  
12 trying to return a bit more frequently, but I was  
13 increasing my hours over time, yes.

14 Q Okay. And this -- were you still exhibiting symptoms  
15 related to your condition at that time?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. And the second paragraph, you state, "He is  
18 sending me to the Mayo Clinic and thinks I may still be  
19 leaking despite my normal recent MRI. He thinks I am  
20 not clinically behaving as if I'm healed. No wise  
21 cracks," smiley face, right?

22 A Right.

23 Q The fourth paragraph that starts with "I am keeping,"  
24 do you see that?

25 A Yes.

1 Q "I am keeping an open mind and trying very hard to be  
2 partner and colleague. I've learned from my own  
3 mistakes in the past. I am certainly willing to look  
4 at new ways of doing things, but would like to look at  
5 the literature and be clear the literature supports the  
6 change. He does have some reasonable requests."

7 Were you talking about Dr. Seifer there?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. And so in terms of his skills and techniques  
10 and -- he had different ways of doing things than you,  
11 correct? Is that a fair statement?

12 A Correct.

13 Q However -- now, he had just joined, right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q You then say in the paragraph right after that, quote  
16 "I'm concerned that David perhaps -- that David perhaps  
17 going in the wrong direction in looking into the ins  
18 and outs of the IVF program. He seems to take limited  
19 data and make broad assumptions." You said that  
20 statement, right?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Did you consider that statement to be negative or  
23 critical about Dr. Seifer?

24 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

25 Q Okay. If we may proceed --

1                   MR. VITT: Could you get a little closer to  
2 the microphone?

3                   THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

4                   MR. SCHROEDER: I thought Mr. Vitt was  
5 talking about me and I was, like, I can't get any  
6 closer.

7 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) So the next exhibit is C16, and  
8 that's --

9                   MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach, Your  
10 Honor?

11                  THE COURT: Yes. The deputy clerk may not  
12 have a copy of that exhibit. You may know that.

13                  MR. SCHROEDER: I actually anticipated this  
14 one. If I may approach?

15                  THE COURT: Yes.

16                  MR. SCHROEDER: May I have it published for  
17 the jury?

18                  THE COURT: Yes.

19 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) Let me know when you've had a chance  
20 to review this e-mail, Dr. Porter.

21 A                 (Witness reading.) Okay.

22 Q                 Okay. Thank you.

23                  So this is an e-mail dated July -- July 14, 2016,  
24 correct?

25 A                 Correct.

1 Q And it's titled "Observations," right?

2 A Observations, yes.

3 Q And this was an e-mail between you and Dr. DeMars,

4 correct?

5 A Correct.

6 Q And were you out of the office -- between the last

7 e-mail which was, I think, June 30 and then July 14,

8 were you -- had you gone to the Mayo Clinic in the

9 interim?

10 A Had I gone to the Mayo Clinic in the interim? No.

11 Q Okay. At this point, you tell Dr. DeMars: "All is not

12 well with the ART program."

13 A Correct.

14 Q And that's part of the REI division, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And just ART stands for what?

17 A Assisted reproductive technologies.

18 Q Okay. And was that a criticism about the state of

19 affairs with the ART program within REI division?

20 A It was a list of concerning observations.

21 Q And Dr. Seifer had just gotten there, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Now, further down in the --

24 A I believe he started in May.

25 Q Okay. And he wasn't actually in the OR until June

1 sometime, correct?

2 A I couldn't swear to when he started, but sometime  
3 very -- around then, yes.

4 Q Because he had to get his credentialing privileges,  
5 correct?

6 A Yes. He started seeing patients before he had  
7 credentials.

8 Q Okay. So if we go down further, you say -- there's a  
9 line that starts "there has been." Do you see that?

10 A I'm sorry?

11 Q It's right below No. 2. Can you highlight that on the  
12 screen there?

13 A "There has been too frequent testing, repeating labs  
14 that have been done and imaging." Yes.

15 Q Right. And then you say, "This is an expense to the  
16 patient and will drive us out of the market."

17 A Correct.

18 Q Right?

19 You testified earlier that you had a difference of  
20 opinion as to what tests and how many tests were being  
21 done by Dr. Seifer, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Right. And -- but in the last e-mail I showed you, you  
24 said, well, he's got some new ways of doing things,  
25 right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And I think you said, "I'm trying to keep an open mind.

3 I've learned from my own mistakes in the past," right?

4 You did say that?

5 A Correct.

6 Q Okay.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: You can take that down.

8 Thank you.

9 Next Exhibit is C13. If I may approach the  
10 witness, Your Honor?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MR. SCHROEDER: Publish this for the jury?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: You can go to the next page,  
15 Ray. Thank you.

16 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I'm only going to ask you questions  
17 about the cover page --

18 A Wait. Wait. I would like to read it, please.

19 Q I know that. I just wanted to direct your attention --  
20 there's an agreement behind it. I'm not going to ask  
21 you any questions about it. I'm just going ask you  
22 about this particular page that's up on the screen.  
23 Let me know when you're done.

24 A Okay. (Witness reading.) Okay.

25 Q Okay. Thank you. So this is -- the name -- the date

1           of this letter is June 5th, 2017, correct?

2 A       Correct.

3 Q       And in the first line, this is from Aimee Giglio, her  
4           name is now Aimee Claiborne, as you correctly pointed  
5           out. The first sentence says, "This letter serves as a  
6           response to your letter dated May 25, 2017, and to  
7           questions posed during our meeting together with your  
8           husband on Friday, May 26, 2017." Correct?

9 A       Correct.

10 Q       So you sent this letter, which we've already referred  
11           to in prior testimony, on May 25th, and then the  
12           interim chief human resources officer, you have no  
13           reason to believe -- she was the highest person in HR,  
14           correct?

15 A       To my knowledge.

16 Q       Okay. And you reached out to her, you wanted to have a  
17           meeting. She set the meeting up for the next day,  
18           correct?

19 A       Apparently, yes.

20 Q       Okay. Well, you don't have any reason to doubt that,  
21           correct?

22 A       No.

23 Q       In fact, it's only a week or so later when she sends  
24           you the letter and attachment. And then she goes on to  
25           say in the second paragraph, "First, on behalf of

1 Dartmouth-Hitchcock, I would like to thank you for your  
2 years of service to DH and to our patients and for your  
3 many accomplishments over the years, as you outlined in  
4 your letter which have supported DH's clinical and  
5 academic mission." She says that, right?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And she sent sentiments along those same lines on  
8 April -- I'm sorry, on May 26, right?

9 A I don't recall. I think so, but I don't recall.

10 Q And when you had that meeting with Ms. Claiborne, you  
11 didn't raise any concerns or use the phrase, I should  
12 say -- strike that.

13 You didn't say that you believed you had been  
14 discriminated against on the basis of your condition or  
15 that you had been retaliated against, correct?

16 A I can't recall what I said or not in that meeting. I  
17 was very emotional.

18 Q It's possible you didn't say anything about it, right?

19 A I can't recall.

20 Q Right. It's possible, as a result of the fact that you  
21 can't recall, that you said none of those words, right?

22 A It's possible.

23 Q Okay. Now, the fourth paragraph down, it states, "In  
24 addition, in our meeting, you had requested an increase  
25 in the severance amount. After considering this

1 request, DH is willing to extend severance to 36 weeks  
2 of severance."

3 Now, I want to ask you: When I deposed you, and  
4 you referenced your deposition a couple times, you --  
5 do you recall testifying that you thought that initial  
6 severance package was a nominal amount?

7 A I don't recall that, no.

8 Q Okay.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may I approach  
10 with the deposition transcript for Dr. Porter?

11 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Schroeder, is that  
12 marked for identification at this time?

13 MR. SCHROEDER: I think it was originally.  
14 There were two days, so I don't know if the full  
15 transcript was marked, but...

16 MS. McDONALD: Day 1 was marked as ID 1.

17 THE COURT: I believe that is an exhibit that  
18 the deputy clerk has if that's the same exhibit you're  
19 using, if you want to --

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, I believe it is, and  
21 I've got a copy of it to hand to the witness, if I may.

22 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I would like to turn your attention  
23 to Page 130.

24 A Okay.

25 Q Okay. Specifically, Lines 3 through 17, if you would

1 just review that, I'll have some specific questions.

2 A I'm sorry, which lines? I lost you.

3 Q 3 through 17.

4 A 3 through 17.

5 Q Yeah. It's basically Page 130.

6 A Okay.

7 Q Okay. So I want to refresh your recollection on our

8 discussion during your deposition on this topic of

9 severance, and I asked you: "Did you, during that

10 meeting with Aimee Giglio, ask for more severance?"

11 And your answer was: "I told her what was offered was

12 not adequate," right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q And then I asked: "And what was offered?" Your answer

15 was: "I don't remember exactly, but it was a nominal

16 amount for my years of service," right?

17 A Correct.

18 Q So you did say that the first offer of severance was a

19 nominal amount?

20 A Correct.

21 Q And that was the meeting that you had with her on

22 May 26, correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q I then asked you: "Do you recall whether or not DH

25 increased that offer?" Your answer: "Which offer are

1           you referring to?" I said: "The severance offer," and  
2           you said, quote, "There was a nominal amount that was  
3           offered initially, and it was barely altered in the  
4           subsequent documents that were e-mailed to me." Did I  
5           read that correctly?

6 A        You did.

7 Q        All right. So, at that point, in your deposition, you  
8           said that the first amount was a nominal amount, right?

9 A        That's correct.

10 Q       Not adequate, right?

11 A       It was a nominal amount --

12 Q       Right. And the --

13 A       -- for my years of service, is what I said.

14 Q       Right. I understand that. And, at first, you didn't  
15           recall though that you said it was a nominal amount.

16           The first offer was six months of severance,  
17           correct?

18 A       I'm not certain about that.

19 Q       You're not -- you're not certain about that?

20 A       No, I'm not.

21 Q       Assuming it was six months of severance, at that time,  
22           you were earning close to 300,000 -- maybe a little bit  
23           over?

24 A       Correct.

25 Q       Okay. So if it was six months of service -- if it was

1           six months of severance, it would be a little over  
2           150,000 give or take?

3 A       Okay. Yeah.

4 Q       Do you agree with me?

5 A       Okay. Yes.

6 Q       Then, if we go back to this document, specifically that  
7           paragraph --

8 A       Which one, I'm sorry?

9 Q       The one that's right up on the screen that's  
10          highlighted.

11 A       Well, I can't see it very well there, so I would like  
12          to see it in front of me.

13 Q       Okay. It's the fourth paragraph on the fourth page  
14          there, "In addition."

15 A       Okay.

16 Q       I will read for you -- I've already read it, but I'll  
17          read it again. "In addition, in our meeting, you had  
18          requested an increase in the severance amount. After  
19          considering this request, DH is willing to extend  
20          severance to 36 weeks of severance," right?

21 A       Correct.

22 Q       So is 36 weeks, roughly nine months of severance?

23 A       Correct.

24 Q       And so if you take a \$300,000 salary and nine months of  
25          severance, that's a little bit over \$200,000, right?

1 A Okay. Yes.

2 Q Do you have any reason to doubt me on the math on that  
3 one?

4 A No.

5 Q Okay. And, in addition, if we go to the next paragraph  
6 down, Ms. Claiborne references the fact that you were  
7 part -- you were still receiving long-term disability  
8 benefits correct?

9 A I was, yes.

10 Q And those long-term disability benefits had been  
11 approved in or about June or July of '16?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And so you were still receiving them in June of '17,  
14 correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And they ended in June of '18 or thereabouts?

17 A Correct.

18 Q Okay. Now, she refers to the fact that you -- in your  
19 direct testimony, I think you said that you had to pay  
20 for your own health insurance during that time  
21 post-termination, right?

22 A I had to pay because I was considered an employee on  
23 leave by -- it wasn't -- I mean, this is just is a  
24 descriptive because I had been terminated. But while I  
25 was on long-term disability, I had to pay the full, or

1 close to the full, premium for my entire family for  
2 insurance, as soon as I went from short-term to  
3 long-term, and I carried all five of us. So I had to  
4 pay the entire premium and as well, when I was on  
5 long-term disability and during per diem, I had to pay  
6 the entire premium for everybody.

7 Q Well, this says here that in that paragraph halfway  
8 down, "Please note that you will remain responsible for  
9 the portion of the medical and dental insurance  
10 premiums that all active employees are responsible for.  
11 And then all premiums for medical and dental insurance  
12 are billed directly to you for as long as you continue  
13 to -- continue long-term disability payments."

14 Correct?

15 A That's what it says.

16 Q Okay. And did you follow up with Ms. Claiborne to  
17 confirm that you were eligible -- you just have to pay  
18 your portion of the premium as a typical active  
19 employee?

20 A Well, the interesting thing is that one of the things  
21 that was so emotional for me as a physician and mother  
22 is that initially she gave me the wrong information.  
23 So, in that meeting, it seemed like a real moral wound  
24 that I would be dropped from insurance. And I have to  
25 admit I was extremely emotional during that time, and

1 what I understood, because as soon as I went to  
2 long-term disability and I was an employee on leave, I  
3 was responsible for a good, huge portion of the health  
4 premium that covered dental and -- but to me, again,  
5 she did not give me the accurate information in that  
6 meeting, and then this letter came, and so I was paying  
7 what I had been paying previously on long-term  
8 disability.

9 Q Okay. And that was pursuant to the long-term  
10 disability plan, whatever the plan documents say?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. And you were receiving long-term disability --  
13 you were receiving salary -- a portion of your salary,  
14 correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Close to 70 percent, or was it more than that?

17 A It was -- what was it? Two-thirds plus it was reduced  
18 by the amount that I was working. So every paystub I  
19 had to send in to insurance, and they would reduce my  
20 payment based on how much I was working.

21 Q Right.

22 A So it didn't go above that, yes.

23 Q Right. If you weren't working at all for the periods  
24 of time that you were out completely, you would receive  
25 the full, long-term disability payment for that

1 relevant time period.

2 A The portion of my salary that was due based on  
3 disability insurance, yes.

4 Q Understood.

5 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. You can take that  
6 down, thank you.

7 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, with respect to your current  
8 situation at UVM -- or I should say your current  
9 employment at UVM Medical Center, I think on your  
10 direct testimony, you'd referenced the fact that you  
11 were .8, but was it really .75?

12 A I had varied between .75 and .85, and the -- there's a  
13 transition recently with the new chair that because of  
14 the Green Mountain Care Board's ruling that UVM all  
15 individuals have to reduce their academic time. So I  
16 was .8, and my chair, just in the last few weeks, has  
17 asked me to cut back my academic time to go .75.

18 Q And .75 means that you work four days a week?

19 A Well, it means that I work -- not -- it's not  
20 necessarily four days a week, but it is -- it's .75 of  
21 a 1.0, and it varies based on call and other  
22 obligations and responsibilities.

23 Q And you receive your -- but it's less than full-time,  
24 correct?

25 A Correct.

1 Q So it's not -- that's not five days a week, right?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And so if it's .75, would you agree with me that's  
4 roughly four days a week or somewhere around there?

5 A Yes.

6 Q At UVM Medical Center, you receive a pension? You're  
7 eligible for a pension?

8 A No.

9 Q You're not eligible at all for a pension?

10 A No.

11 Q So if we had documents that show that you're eligible  
12 for a pension at some point, they would be wrong?

13 A I don't believe I'm eligible for a pension. I have a  
14 401(k) or a 403(b) but --

15 Q And you receive a matching contribution for that,  
16 right?

17 A A part, yes.

18 Q Right. Upwards of nine, ten percent?

19 A No, I believe -- I looked it up right before the  
20 Bancroft report, and what I gathered off it was seven  
21 match.

22 Q So if the documents say ten, then the documents are  
23 wrong, from UVM?

24 A That portion, I recently looked back up again, and it  
25 may have changed in that period of time.

1 Q Okay.

2 A So I looked it up just three or four days ago.

3 Q Your current salary --

4 A I would like to correct something. I think I can put  
5 in three percent and they can put in seven percent, and  
6 maybe that's where that ten percent comes from.

7 Q That wasn't a question, but thank you.

8                  With respect to your current salary, your full  
9 salary at 1.0, FTE, full-time equivalent, I realize  
10 you're not working at a full-time equivalent of 1.0,  
11 but your full-time salary is in the range of 340,  
12 \$340,000?

13 A Potentially, yes, because part of it is variable, and  
14 that variable varies from year to year. So it depends  
15 on the financial productivity of the institution and  
16 the department. So the variable is not a given.

17 Q Okay. Last year though, you made over \$300,000,  
18 correct?

19 A I would have to look at my W-2s.

20 Q Okay. Well, we'll take a break and pull them out.

21                  Does that refresh your recollection -- do you have a  
22 recollection of making over \$300,000?

23 A No.

24 Q You have no recollection of that whatsoever?

25 A I need to check my W-2s, yes.

1 Q Okay. I want to specifically ask, so you received two  
2 W-2s for 2024, right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And you just produced those through your counsel  
5 recently, right?

6 A The most recent ones yes.

7 Q Well, 2024, right?

8 A Correct.

9 Q Right. So you received them -- so unless they do  
10 things differently for UVM, you received your W-2s  
11 fairly recently, right?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And so you produced them to your counsel recently,  
14 right?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And so you knew what they were, right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Just a one-page document, correct?

19 A Correct. Well, two pages -- one from UVM and one from  
20 UVMMC.

21 Q Right. You received compensation from UVM and UVM  
22 Medical Center, correct?

23 A Correct. Yeah.

24 Q Now, with respect to UVM, do you receive a pension from  
25 any of those entities?

1 A My understanding from my chair, since a small portion  
2 of my salary comes from UVM, that I would not be  
3 eligible for the pension for UVM is the way I  
4 understand it.

5 Q So when I asked you about whether or not you were  
6 eligible for a pension, you said no, no pension. Now  
7 you're saying to me that, well, now I understand that I  
8 may not be eligible because of only so much work that I  
9 do at UVM; is that right?

10 A I'm sorry, say it again. I lost you in there.

11 Q I asked you initially whether you're eligible for a  
12 pension. You said nope, nope, no pension, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Right. And then I just asked you a specific question  
15 though about UVM Medical College or any of these  
16 entities that you receive W-2s from, right?

17 A Correct.

18 Q I just asked you that.

19 A Correct.

20 Q And then you said, Well, my understanding is that I  
21 wouldn't be eligible for the pension based on my  
22 current status; is that right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Okay. So you are potentially eligible for a pension if  
25 your status changed to, say, 1.0 FTE?

1 A Not from my discussion with Dr. Bernstein who is chair,  
2 because a majority of my salary overwhelmingly comes  
3 out of UVM Medical Center, and a very small portion  
4 comes out of UVM as part of my responsibility for  
5 teaching. So when I spoke with Ira and I took the job,  
6 he said that because of the structure, his  
7 understanding was that I would not be eligible for the  
8 UVM pension. That's the counseling I received.

9 Q Right. You didn't look at any documents to determine  
10 whether that was true, right?

11 A I believe Ira, because he's been responsible for the  
12 financial compensation for all of the physicians.

13 Q What's his title?

14 A Ira was my chairman of OB-GYN, and he was also head of  
15 the finance committee at UVMMC, and so he has -- for  
16 many years, he was head of finance committee so he has  
17 a very good understanding of compensation packages.

18 Q I'm just asking you what his title was. That's all my  
19 question was.

20 A Well, I'm giving it to you.

21 Q That's all I wanted. Thank you.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, I would like to  
23 approach the witness, C15.

24 THE COURT: Yes.

25 MR. SCHROEDER: I've given a copy of the

1 truncated version of this group of messages, and I just  
2 want to check with counsel. I would move for admission  
3 of them so I can -- as well. Initially, I thought we  
4 had a stipulation, but I just want to make sure we're  
5 okay on whether I can move for admission so that I can  
6 publish it to the jury.

7 MR. VITT: Your Honor, we do not agree to the  
8 admission of these. And I certainly don't agree to  
9 them in bulk, and I think perhaps we ought to approach  
10 before we get into a discussion of --

11 THE COURT: Yes, please approach.

12 (Bench conference held.)

13 THE COURT: Okay. Members of the jury, we  
14 are going to take a brief five- to ten-minute bathroom  
15 break and return momentarily.

16 (Jury exits.)

17 (Recess taken.)

18 (Jury present.)

19 THE COURT: Go ahead.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation on the  
22 admissibility of certain pages of C15, may I approach  
23 the witness to show her C15?

24 THE COURT: Yes.

25 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. DeMars, this --

1 A I'm sorry?

2 Q Excuse me?

3 A I think you called me Dr. DeMars.

4 Q I'm sorry. Dr. Porter, these are Dr. DeMars' text  
5 messages between you and her, and I just want to ask  
6 you a series of questions. A portion of these have  
7 been admitted into evidence, and I'm only going to ask  
8 you specific pages, which I'll put up on the screen  
9 pursuant to a stipulation with your counsel.

10 Your -- and these are text messages that were from  
11 Dr. DeMars to you, and I just want to highlight the  
12 first page. Where it says "Participants,  
13 802-296-1701." Is that your cell phone number?

14 A That is.

15 Q And the first page here is dated November 20, 2013?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Right? And you attended Dr. DeMars' deposition in this  
18 case, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And you were -- your counsel asked Dr. DeMars questions  
21 about these text messages, correct?

22 A I don't recall that but possibly, yes.

23 Q You were there for the whole deposition, correct?

24 A I was.

25 Q And you were aware that one of the things that happened

1           was in this case, in discovery, as you recall us  
2           referring to, is that Dr. DeMars produced her text  
3           messages to and from you, correct?

4 A       Correct.

5 Q       So on this first page, and I'll be very brief on the  
6           pages. They're all in sequential order as produced  
7           because as a printout -- the first page, there is an  
8           identity of the code name "Earth Giver." Is that  
9           Dr. DeMars?

10 A       That's Dr. DeMars.

11 Q       Okay. And then, obviously, you're the recipient of the  
12           e-mail, correct?

13 A       Correct.

14 Q       So you're in the light gray coloring; Dr. DeMars is in  
15           the dark gray coloring?

16 A       Dr. DeMars is in the darker color, yes.

17 Q       Right. And you're in the lighter color, correct?

18 A       Correct.

19 Q       Okay. And at the bottom of the page, and this is  
20           November 20, 2013.

21 A       Right.

22 Q       You refer to Albert as a limited surgeon, correct?

23 A       Correct.

24 Q       And this is November of 2013, and he hadn't even  
25           started with the REI division yet, right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q He'd been interviewed and accepted a position, but you  
3 hadn't seen him do anything in the OR?

4 A I never interviewed him, and it was based on a  
5 conversation I had with him.

6 Q That wasn't my question. My question was: You'd never  
7 seen him do anything in the OR at this point?

8 A No.

9 Q And this was based on a single conversation you had  
10 with him?

11 A No.

12 Q How many conversations did you have with him in this  
13 time period?

14 A I don't recall.

15 Q You don't recall testifying that you had one  
16 conversation with him in that time period?

17 A I had a conversation with him, but you asked if I had  
18 others, and I don't recall if I had more than that, but  
19 the IVF planning and schedule was based on a  
20 conversation I had with him, yes.

21 Q You do recall one conversation, but you don't recall  
22 any others, specifically?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And do you recall during this time frame having regular  
25 text messages with Leslie DeMars?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And it wouldn't surprise you that on this particular

3 day that you had upwards of 30 to 40?

4 A Perhaps, yes.

5 Q Okay.

6 A I don't recall.

7 Q Okay. But perhaps you did.

8 A Perhaps.

9 Q Yeah. And so you regularly texted with her, at least

10 during this time frame, late 2013, right?

11 A I had text conversations with her, yes.

12 Q Right. And would you say not necessarily daily, but

13 certainly on a regular basis, right?

14 A Sure.

15 Q Okay. Turning your attention to Page 4, and I'm just

16 doing -- all I'm asking you here is just to -- well,

17 all I'm pointing out to you is -- this date is

18 November 21, 2013, right?

19 A I'm sorry?

20 Q The date in the middle of the page is Thursday,

21 November 21; 2013?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. And that follows, obviously, November 20th,

24 2013, which was the previous set of messages.

25 Now, if --

1 A A week later. No, a day later. Okay.

2 Q It's a day later, right?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q So they're in sequential order. And that's how they  
5 were produced to you and to us.

6 If you go to Page 8, please.

7 A I'm sorry.

8 Q So Page 4 goes all the way from 4 to 5 to 6 to 7 to 8,  
9 and Page 8 is still in this string on November 21,  
10 2013.

11 MR. SCHROEDER: And just go back for a  
12 second, Ray, to Page 4. Okay. And proceed through  
13 each page just one at a time.

14 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) So Page 4, that's November 21. It's  
15 a series of text messages between you and Dr. DeMars.

16 MR. SCHROEDER: Go to Page 5. Page 5? Thank  
17 you.

18 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) You see more text messages that same  
19 day. Go to Page 6. More text messages that same day,  
20 go to Page 7. More text messages that day, and then go  
21 to Page 8. Now, on Page 8, seems like it's pretty  
22 rapid succession. Page 8, you're talking about --  
23 Dr. DeMars says something, "That's what we said about  
24 Richard." Do you see that about halfway down the page?

25 A I'm sorry. I lost you.

1 Q Sure. Page 8?

2 A Page 8, yes.

3 MR. SCHROEDER: Ray, if you could highlight  
4 that: "That's what you said about Richard," by  
5 Dr. DeMars, and the one below it, please. Actually --  
6 Ray told me this before, and I didn't remember it.

7 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I'm just asking you about that  
8 exchange. Leslie DeMars says, "That's what we said  
9 about Richard." And your response was: "Yes I've  
10 outlasted him and now I can sense a relief. Finally,  
11 good riddance. He'll leave me with some big mess."  
12 And then without Dr. DeMars responding, you say, "But  
13 he will be gone," right?

14 A Correct.

15 Q So is that fireworks after that. I can't tell if  
16 that's a firework or an ice cream cone. Fireworks?

17 A I don't know.

18 Q Well, you wrote it, so I'm just asking you.

19 A In 2013.

20 Q I know, but you used emojis, right? You know what that  
21 emoji is, I would assume.

22 A I'm not clear.

23 Q Okay. And then right below that, Leslie says, "But no  
24 rules to encumber you. Ha, ha, ha." And then you  
25 wrote, "No asshole narcissist comments," right?

1 A Mm-hm, yes.

2 Q Is that a dancing figure and a bunch of smiley faces?

3 A Perhaps, yes.

4 Q Perhaps, or it is?

5 A I don't know. I know it looks like dancing figures. I  
6 can't see the others.

7 Q You can't see the smiley faces?

8 A It's not clear here, but it does look like it here,  
9 yes.

10 Q Well, you can read the screen, right?

11 A I can read the screen, yes.

12 Q Okay. And those are smiley faces, right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Okay. Thank you.

15 I would ask you to turn to Page 39. I'm going to  
16 ask you very quick questions at the bottom of the page,  
17 and we're going to go to the next page in a second.

18 This is December 1, 2015. So from November of 2013  
19 through December of 2015, you would have periodic text  
20 messages with Leslie DeMars, correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q And were these your personal phones or your work  
23 phones; do you know?

24 A I believe personal phones.

25 Q Okay. So if you had -- would you separate your work

1                   matters from your personal matters? Meaning did you  
2                   have another phone for work matters?

3   A   I did not. The division had another phone for weekend  
4                   call, but I didn't use it.

5   Q   Okay. This one, on December 1, 2015, you had testified  
6                   on direct exam about going to Dallas, correct?

7   A   Correct.

8   Q   And you went to Dallas because that was a conference  
9                   or, was it, oral boards, board of examiners?

10   A   Yes. One week a year we went to the oral boards.

11   Q   Okay. And this was -- this would be the time period  
12                   when you were going to the oral boards in 2015?

13   A   Yes.

14   Q   Okay. Go to the next page, please -- actually, go back  
15                   for a second. So you were talking to her about, Hey,  
16                   do you want to get massages? We'll take care of that,  
17                   manis, pedis, et cetera, correct?

18   A   Correct.

19   Q   Go to the next page, please. And in here you're  
20                   talking about the fact that your husband is going to  
21                   drive both of you to the airport, right?

22   A   I'm sorry, where are you?

23   Q   Just at the top. If you go to the previous page.  
24                   We're just dealing with 39 and 40. At the bottom it  
25                   says, "Tom is going to drive us to Manchester." That's

1                   your husband, right?

2 A       Yes. Yes. Yes.

3 Q       And then you have a series of exchanges that same day,  
4                   and then December 6th, I guess, is that weekend, right?

5 A       Yes. We were there, I believe.

6 Q       And you were there that week -- were you there for the  
7                   whole week?

8 A       I was; she was not.

9 Q       Right. And you said that you guys shared rooms?

10 A      Yes.

11 Q      Okay. And at some point, Dr. DeMars had to go back to  
12                  New Hampshire for, was it, a patient emergency?

13 A      No, it was an emergency meeting called by the DHMC  
14                  administration, and it is highly unusual to leave the  
15                  boards for any reason because once you're there, you  
16                  are assigned for the entire week, and she left towards  
17                  the end of the week, either Wednesday or Thursday,  
18                  without fulfilling her obligations at the board and  
19                  when I had developed double-vision.

20 Q      Okay. There's no mention of any of your symptoms in  
21                  this exchange with her, correct?

22 A      I don't know. I haven't read all the way through it,  
23                  but --

24 Q      Well, actually, we can tell based on this one page.  
25                  And, by the way, Dr. Porter, when you produced text

1 messages in this case with Dr. DeMars, you only  
2 produced a handful of text messages --

3 MR. VITT: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Sustained.

5 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.

6 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) With respect to this exchange here,  
7 it goes from December -- it goes December 6th,  
8 December 10th, and Dr. DeMars is referring to the fact  
9 that she's going to the airport, right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And so does that refresh your recollection that that  
12 was when she was leaving Dallas?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Okay. And then the next exchange referred to here is  
15 January 8th, 2016, right?

16 A Correct.

17 Q There's no discussion in this text message string of  
18 anything relating to the fact that you came down with  
19 symptoms related to your condition.

20 A Not within the text, no.

21 Q Right. Okay. Thank you. Just ask you to go to Page  
22 83, and I'm only going to ask you a question about the  
23 bottom text message.

24 MR. SCHROEDER: Ray, if you could just  
25 highlight that.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm not certain that we can --  
2 it's not completely redacted --

3 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) We're going to produce a redacted  
4 portion of this and only this page, so there's not  
5 going to be any -- that's why we produced this as a  
6 redacted portion, and so --

7 A My copy is not completely redacted.

8 Q I understand that. The parties have already stipulated  
9 to the admissibility. We're going to handle that on a  
10 break.

11 I'm asking you about one specific comment. On  
12 Thursday, February 23, 2017, you wrote to Dr. DeMars,  
13 quote, "I'm texting as your friend. I'm really very  
14 sorry this has been so hard and the RE service has been  
15 a sequence of problems, not small ones at that. No  
16 need to reply." You wrote that, correct?

17 A I did.

18 Q And you didn't say IVF; you just said RE, right?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And RE meant reproductive endocrinology, right?

21 A      Correct.

22 Q And you were referring to the division, correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And this was late February of 2017, right?

25 A Correct.

1 Q Thank you.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: We could remove that  
3 document.

4 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. Porter, I was asking you  
5 about -- during the cross-examination yesterday  
6 afternoon about Dr. Merrens. Do you recall that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And, initially, when I asked you about Dr. Merrens, you  
9 didn't recall your interaction with him earlier than  
10 2017, right?

11 A No, I believe I said an interaction several years  
12 before.

13 Q Several years before, okay.

14 A Yeah.

15 Q I want to ask you --

16 A Very, very long time ago, yes.

17 Q Okay. I want to ask you specifically about that  
18 interaction with Dr. Merrens.

19 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may I approach to  
20 show her Exhibit A?

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 THE WITNESS: Can you please take it out?

23 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Sure.

24 A Thank you.

25 Q I just want to refresh your recollection to the time

1 frame when you were dealing with Dr. Merrens. This is  
2 an e-mail dated September 28, 2012?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Okay. And you were having a series of e-mail exchanges  
5 with Dr. Merrens, correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And the subject line of it says "Thanks," right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And you told him in this e-mail exchange, quote, "I'm  
10 appreciative of your care, gentleness and kindness."  
11 Correct?

12 A Correct.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: Now, if I may show the  
14 witness Exhibit B?

15 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) During this time period, you had a  
16 series of exchanges with Dr. Merrens, correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q And those were positive exchanges, correct?

19 A I would have to review it, but my recollection is  
20 initially they were positive, yes.

21 Q Okay. And you trusted his guidance, did you not?

22 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

23 Q Let me go back to Exhibit A for a second. Do you still  
24 have that in front of you?

25 A Is that the text messages?

1 Q No, the exhibit I just showed you.

2 A I think you put it back.

3 Q Okay. We'll hold out Exhibit A. I'm sorry, Exhibit B.

4 A I'll give you Exhibit A.

5 A Actually, you know what, I apologize. Go to  
6 Exhibit B, please. If you go to Exhibit B, it's a  
7 series of e-mails between you and Dr. Merrens, correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And subsequent to that -- that was initially September  
10 of 2012, and you were still dealing with him in  
11 November of 2012, correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And if you go to the second page --

14 A Can I read the whole thing to refresh my memory?

15 Q Sure. I'm only going to ask you very specific  
16 questions.

17 A Yes. I would like to read it so I can answer those  
18 truthfully.

19 Q Okay.

20 A (Witness reading.)

21 Q Let me know when you're finished, please.

22 A (Witness reading.) Okay.

23 Q Okay. I would ask you to read -- the second page of  
24 that is an e-mail from you to Dr. Merrens dated  
25 November 1, 2012, right?

1 A Correct.

2 Q And you wrote, "Hi, Ed." Right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. You didn't call him Dr. Merrens. You certainly  
5 knew him, right?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And you said, "I appreciate your kindness and  
8 sensitivity in this process," right?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Okay.

11 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may approach the  
12 witness, Your Honor, and show Dr. Porter Exhibit D.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Actually, let's stay there before we  
15 go to D. Let's stay with B for a second.

16 On the first page, you reference the fact that you  
17 thought that he was -- he could be a neutral person,  
18 right?

19 A Yes. I was hoping he would be, yes.

20 Q Right. Well, actually, you believed he would be,  
21 right?

22 A I wouldn't characterize it that way.

23 Q Well, let's just go to the statement then. It says,  
24 quote -- these are your words, right? "It's hard for  
25 me to see how you would not be neutral," end quote.

1 Did I read that correctly?

2 A That was the statement. There was more behind it.

3 Q I understand it. You made that statement?

4 THE COURT: I'll advise the witness, when the  
5 question calls for a yes-or-no answer, you should give  
6 a yes-or-no answer. Your attorney will have an  
7 opportunity to ask you additional questions.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Schroeder.

10 THE WITNESS: That's my statement.

11 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Right. Those are your words. Thank  
12 you.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may I approach  
14 the witness to show her Exhibit D, Defendant's Exhibit  
15 D?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Is it fair to say during this time  
18 period of September, November, December, you were in  
19 regular communication with Dr. Merrens?

20 A I had some e-mails with him, yes.

21 Q You had conversations with him?

22 A I had -- I don't recall exactly -- two conversations  
23 with him, and then some e-mail exchanges, yes.

24 Q Okay. My only question to you on this exhibit, at the  
25 bottom of Page 1 -- it is an e-mail message from you

1 dated December 14, 2012, to Dr. Merrens, right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q My only question to you about this document, you state,  
4 "Ed, thank you for your response, time and  
5 consideration to the issues," right?

6 A I'm not seeing that right now.

7 Q It's on the next page.

8 A On the next page.

9 Q At the top of the page. So it's December 14, 2012, a  
10 few months later from the first e-mail I showed you.  
11 And you say, do you not --

12 A Yeah.

13 Q "Thank you for your response, time, and consideration  
14 to the issues."

15 A Correct.

16 Q Okay.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach the witness to  
18 show her Exhibit E?

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 MR. SCHROEDER: Could I have a sidebar, Your  
21 Honor?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 (Bench conference held.)

24 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Dr. Porter, did I put Exhibit E in  
25 front of you?

1 A No.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach, Your Honor?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Now, I asked you earlier --

5 A Wait. May I read it, please?

6 Q Sure.

7 A Okay. (Witness reading.) Correct. Okay.

8 Q So just one e-mail, right? Just one page?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Okay. And this is dated -- this is an e-mail that you  
11 sent on February 8, 2013?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And the subject line was "meeting follow-up," right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. So you'd had a series of e-mail communications  
16 with Dr. Merrens in September, November, December, and  
17 now February of 2013, right?

18 A Correct.

19 Q And you say in the second paragraph -- this is the only  
20 thing that I want to ask you about, and just confirm  
21 that you said this -- quote, "We believe it is  
22 essential that structural changes be made within the  
23 division of reproductive medicine. We also believe  
24 that the changes need to be made soon," end quote.

25 A Correct.

1 Q And that was February of 2013, correct?  
2 A Correct.  
3 Q And this predated Dr. Hsu's arrival, even, I think  
4 consideration of his candidacy, right?  
5 A Correct.  
6 Q And certainly predated Dr. Seifer joining the REI  
7 division in 2016?  
8 A Correct.  
9 Q All right. Thank you.

10 MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, I would like to  
11 approach the witness to show her Exhibit C6.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. SCHROEDER: And I've shared with counsel  
14 for the plaintiff a specific subset of that, and I  
15 would like to move for its admission.

16 THE COURT: Any objection?

17 MR. VITT: Just a second, please. May we  
18 approach the bench?

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 (Bench conference held.)

21 THE COURT: Okay. We are so close to lunch,  
22 I think rather than forge ahead, we're going to give  
23 you your lunch break now, and we'll convene at 1 p.m.  
24 Okay? Thank you.

25 (Jury exits.)

(Noon recess taken.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## Afternoon Session

\* \* \*

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Okay. Please go ahead,

Mr. Schroeder.

MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I would like to approach the witness to show her Exhibit C6.

THE COURT: Yes.

10 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) I don't want the jury to think that  
11 I'm going to ask you about that really large group of  
12 documents altogether, but that large group of  
13 documents, is that -- do you recall in this case  
14 producing a series of -- groups of text messages for  
15 everyone that you had text messaged with during the  
16 relevant time period?

17 A I turned in my phone, and the text messages were taken  
18 off that, yes.

19 Q Okay. And that's why the label in the corner, separate  
20 from the label for C6, which is a different page number  
21 and is the exhibit for the trial, the -- it says  
22 Porter, the first one I have -- one of the first ones,  
23 but the first one is 894.

24 A I have 897.

25 Q Right. That's the subset of the -- I'm only going to

1 ask you a very small subset of questions related to the  
2 subset that is in front of you.

3 So you turned in your phone and you were able to  
4 download all the text messages, correct?

5 A My attorneys did, I believe, yes.

6 Q Okay. Yeah, I understand. You wouldn't have done it.  
7 I certainly wouldn't know how to do it.

8 So I'm just going to ask you a very few questions,  
9 but these are the text messages that are all labeled  
10 with the Porter label so those are documents that were  
11 produced through your counsel, right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q I would ask you to turn your attention --

14 MR. SCHROEDER: And, Your Honor, through  
15 stipulation with counsel, there's been an agreement on  
16 moving for admission of this exhibit with just the  
17 specific pages that were referenced in the sidebar.

18 THE COURT: Right. So is there going to be  
19 another exhibit number on this, because C6 is much  
20 larger?

21 MR. SCHROEDER: Correct. Yes.

22 THE COURT: Okay. So what is this identified  
23 then as now?

24 MR. SCHROEDER: This would be a subset of  
25 Dr. Porter's text messages.

1                   THE COURT: Right. No. I understand that.

2                   But putting a specific number on it other than C6  
3                   because that's a lot of documents.

4                   MS. McDONALD: C6-A.

5                   THE COURT: Okay. So then the subset is  
6                   marked as C6-A. So C6-A is admitted without objection.

7                   MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, should I just  
8                   read off some of the Bates labels so that we are clear  
9                   on what's coming in? I'll use the exhibit tabs from  
10                  the -- from Dr. Porter's production of documents. So  
11                  Porter 897, 936, 946, 950, 954 through -56 and 1061.

12                  Thank you.

13                  THE COURT: Thank you.

14                  MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, may I publish  
15                  6-A, a series of text messages -- may I publish that  
16                  for the jury?

17                  THE COURT: Yes. A particular page, right?

18                  MR. SCHROEDER: Yes. A specific page. And  
19                  specifically Page 897 is the first one.

20 Q                 (By Mr. Schroeder) So just going back to the series of  
21                 text messages, Dr. Porter, and I'm actually -- I'll  
22                 represent to you on some of these that I'm showing you,  
23                 and it's a very small handful, but on the ones that I  
24                 am showing you, there's certain days where the date may  
25                 not be on it, but I'll represent to you the date if we

1 need to look in the bigger group of documents to just  
2 corroborate me, we can do that, but I'll represent to  
3 you the dates.

4 And this particular one, this is 8 -- 4 --  
5 April 8, 2016, right?

6 A Correct.

7 Q Okay. And this is with Leslie DeMars, correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And in the bottom, the second to the last text message  
10 from -- and you're -- in this one, you're in the dark  
11 text on the screen, and she's in the white text; is  
12 that right?

13 A Correct.

14 Q Okay. And the second to last text message there, you  
15 say, "You know you have -- you know you have been  
16 exceedingly kind and caring through this." And "this"  
17 is in reference to the fact of your condition at the  
18 time, right?

19 A I don't recall.

20 Q Well, at this time in April, you've been out for five  
21 or six months?

22 A Since December.

23 Q Okay.

24 A Mid December.

25 Q And you don't know whether "this" is related to you

1 being out and coming back?

2 A I don't know what this is in reference to, because it's  
3 taken out of context.

4 Q Okay. Well, these are your text messages though,  
5 right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And right above it is the text message from Leslie  
8 DeMars.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Ray, if you could highlight  
10 that.

11 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) "You're so nice to let Tom borrow  
12 your car. I'm excited to have you back." I don't  
13 know, looks like fireworks or ice cream cone to me.  
14 "No overdoing it." So she's saying to you really  
15 excited to have you, back, right?

16 A Correct.

17 Q And then your response is, "You know you've been  
18 exceedingly kind and caring through this." Does that  
19 refresh your recollection --

20 A Yes.

21 Q -- or point you to the fact that "this" relates to the  
22 fact that you've been out, and she's really excited to  
23 see you back?

24 A Right. So it does establish that I was back 4/16 and  
25 you've been saying July or June.

1                   MR. SCHROEDER: Your Honor, motion to strike;  
2 that's non-responsive.

3                   THE COURT: Okay. I'll strike the response  
4 but there may have been a reference to July earlier.  
5 Is that true?

6                   MR. SCHROEDER: No, there wasn't a reference  
7 to July. No.

8                   THE COURT: Okay.

9 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) If I could have you turn to  
10 Porter936, and I'll reference for the record that this  
11 is February 4th, 2017, and the way we know that is if  
12 you go to 930. And we don't need to put this on the  
13 screen, so stay on this, Ray.

14                  But for purposes of your understanding,  
15 Dr. Porter, 931 shows it's a string of text messages  
16 that were printed between you and Lisa McGee, correct?

17 A I'm on 936. I don't have 9 --

18 Q That's in a small subset, but in the big group of  
19 documents that I pulled out of the binder, right, if  
20 you go to 936 in that, I just want to verify for the  
21 record -- I'm sorry, 931 -- that that's the string of  
22 text messages between you and Lisa McGee.

23                  THE COURT: That's in C6?

24                  MR. SCHROEDER: Correct, Your Honor. And  
25 that was put beside Dr. Porter.

1 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) Is that right?

2 A I'm looking at it, yes. It's from Ms. McGee, yes.

3 Q Right. It says, "Chat with Lisa McGee" and then export

4 details and then the participant, right, on 931?

5 A Say that again, please? You lost me.

6 Q The document that you're looking at in front of you out

7 of the big group of documents which is C6 --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- this is the group of text messages between you and

10 Lisa McGee that were printed through your cell phone

11 provider?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. And the first date there is January 31, 2017,

14 right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And if you go a little bit further in that

17 stack, still in that stack, is 933, the big stack, C6.

18 933 has a date of February 4, 2017. I just want to

19 reference so that you know what date I'm talking about

20 when we get to 936 because if you move forward all the

21 way to 936, and that's the one in front of you, we're

22 still on that date February 4, 2017. Okay?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Thank you. So if you go to 936, this is text exchanges

25 between you and Lisa McGee.

1 Now, Lisa McGee, her full name is really Elizabeth  
2 McGee, correct?

3 A Elizabeth McGee, yes.

4 Q And at the time of 2017, where was she employed?

5 A University of Vermont.

6 Q Medical Center?

7 A Medical Center, yes.

8 Q What was her role?

9 A She was -- she was head of fellowship and division  
10 head.

11 Q Division head of what?

12 A Reproductive endocrinology and infertility.

13 Q So at UVM Medical Center she was the head of REI?

14 A Yes.

15 Q So, at that time, in 2017, she's the REI division  
16 director at UVM Medical Center, and David Seifer is the  
17 REI division director at Dartmouth Health?

18 A Correct.

19 Q All right. And at the bottom of this exchange, it's a  
20 series of text messages between you and Lisa McGee.

21 Did you regularly text with her?

22 A Intermittently.

23 Q Okay. Well, I went back to Page 933, which is where  
24 this date started, and it looks like you had a series  
25 of text messages in one particular day, upwards of 40

1 or so.

2 With respect to 936 though in front of you on the  
3 screen, at the bottom, you're having a discussion with  
4 her about, I think, candidates for positions. And you  
5 say -- if we could highlight the "maybe I'm in," and  
6 the one below it. "Maybe I'm smarting because she  
7 swore how clinically good Sophia was and what a  
8 wonderful surgeon," and then you immediately text, "And  
9 she was horrible at both, plain horrible." Do you see  
10 that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And Sophia, is that one of the former doctors in the  
13 REI division?

14 A Where?

15 Q At Dartmouth Health?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. You knew what I was talking about, right, when I  
18 said Sophia, right?

19 A I was asking you to be specific, yes.

20 Q But you knew exactly who I was talking about because  
21 she was employed in the REI division with her husband  
22 Neil, right, at some point?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And you had less than favorable things to say about  
25 Sophia in this text string, correct?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Go to 945, just in your book, in the bigger book, and  
3 not 945, Ray, but 946, Ray. 945 will show you the date  
4 that I'm talking about.

5 THE COURT: That's in C6, Mr. Schroeder? I'm  
6 sorry to interrupt you, I just want to be clear.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: I agree with you, Your Honor.  
8 I appreciate it. Yes, C6, just -- take that page down.

9 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) If you look in the book, so it's  
10 April 5, 2017, right, on Porter945, which is part of  
11 C6.

12 A I'm sorry, I'm losing you. So --

13 MR. SCHROEDER: May I approach, Your Honor?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) 945 is right in front of you. This  
16 is the big group of documents, I'm not going to ask you  
17 about the big group of documents. So the Court  
18 understands, it's C6, but we're going to refer to  
19 specific texts in C6-A.

20 A Yeah.

21 Q So just clarifying on Porter945 to your right, if you  
22 look to your right, Dr. Porter, the date there is  
23 April 5, 2017, right?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Okay. If we go to 946 --

MR. SCHROEDER: If you can bring that up on the screen, Ray.

Q (By Mr. Schroeder) When I was having a discussion with you yesterday on your cross-examination, Dr. Porter, I had asked you about your interactions with Dr. Hsu and Seifer; do you remember that?

A      Correct.

Q And I asked you about whether or not there was any in-fighting in the REI division, and you said no, there wasn't, right?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And that there were no personal issues in terms of  
13 in-fighting, right?

14 A Correct.

15 Q And you wouldn't characterize that as a conflict,  
16 right, no interpersonal conflict?

17 A Correct.

18 Q And you said -- when I said well -- I said, "Okay."  
19 And then I said, "Well, did you get along with Dr. Hsu  
20 and Seifer?" You said, "I got along with them, yes,"  
21 right?

22 A Right.

23 Q I want to ask you, a specific reference to what you  
24 were talking about with Lisa McGee. So we're still  
25 talking -- these are text messages between you and Lisa

1           McGee in April of 2017, right, correct?

2 A       April 2017, correct.

3 Q       Okay. And you're talking about somebody taking boards

4           named Jennifer?

5 A       Yes.

6 Q       And then -- was Dr. Hsu taking the board -- scheduled

7           to take the board exams at that time?

8 A       I don't recall.

9 Q       Okay. But you say -- regardless of whether he was or

10           not, you say in response to Lisa McGee saying, "Not

11           sure whether Jenn has passed," you say, "Gives me hope

12           Albert will fail," right?

13 A       Correct.

14 Q       And you were talking about him taking board exams,

15           right?

16 A       Correct.

17 Q       Okay. So he must have been scheduled at some point

18           fairly soon to take the board exams, correct?

19 A       I don't recall.

20 Q       I would ask you to turn to Page 950, which is still on

21           April 5th, 2017, still with Lisa McGee, and there's a

22           conversation about some meeting coming up. Do you see

23           that, up top?

24 A       I'm sorry. Where are you?

25 Q       I'm on Page 950, which is on the screen right in front

1                   of you, and it is still April 5th, 2017, with Lisa  
2                   McGee.

3                   And what I'm asking you on this specific string of  
4                   text messages --

5     A       Yeah.

6     Q       -- is, there was some scheduled meeting with the  
7                   various REI doctors at UVM Medical Center and Dartmouth  
8                   Health, right?

9     A       I don't recall.

10    Q       Well, let's see if we can refresh your recollection.

11                   So here you say, "Not certain how David and Albert were  
12                   invited." You were referring to David Seifer and  
13                   Albert Hsu, correct?

14    A       Correct.

15    Q       And then it's -- Lisa McGee says, "The cast may be  
16                   different for the next one," and your response was,  
17                   "But in the end, spending an entire day with them would  
18                   be horrible." Now, you were referring to David Seifer  
19                   and Albert Hsu, right?

20    A       I don't recall.

21    Q       Well, you don't recall, but just look at the line right  
22                   below it. It says -- these are your words, right,  
23                   you're in the dark text here?

24    A       Yes.

25    Q       Right? You say, "David is insane, and Albert is

1                   pompous," right?

2 A       Yes.

3 Q       Those are your words?

4 A       Those are my words.

5 Q       And then you say, "And not as bright as he thinks he  
6                   is," right?

7 A       Yes.

8 Q       And then you say, "Hearing him pontificate about his  
9                   research would be torture," right?

10 A      Correct.

11 Q      And when you say "research and torture," you were  
12                   talking about Albert Hsu, correct?

13 A      I don't recall.

14 Q      Well, it's one of the two, though, right? It's either  
15                   David Seifer or Albert?

16 A      Most likely.

17 Q      Well, is there anybody else that it could be?

18 A      Yes, there were many people at that meeting.

19 Q      But in this specific string you literally are talking  
20                   about David and Albert?

21 A      I presume.

22 Q      Well, you just talked about, in an earlier string  
23                   message on the same day, with Lisa McGee that you hoped  
24                   that Albert would fail his board exams, right?

25 A      That's what it says, right.

1 Q Well, you said it, right?

2 A It's in the text, yes.

3 Q Okay. All right. We're almost done.

4 955, please, if you could go to that page.

5 Actually, 954, first.

6 Now, you're texting with Lisa McGee a whole series  
7 of text messages on April 5th. You've now got a series  
8 of text messages on April 13th. And Lisa McGee, at the  
9 bottom of 954 -- and this is the subpart of -- it's one  
10 of the pages for C6-A, she says the following and I  
11 just want to highlight this. "Hey, I remembered  
12 something Dottie told me today. There is a business  
13 plan position that is going before the workforce  
14 committee next week, it was Zahers which is why I  
15 didn't connect while we were talking, but it is open  
16 rank and there is leeway to tweak it. I think if you  
17 come, we should shoot for professor. You have  
18 international presence now, but I have a lot to work  
19 out first to make things work. Do what you need to do  
20 but leave some time to come talk with us before you  
21 make any solid plans, and we don't know what could  
22 happen down your way either." Did I read that  
23 correctly?

24 A I believe -- yes.

25 Q Right. And so in April of 2017, is it fair to say that

1 Lisa -- you were having discussions with Lisa McGee, at  
2 least exploratory, about potentially working at UVM  
3 Medical Center?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And this predates the actual closing a few weeks later  
6 of the REI division, correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay. And if you go to the next page, 955, at the top,  
9 you say, "I appreciate the follow-up, it sounds  
10 promising. We'll see what happens here, but your  
11 suggestions are interesting."

12 So when you said it sounds promising, there was  
13 some potential for a position at UVM Medical Center in  
14 April of 2017 that you were at least exploring, right?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And you were exploring it because you knew that the REI  
17 division was likely to close, or at least the IVF  
18 program would be on pause or some combination of the  
19 two, right?

20 A No.

21 Q You didn't know that, but you were still dipping your  
22 toe in the water, if you will, for a potential new job?

23 A No.

24 Q You weren't?

25 A No.

1 Q Okay. Well, let's go to 956. And, by the way, so  
2 these dates are in -- that last one, 955, was still on  
3 April 13th. And now we go to 956, if we could bring  
4 that up on the screen, and this is May 5th, 2017, so  
5 this is the day after you were notified of the REI  
6 division closing, right?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And you said -- this is Lisa McGee, not you. Lisa  
9 McGee says to you, "Hi, Misty. I spoke with Ira this  
10 morning --" and by the way, Ira is Ira Bernstein?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And in that time period, in May of 2017, what was his  
13 position?

14 A He was chair of OB-GYN.

15 Q Chair of OB-GYN of UVM Medical Center?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Okay. And she says, "Hi, Misty. I spoke with Ira this  
18 morning, and he agreed." Now, I'm assuming that's the  
19 same Ira, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. "If you want it, we can do a per diem  
22 arrangement pretty quickly for June. This could give  
23 you some breathing room to have time to think things  
24 through and make longer term decisions. It could start  
25 later too. No strings attached to longer term, so you

1           could still take the Boston option if it suits your  
2         needs better. We are here for you." Did I read that  
3         correctly?

4 A       Correct.

5 Q       Okay. So the day after the REI division closure is  
6         announced to you and Albert Hsu and David Seifer,  
7         because you're all being terminated, you're having a  
8         text string with Dr. McGee about a potential position  
9         immediately, almost immediately with UVM Medical  
10       Center, right?

11 A       Correct.

12 Q       And, in fact, even though your announcement -- the  
13       announcement of the division closure was May 4th, you  
14       were -- your employment would not terminate until  
15       June 3rd at Dartmouth Health, right?

16 A       Correct.

17 Q       Okay. And she also mentioned here "you could still  
18       take the Boston option." So were you also looking at  
19       another option at another program during that time  
20       frame?

21 A       No.

22 Q       So do you know what she's talking about here?

23 A       No.

24 Q       No idea?

25 A       No idea.

1 Q Okay. "No strings attached for longer term so you  
2 could still take the Boston option if it suits your  
3 needs better." And you have no idea what she's talking  
4 about?

5 A No.

6 Q And you traded lots of text messages in this time  
7 period with Lisa McGee, and you still have no idea?

8 A Correct.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: If I may have a minute to  
10 talk to my co-counsel, Your Honor?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MR. SCHROEDER: I have nothing further at  
13 this time, Your Honor.

14 We will ensure that C6-A as the relevant pages  
15 that were published to the jury.

16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

17 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Vitt.

19 MR. VITT: Thank you. Your Honor, when  
20 Dr. Porter was on the stand before, we sought to  
21 introduce into evidence Exhibit 123, which is the  
22 May 25 -- sorry. June 3, 2016, letter to Dr. Seifer  
23 regarding Albert Hsu, and the concern was that there  
24 was a cover e-mail directing who that letter went to  
25 that we didn't have. I now have that cover e-mail, and

1 we've marked that full set as 123-A, and I'm hoping we  
2 can now get that admitted into evidence.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any objection?

4 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection. If I could  
5 have a full copy of that, that would be great.

6 THE COURT: Of course.

7 MR. SCHROEDER: I would just like to see it.

8 MR. VITT: Oh, here.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: So then Plaintiff's Exhibit 123-A  
11 is admitted.

12 MR. VITT: May I approach the witness?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION**

15 **BY MR. VITT:**

16 Q Misty, I've given you what's been marked as  
17 Exhibit 123-A. Why did you write that letter?

18 A Dr. Seifer asked me if I would write an assessment for  
19 Albert, and it turns out that Leslie DeMars had  
20 instructed him to ask me.

21 Q When you returned from your disability, what did Leslie  
22 DeMars ask you to fix?

23 A Oh, a whole host of things she asked me to fix. In  
24 part, there were several patients who had very poor  
25 outcomes with their IVF, and there were several

1 patients who had requested reimbursement and/or had  
2 errors in Albert's management of their IVF, and they  
3 were requesting financial remuneration, meaning free  
4 cycles of IVF, and/or threatening lawsuit through risk  
5 management, and she decided to provide those free IVF  
6 cycles and asked me to take those patients  
7 specifically.

8 Q Did you do that?

9 A I did.

10 Q Things work out all right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. When you wrote that letter, was that a current  
13 assessment of Dr. Hsu?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And your evaluation of him was current as of that date,  
16 correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q There's been mention of Elizabeth Todd. Who was she?

19 A She was the nurse practitioner that I worked very  
20 closely with in REI and in general GYN. She was on  
21 service for 15, 16 years, something like that.

22 Q Had you worked with her for that period of time?

23 A Yes, very closely.

24 Q You were asked earlier in your examination whether  
25 Elizabeth Todd had a dual appointment in the OB-GYN

1 department at the time of closure of the REI division.

2 Did she have a dual appointment as you understood the  
3 term?

4 A Not as I understood it, no.

5 Q Do you have a dual appointment? Do you have a dual  
6 appointment?

7 A In my current job?

8 Q I'm sorry. When you were at Dartmouth Health in the  
9 REI division --

10 A Yes, I had a dual appointment.

11 Q What was the dual appointment in?

12 A In OB-GYN and in radiology.

13 Q All right. What happened to Elizabeth Todd when the  
14 REI division was closed?

15 A She was retained to work in OB-GYN.

16 Q So she was essentially reassigned over to OB-GYN?

17 A She was retained within the department, yes.

18 Q All right. I want to turn to Defendant's B2.

19 MR. VITT: May I approach the witness, Your  
20 Honor?

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Vitt, could you tell me  
23 the number? I didn't hear your number.

24 MR. VITT: B2. B, as in boy, 2.

25 MR. SCHROEDER: Thanks.

1 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Could you turn to the third page, please?

2 Who asked you to write that document?

3 A Leslie DeMars.

4 Q And was that part of a formal review?

5 A Yes.

6 Q If you go to the end of that, there were a number of

7 persons who were asked to comment or provide a review,

8 correct?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Basically, everyone in the REI division?

11 A The majority of the individuals in the REI division.

12 Q And that's at the bottom of Page 2, correct?

13 A Correct.

14 Q So this evaluation didn't come out of the Value

15 Institute at all, did it?

16 A No.

17 Q It was a response that was directed initially to the

18 whole department?

19 A To the majority of the individuals.

20 Q All right. Would you read, please, for me at the

21 bottom of Page 1 starting "there is not enough IVF work

22 in DHMC"?

23 A "There is not enough IVF at DHMC nor has there ever

24 been, despite heavy efforts in marketing and outreach,

25 for many providers within RE to focus only on IVF. I

1 had conversations with him during his interview last  
2 winter before he started at DHMC."

3 Q Who is the him?

4 A David Seifer.

5 Q Why is this a problem for him?

6 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

7 Calling for getting into the mind of Dr. Seifer.

8 THE COURT: Sustained. I'll ask you to  
9 rephrase the question, Mr. Vitt.

10 MR. VITT: Sure.

11 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Was there sufficient IVF work within the  
12 REI division for Dr. Seifer?

13 A No.

14 Q Why not?

15 A Dr. Seifer could not do a basic infertility evaluation,  
16 complete it himself. REI is a very broad subject  
17 matter for subspecialty. It -- the IVF itself is an  
18 important but small section of what REI does, and there  
19 was not enough work to do only IVF consultation, which  
20 is what he was able to do within his scope of practice.

21 Q What percentage of the infertility work was actually  
22 limited to IVF?

23 A About 10 to 20 percent, in my -- my view, yes.

24 Q What percentage of the work that you did within the REI  
25 division had to do with IVF?

1 A 10 to 15 percent.

2 Q All right. Is there a problem conflating REI and  
3 infertility?

4 A Absolutely. REI is a very global subject, you know,  
5 from pediatrics all the way through menopause, hormonal  
6 issues, microsurgery, surgery, you know, consultative  
7 for contraception, et cetera. And IVF is that small  
8 portion of it.

9 Q You stated yesterday that you wanted to give the  
10 context of the e-mail that Mr. Schroeder didn't want  
11 you to. What else would you like to add --

12 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 MR. SCHROEDER: Come on.

15 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Well, what's the context of the e-mail?

16 A This one?

17 Q Yes, the one you have there.

18 A Yeah. This was a confidential review that Leslie  
19 DeMars asked of the majority of the REI division in  
20 response to ongoing evaluations and performance reviews  
21 of Dr. Seifer.

22 Q So I'm going to --

23 MR. VITT: May I approach the witness?

24 THE COURT: Yes.

25 Q (By Mr. Vitt) I'm going to hand you what's been -- it's

1                   Defendant's Exhibit A10. I think it's been admitted.

2                   MR. SCHROEDER: A10?

3                   THE COURT: It has been admitted.

4                   MR. SCHROEDER: As has B2.

5   Q    (By Mr. Vitt) Could you read out loud the last  
6         paragraph starting with "I have knowledge that the  
7         restrictions" --

8   A    "I have knowledge that the restrictions from working at  
9         DHMC can be waived, and have been in the past,  
10        specifically with ultrasound techs. To this end, I  
11        would encourage that we pursue this with HR and make  
12        plans to have continuity for the service matters and  
13        commit to our patients. We can provide the most  
14        consistent care available to us."

15                  MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor,  
16        just -- the document was admitted, but this is beyond  
17        the scope of the cross. I did not get into this  
18        subject, not that it's relevant.

19                  THE COURT: Overruled.

20   Q    (By Mr. Vitt) Did you have thoughts about how the REI  
21        division should handle the nursing shortage?

22   A    Yes.

23   Q    And what were they?

24   A    The thoughts that I had were that we had, for many  
25        years, had a single IVF nurse coordinator, and that we

1                   functioned in the context of a major medical center and  
2                   had resources that we were able to provide the patients  
3                   for consistency of care. And, in fact, we had Nurse  
4                   Mary Martin from same-day, who wanted to do more work  
5                   with us, and had asked to do more work with us, and had  
6                   met with Dr. Merrens shortly after the closure to  
7                   explain, and she told me that directly.

8                   Also, there was the opportunity to bring Sharon  
9                   Parent back, and she was willing to do that, and I was  
10                  aware that both in the OR and in ultrasound that while  
11                  there was this waiting period for pension and  
12                  retirement, there had been letters written, and there  
13                  were several individuals who were allowed to come back  
14                  within that three-month period, and I knew that an  
15                  ultrasound tech, Sheila Foote, was able to come back in  
16                  that time frame to provide that care when it was  
17                  considered a critical shortage.

18                  Q       I'm going to show you what's been marked as Plaintiff's  
19                  Exhibit 86.

20                  MR. VITT: Approach the witness, Your Honor?

21                  Q       (By Mr. Vitt) The first two sentences of that first  
22                  paragraph were read to you yesterday during your exam.  
23                  Could you read the remainder of that first paragraph?

24                  A       "However, many of us were totally shocked and  
25                  unprepared for your decision to terminate Misty Porter.

1 Misty has been here -- has been her entire career here  
2 and has developed an active gynecologic practice in  
3 addition to her infertility practice."

4 Q Thank you. And I'm going show you Defendant's B12.  
5 It's an eight-page document. Yesterday, you were asked  
6 if you referred in that document to alleged disability  
7 discrimination, and I think there was a place you  
8 wanted to refer to.

9 MR. SCHROEDER: Objection, Your Honor.

10 Overly leading.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 Q (By Mr. Vitt) In that letter, do you believe that you  
13 provided to Dartmouth-Hitchcock a reference to the  
14 claims that you may have against the hospital?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Where?

17 A B12(006).

18 Q Can you read it, please?

19 A "The termination of my employment will impact my  
20 recovery from the debilitating condition I suffered  
21 last year. Despite the disability from which I am  
22 currently suffering, I have been working diligently to  
23 a full recovery of my clinical responsibility at DH  
24 since the onset of my illness in November 2015. At  
25 that time, I received notice -- at the time that I

1 received notice of my termination of my employment,  
2 less than 10 to 20 percent of the 20 hours a week I had  
3 been working have been dedicated to the performance of  
4 infertility and IVF with 60 to 70 percent of my time  
5 dedicated to the performance of gynecologic ultrasound  
6 and procedures and the remainder complex REI consults,  
7 complex benign gynecology, and gynecologic surgical  
8 consults."

9 THE COURT: Mr. Vitt, this is not an admitted  
10 exhibit. I don't know if you're aware of that.

11 Q (By Mr. Vitt) Can I see the letter a second?

12 MR. VITT: I would like to move its  
13 admission; it hasn't been admitted.

14 THE COURT: Is there any objection? B12?

15 MR. SCHROEDER: No objection, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's B12 is  
17 admitted.

18 MR. VITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 Q (By Mr. Vitt) One last thing on this letter. If you  
20 could go to Page 7 at the bottom. The sentence at the  
21 bottom, could you read that, please?

22 A "If Dartmouth-Hitchcock decides not to reconsider the  
23 termination decision, I will be in touch about my  
24 rights as a member and under federal and state law."

25 Q Thank you.

1 MR. VITT: No further questions, Judge.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Any recross?

3 MR. SCHROEDER: Just one minute, Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 **RECROSS EXAMINATION**

6 **BY MR. SCHROEDER:**

7 Q Just very specific questions related to the redirect  
8 from Mr. Vitt, Dr. Porter.

9 You're not aware of any litigation involving any  
10 of the care that Dr. Hsu or Dr. Seifer provided while  
11 they were employed by Dartmouth Health, right?

12 MR. VITT: Objection. It's beyond the --

13 MR. SCHROEDER: You asked -- may I be heard?

14 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

15 MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

16 Q (By Mr. Schroeder) You're not aware of any litigation  
17 brought by anyone against Dartmouth Health related to  
18 the care by Dr. Hsu or Seifer?

19 A I am not aware, no.

20 Q And with respect to Ms. Todd, she had similar concerns  
21 as you relating to Dr. Hsu and Seifer, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Right. She had complaints about them, correct?

24 A Correct.

25 Q And she -- despite those complaints -- and those

1           complaints happened in 2017, correct?

2 A       Correct.

3 Q       And despite those complaints, she was retained by  
4           Dartmouth Health as a nurse practitioner, right?

5 A       Correct.

6           MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you.

7           MR. VITT: Nothing further.

8           THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Porter. You may  
9           step down.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE  
23 I, Jan-Marie Glaze, RPR, CRR, Pro-Tem Court  
4 Reporter for the United States District Court for the  
5 District of Vermont at Burlington, do hereby certify that I  
6 was present in court during the foregoing matter and  
7 reported said proceedings stenographically.

8

9 I further certify that thereafter, I have caused  
10 said stenographic notes to be transcribed under my direction  
11 and that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate  
12 transcription to the best of my ability.

13

14

15

16  
17 JAN-MARIE GLAZE  
COURT REPORTER  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25